

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Wheelchair and scooter dimensions (in mm) (based on Architecture and Engineering for Parks Canada and Public Works and Government Services Canada, 1994).	17
Figure 2-2: Circle diameter of a standard manual wheelchair [ADAAG, Figure 4.3(a), U.S. Access Board, 1991].	17
Figure 2-3: High and low side-reach limits (Barrier Free Environments, Inc., 1996).	18
Figure 2-4: Maximum side-reach over an obstruction [ADAAG, Figure 4.6(c), U.S. Access Board, 1991].	18
Figure 2-5: High and low forward-reach limits (Barrier Free Environments, Inc., 1996).	18
Figure 2-6: Maximum forward-reach over an obstruction (PLAE, Inc., 1993).	19
Figure 3-1: Sources of input during the project development process (based on FHWA, 1997a).	29
Figure 4-1: Maximum grades can make a sidewalk difficult to traverse, even if the overall running grade is moderate.	33
Figure 4-2: The gutter slopes counter to the slope of the curb ramp to promote drainage.	33
Figure 4-3: Excessive slope differences between gutter and ramp can cause a wheelchair to tip forward.	34
Figure 4-4: Excessive slope differences between a gutter and a ramp can cause wheelchairs to flip over backward.	34
Figure 4-5: Ramps must have level landings (based on ADAAG Figure 16, U.S. Access Board, 1991).	35
Figure 4-6: When cross-slopes change rapidly over a short distance, wheelchair use becomes extