

## **Notice**

Subject:

DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY PROGRAM OBLIGATION LIMITATION FOR FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2022

Classification Code

Date

Office of Primary Interest

N4520.274

April 1, 2022

HCFB-10

- 1. **What is the purpose of this Notice?** This Notice is to advise the States of the distribution of the limitation on Federal-aid highway program obligations pursuant to the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2022, title I of division L, Public Law (Pub. L.) 117-103. This Notice reflects the full-year distribution of obligation limitation for FY 2022, and includes the period from October 1, 2021, through September 30, 2022.
- 2. **Does this Notice cancel FHWA Notice 4520.273?** Yes, this Notice cancels Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Notice 4520.273, Distribution of Federal-aid Highway Program Obligation Limitation for the period beginning on October 1, 2021, and ending on February 18, 2022, dated December 14, 2021. A revised Notice was not issued for the two Continuing Resolutions (CR) covering the periods from February 19, 2022, to March 11, 2022, and from March 12, 2022, to March 15, 2022, due to the short duration of the CRs making distribution unfeasible from a programmatic standpoint.
- 3. What is the overall limitation on obligations, and what provision determines its distribution?
  - a. The Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2022, provides an overall limitation on Federal-aid highway program obligations for FY 2022 of \$57,473,430,072.
  - b. Section 120 of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2022, provides the distribution methodology for the overall limitation on Federal-aid highway program obligations.
  - c. Unless otherwise specified, all obligation limitation is available for 1 fiscal year and will expire at the end of FY 2022.
- 4. **What funds are exempt from the limitation on obligations?** The obligation limitation does not apply to obligations for projects covered under:
  - (1) section 125 of title 23, United States Code (U.S.C.);

- (2) section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (23 U.S.C. 144 note; 92 Stat. 2714);
- (3) section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981 (95 Stat. 1701);
- (4) sections 131(b) and 131(j) of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 2119);
- (5) sections 149(b) and 149(c) of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 (101 Stat. 198);
- (6) sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2027);
- (7) section 157 of title 23, U.S.C., as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century;
- (8) section 105 of title 23, U.S.C., as in effect for FYs 1998 through 2004, but only in an amount equal to \$639,000,000 for each of those fiscal years;
- (9) the Federal-aid highway programs for which obligation authority was made available under the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (112 Stat. 107) or subsequent public laws for multiple years or to remain available until used, but only to the extent that the obligation authority has not lapsed or been used;
- (10) section 105 of title 23, U.S.C., but only in an amount equal to \$639,000,000 for each of FYs 2005 through 2012;
- (11) section 1603 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (23 U.S.C. 118 note; 119 Stat. 1248), to the extent that funds obligated in accordance with that section were not subject to a limitation on obligations at the time at which the funds were initially made available for obligation; and
- section 119 of title 23, U.S.C., but only in an amount equal to \$639,000,000 prior to sequestration for each of FYs 2013 through 2022.

### 5. How are the obligation limitation amounts associated with allocated programs determined?

a. Obligation limitation is provided for administrative expenses and programs authorized under section 104(a) of title 23, U.S.C., and amounts authorized for the Bureau of Transportation Statistics. Pursuant to the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2022, the limitation on FHWA administrative expenses is \$463,716,697 and the limitation for transfer to the

Appalachian Regional Commission for administrative activities is \$3,248,000. For the other programs for which funding is authorized under section 104(a) of title 23, U.S.C., and the Bureau of Transportation Statistics, obligation limitation is provided equal to the contract authority for each such program.

- b. Obligation limitation is provided for the unobligated balances of contract authority for allocated programs (or for the apportioned Tribal Transportation Program (TTP) and the Federal Lands Access Program (Access Program)) that are carried over from previous fiscal years and for which obligation limitation had been provided in a previous fiscal year. The obligation limitation provided is equal to such unobligated balances of contract authority.
- c. The ratio between the remaining amount of obligation limitation and the remaining FY 2022 contract authority subject to obligation limitation is determined. The ratio calculated for FY 2022 under the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2022, is 91.3 percent.
- d. Obligation limitation is determined for each of the allocated programs (other than those allocated programs for which obligation limitation has already been provided) and for the TTP and the Access Program. The amount of obligation limitation for each such program is determined by multiplying the amount of contract authority authorized for FY 2022 by the above ratio. The authorized amount of contract authority that is not provided associated obligation limitation is "lopped off," resulting in equal amounts of contract authority and obligation limitation available for such programs (except for the TTP, which is not subject to the "lop off" of contract authority).

## 6. How is the distribution of formula obligation limitation to the States determined?

- a. After obligation limitation is determined for the allocated programs (and for the TTP and the Access Program) as described above, the remaining amount of obligation limitation is distributed among the States as formula obligation limitation. The formula obligation limitation is distributed among the States in the proportions that the FY 2022 apportionments subject to the obligation limitation for each State bear to the total FY 2022 apportionments subject to the obligation limitation for all States.
- b. The attached Table 1 shows the amount of formula obligation limitation distributed to each State, net of any obligation limitation associated with transfer penalty funding, or set aside under the high risk rural roads special rule, or set aside for the minimum condition of National Highway System (NHS) bridges penalty, or set aside for the minimum condition of Interstate System penalty (see paragraphs 7, 8, 9, and 10 of this Notice below). Please note that the special rule pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 148(g)(3) for vulnerable road user safety will be applied in FY 2023 in accordance with that section.

#### 7. Is there any obligation limitation associated with transfer penalty funds?

- a. Yes, obligation limitation is associated with transfer penalty funds for those States that failed to meet the provisions of section 154 of title 23, U.S.C. (Open Container Requirements) or section 164 of title 23, U.S.C. (Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated or Driving Under the Influence) for FY 2022 as determined by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- b. Along with the transfer penalty funds under section 154 and section 164 of title 23, U.S.C., the associated obligation limitation will be reserved and then released for use on eligible Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) activities under section 148 of title 23, U.S.C., or transferred to the State's highway safety program under section 402 of title 23, U.S.C.
- c. The amount of obligation limitation associated with the transfer penalty funds is determined by multiplying the amount of the transfer penalty funds by the ratio of a State's formula obligation limitation to that State's apportionments subject to the obligation limitation.
- d. The amounts of transfer penalty funds and associated obligation limitation for FY 2022 are shown in Table 2.

### 8. Is there any obligation limitation set aside under the special rule for high risk rural roads?

- a. Section 148(g)(1) of title 23, U.S.C., contains a special rule for high risk rural road safety. The special rule requires that, if the fatality rate on rural roads in a State increases over the most recent 2-year period for which data are available, the State must obligate during the next fiscal year for projects on high risk rural roads an amount at least equal to 200 percent of its FY 2009 high risk rural roads set-aside.
- b. The requirement to obligate such amounts during the next fiscal year is implemented by a set-aside of both HSIP funds and an equal amount of associated obligation limitation.
- c. The amounts of high risk rural roads special rule funds and associated obligation limitation for FY 2022 are shown in Table 3.

# 9. Is there any obligation limitation set aside for the minimum condition of National Highway System bridges penalty?

a. Section 119(f)(2)(A) of title 23, U.S.C., provides for a penalty if a State for 3 consecutive years fails to maintain its NHS poor condition deck area at or below 10 percent. For the fiscal year after such a failure is determined, such a

- State must have an amount equal to 50 percent of its FY 2009 Highway Bridge Program apportionment set aside for use only on NHS bridge projects.
- b. Section 490.413(a)(1) of title 23, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), requires such penalty funds to be obligated in the year in which they are set aside.
- c. The requirement to obligate such amounts during the fiscal year is implemented by a set-aside of both National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) funds and an equal amount of formula obligation limitation.
- d. The amounts of the minimum condition of NHS bridges penalty funds and associated obligation limitation for FY 2022 are shown in Table 4.

# 10. Is there any obligation limitation set aside for the minimum condition of Interstate System penalty?

- a. Section 119(f)(1)(A) of title 23, U.S.C., provides for a penalty if a State reports that the condition of its Interstate System, excluding bridges on the Interstate System, has fallen below the minimum condition level established by the Secretary in section 150(c)(3) of title 23, U.S.C.
- b. For the fiscal year after noncompliance is determined, such a State must obligate from the amounts apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(1) of title 23, U.S.C., an amount equal to its FY 2009 Interstate Maintenance apportionment increased by 2 percent per year after FY 2013 for eligible purposes described under section 119 of title 23, U.S.C., as in effect on the day before the enactment of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21).
  - (1) Section 119(f)(1)(A)(i) of title 23, U.S.C., and section 490.317(e)(1) of title 23, CFR, requires such penalty funds to be obligated in the year in which they are set aside.
  - (2) The requirement to obligate such amounts during the fiscal year is implemented by a set-aside of both NHPP funds and an equal amount of formula obligation limitation.
- c. In addition, for the fiscal year after noncompliance is determined, such a State must transfer from the amounts apportioned to the State under section 104(b)(2) of title 23, U.S.C., (other than amounts suballocated to metropolitan areas and other areas of the State under section 133(d)), to the apportionment of the State under section 104(b)(1), an amount equal to 10 percent of the amount of funds apportioned to the State in FY 2009 under the Interstate Maintenance Program. Such penalty funds must be used for purposes described under section 119 of title 23, U.S.C., as in effect on the day before the enactment of MAP-21.

- (1) The transfer of such funds is implemented by a transfer of Surface Transportation Block Grant Program funds to the NHPP set-aside and will be processed automatically by FHWA.
- (2) The amount of obligation limitation associated with the transfer penalty funds is determined by multiplying the amount of the transfer penalty funds by the ratio of a State's formula obligation limitation to that State's apportionments subject to the obligation limitation.
- d. The total amount of obligation limitation associated with the minimum condition of Interstate System penalty funds is the aggregate of the obligation limitation amounts determined under subparagraphs (b)(2) and (c)(2).
- e. The amounts of the minimum condition of Interstate System penalty funds and associated obligation limitation for FY 2022 are shown in Table 5.

# 11. What requirements related to safety performance management apply to the obligation limitation for States?

- a. Section 148(i) of title 23, U.S.C., requires that if the Secretary determines that a State has not met or made significant progress toward meeting the safety performance targets the State established under section 150(d) of title 23, U.S.C., such State shall use obligation limitation equal to the State's HSIP apportionment for the fiscal year prior to the year in which the performance targets were set only for highway safety improvement projects in the fiscal year after the provision is assessed.
- b. The following States are subject to the provision in FY 2022 under 23 U.S.C. 148(i): Alabama, Alaska, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin. Such States were previously notified under separate cover from FHWA.
- c. A State, except for Puerto Rico, identified under subparagraph (b) is required to use FY 2022 formula obligation limitation on HSIP projects in an amount equal to such State's FY 2018 HSIP apportionment. The FY 2018 HSIP apportionment amounts are reflected in Table 1 of FHWA Notice N4510.819 (<a href="https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/directives/notices/n4510819/n4510819\_t1\_cfm">https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/directives/notices/n4510819/n4510819\_t1\_cfm</a>). Puerto Rico is required to obligate in full their total annual allocation of Puerto Rico Highway Program funds received under 23 U.S.C. 165(b)(2)(C)(ii) for HSIP eligibilities.

## 12. What other provisions apply that are related to the distribution of obligation limitation?

- a. Contract authority for transportation research programs under chapter 5 of title 23, U.S.C., title VI of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act, or title III of division A of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (Pub. L. 117-58), is subject to obligation limitation that remains available for a period of 4 fiscal years. Obligation limitation made available for transportation research in future fiscal years will be in addition to amounts made available for FY 2022.
- b. The amounts of contract authority "lopped off" from the allocated programs and the Access Program due to the imposition of the obligation limitation will be redistributed to the States. The redistribution will be provided via a separate Notice to be issued no later than 30 days after the distribution of the obligation limitation.
- c. After August 1, 2022, the Secretary will revise the distribution of obligation authority made available if a State does not plan to obligate the amount distributed during FY 2022 and redistribute such amount to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to those previously distributed during FY 2022. Procedures for this process (known as August Redistribution) will be provided via a separate Notice to be issued in July 2022.
- 13. **What action is required?** Division Administrators should ensure that copies of this Notice are provided to the State departments of transportation.

Stephanie Pollack Deputy Administrator

Stephen Fallock

Attachments

#### N4520.274 - TABLE 1

## U. S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

OBLIGATION LIMITATION DISTRIBUTION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022 UNDER THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2022

	FORMULA
GT 4 TF	OBLIGATION
STATE	LIMITATION
ALABAMA	906,387,240
ALASKA	574,682,225
ARIZONA	874,698,540
ARKANSAS	618,595,255
CALIFORNIA	4,307,375,887
COLORADO	633,713,828
CONNECTICUT	588,670,807
DELAWARE	198,156,500
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	190,744,278
FLORIDA	2,263,542,823
GEORGIA	1,543,271,736
HAWAII	193,977,043
IDAHO	340,532,308
ILLINOIS	1,626,930,321
INDIANA	1,115,332,605
IOWA	587,191,807
KANSAS	448,376,069
KENTUCKY	793,831,916
LOUISIANA	802,940,100
MAINE MARYLAND	216,147,601 718,597,555
MASSACHUSETTS	631,558,257
MICHIGAN	1,046,944,254
MINNESOTA	763,316,382
MISSISSIPPI	565,630,278
MISSOURI	1,107,298,709
MONTANA	480,050,083
NEBRASKA	345,401,709
NEVADA	425,607,044
NEW HAMPSHIRE	197,510,100
NEW JERSEY	1,194,164,732
NEW MEXICO	429,593,136
NEW YORK	2,007,737,033
NORTH CAROLINA	1,241,771,279
NORTH DAKOTA	290,553,986
OHIO	1,570,206,456
OKLAHOMA	757,715,111
OREGON PENNSYLVANIA	582,471,143
RHODE ISLAND	1,961,338,188
SOUTH CAROLINA	220,075,416 783,083,851
SOUTH CAROLINA SOUTH DAKOTA	330,050,793
TENNESSEE	988,968,924
TEXAS	4,662,020,288
UTAH	414,976,053
VERMONT	237,668,277
VIRGINIA	1,191,389,389
WASHINGTON	793,785,625
WEST VIRGINIA	485,576,028
WISCONSIN	899,155,783
WYOMING	293,438,428
SUBTOTAL	46,442,753,179
Allocated Programs	10,083,718,032
Sections 154 and 164 Penalties	455,231,210
High Risk Rural Roads Special Rule	12,512,006
NHS Bridges Penalty	239,482,398
	239,733,247
Interstate System Penalty	237,733,217
Interstate System Penalty  TOTAL	57,473,430,072

#### PENALTY FUNDS AND ASSOCIATED OBLIGATION LIMITATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022 FOR PENALTY PROVISIONS UNDER SECTIONS 154 AND 164 OF TITLE 23, UNITED STATES CODE

	T moment	
	TOTAL	OBLIGATION
cm . mv	SECTIONS 154 & 164	LIMITATION
STATE	PENALTY FUNDS	FOR PENALTIES
AT ADAMA		
ALABAMA	0	0
ALASKA	26,957,980	24,623,404
ARIZONA	0	0
ARKANSAS	0 412 642	02.407.172
CALIFORNIA	91,413,643	83,497,173
COLORADO CONNECTICUT	14,154,788	12,928,976
	13,034,967	11,906,132
DELAWARE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	4,460,971	4,074,649
FLORIDA	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0
	<u> </u>	
HAWAII	8,984,796	8,206,708
IDAHO	0	0
ILLINOIS INDIANA		
IOWA	25,711,477	23,484,850
KANSAS	0	0
KANSAS KENTUCKY	0	0
LOUISIANA	38,964,194	35,589,874
MAINE	4,929,075	4,502,215
MARYLAND	4,929,073	4,302,213
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0
MICHIGAN	0	0
MINNESOTA	17,567,313	16,045,974
MISSISSIPPI	13,381,938	12,223,055
MISSOURI	26,101,076	23,840,709
MONTANA	11,213,685	10,242,574
NEBRASKA	0	0
NEVADA	9,411,414	8,596,381
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0
NEW JERSEY	0	0
NEW MEXICO	10,082,321	9,209,187
NEW YORK	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	6,732,049	6,149,050
OHIO	35,342,175	32,281,524
OKLAHOMA	0	0
OREGON	13,589,064	12,412,244
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	5,891,470	5,381,266
SOUTH CAROLINA	18,562,950	16,955,389
SOUTH DAKOTA	7,643,539	6,981,604
TENNESSEE	22,910,773	20,926,688
TEXAS	0	0
UTAH	0	0
VERMONT	5,404,743	4,936,689
VIRGINIA	27,292,002	24,928,500
WASHINGTON	18,106,322	16,538,305
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0
WISCONSIN	0	0
WYOMING	13,923,036	12,717,294
SUBTOTAL	491,767,761	449,180,414
PUERTO RICO	6,627,378	6,050,796
TOTAL	498,395,139	455,231,210

NOTE: Puerto Rico Highway Program is an allocated program and is not treated as an apportionment to the States.

HIGH RISK RURAL ROADS (HRRR) SPECIAL RULE FUNDS AND ASSOCIATED OBLIGATION LIMITATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022 PURSUANT TO SECTION 148(g)(1) OF TITLE 23, UNITED STATES CODE

	TOTAL	OBLIGATION		
	HRRR SPECIAL	LIMITATION FOR		
STATE	RULE FUNDS	HRRR SPECIAL RULE		
ALADAMA	0	0		
ALABAMA ALASKA	0	0		
		0		
ARIZONA	0	0		
ARKANSAS	0	0		
CALIFORNIA	0	0		
COLORADO	0	0		
CONNECTICUT	0	0		
DELAWARE	0	0		
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0		
FLORIDA	0	0		
GEORGIA	0	0		
HAWAII	0	0		
IDAHO	1,294,798	1,294,798		
ILLINOIS	0	0		
INDIANA	0	0		
IOWA	0	0		
KANSAS	3,150,110	3,150,110		
KENTUCKY	0	0		
LOUISIANA	0	0		
MAINE	0	0		
MARYLAND	0	0		
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0		
MICHIGAN	0	0		
MINNESOTA	0	0		
MISSISSIPPI	0	0		
MISSOURI	0	0		
MONTANA	0	0		
NEBRASKA	0	0		
NEVADA	0	0		
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0		
NEW JERSEY	0	0		
NEW MEXICO	0	0		
NEW YORK	0	0		
NORTH CAROLINA	4,726,978	4,726,978		
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0		
OHIO	0	0		
OKLAHOMA	0	0		
OREGON	2,440,120	2,440,120		
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0		
RHODE ISLAND	900,000	900,000		
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0		
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0		
TENNESSEE	0	0		
TEXAS	0	0		
UTAH	0	0		
VERMONT	0	0		
VIRGINIA	0	0		
WASHINGTON	0	0		
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0		
WISCONSIN	0	0		
WYOMING	0	0		
TOTAL	12,512,006	12,512,006		
1311111	12,512,000	12,512,000		

MINIMUM CONDITION OF NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM (NHS) BRIDGES PENALTY FUNDS AND ASSOCIATED OBLIGATION LIMITATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022 PURSUANT TO SECTION 119(f)(2)(A) OF TITLE 23, UNITED STATES CODE

	TOTAL	OBLIGATION
	NHS BRIDGES	LIMITATION FOR
STATE	PENALTY FUNDS	NHS BRIDGES PENALTY
ALABAMA	0	0
ALASKA	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0
CALIFORNIA	0	0
COLORADO	0	0
CONNECTICUT	0	0
DELAWARE	0	0
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0
FLORIDA	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0
HAWAII	0	0
IDAHO	0	0
ILLINOIS	72,973,630	72,973,630
INDIANA	0	0
IOWA	0	0
KANSAS	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0
MAINE	0	0
MARYLAND	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	94,856,125	94,856,125
MICHIGAN	0	0
MINNESOTA	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0
MISSOURI	0	0
MONTANA	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0
NEVADA	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0
NEW JERSEY	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0
NEW YORK	0	0
NORTH DAYOTA	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0
OHIO	0	Ţ.
OREGON	0	
OREGON DENNISVI VANIA	0	
PENNSYLVANIA RHODE ISLAND	25,020,577	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	35,029,577	35,029,577
	0	
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0
TENNESSEE	0	
TEXAS UTAH	0	0
	0	0
VERMONT VIRGINIA	0	
WASHINGTON	0	0
	36,623,066	~
WEST VIRGINIA WISCONSIN		
WYOMING	0	0
		·
TOTAL	239,482,398	239,482,398

#### MINIMUM CONDITION OF INTERSTATE SYSTEM PENALTY FUNDS AND ASSOCIATED OBLIGATION LIMITATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022 PURSUANT TO SECTION 119(f)(1)(A) OF TITLE 23, UNITED STATES CODE

		OBLIGATION LIMITATION		OBLIGATION LIMITATION	TOTAL	TOTAL
	INTERSTATE SYSTEM	FOR INTERSTATE	INTERSTATE SYSTEM	FOR INTERSTATE	INTERSTATE	OBLIGATION LIMITATION
	PENALTY FUNDS	SYSTEM PENALTY	PENALTY FUNDS	SYSTEM PENALTY	SYSTEM	FOR INTERSTATE
STATE	23 USC 119(f)(1)(A)(i)				PENALTY FUNDS	SYSTEM PENALTY
SIAIE	23 USC 119(1)(1)(A)(1)	23 USC 119(f)(1)(A)(i)	23 USC 119(f)(1)(A)(ii)	23 USC 119(f)(1)(A)(ii)	PENALTY FUNDS	SYSIEM PENALTY
ALABAMA	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARKANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO	0	0	0	0	0	0
CONNECTICUT	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	
DELAWARE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
					0	
FLORIDA	0	0	0	0	0	0
GEORGIA	0	0	0	0	·	0
HAWAII	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDAHO	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLINOIS	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0
IOWA	0	0	0	0	0	0
KANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
KENTUCKY	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOUISIANA	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAINE	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	196,746,820	196,746,820	16,462,894	15,037,199	213,209,714	211,784,019
MINNESOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0
MISSOURI	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEBRASKA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW YORK	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHIO	0	0	0	0	0	0
OKLAHOMA	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0	0	0	0	0
TENNESSEE	0	0	0	0	0	0
TEXAS	0	0	0	0	0	0
UTAH	0	0	0	0	0	0
VERMONT	0	0	0	0	0	0
VERMONI VIRGINIA	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	
WEST VIRGINIA	0	0		0	0	0
WISCONSIN	0	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING				ΔΙ	. 0	0
	0	0		V		
SUBTOTAL	196,746,820	196,746,820	16,462,894	15,037,199	213,209,714	211,784,019
SUBTOTAL PUERTO RICO TOTAL		196,746,820 25,791,141 222,537,961		15,037,199 2,158,087 17,195,286	213,209,714 30,612,517 243,822,231	

NOTE: Puerto Rico Highway Program is an allocated program and is not treated as an apportionment to the States.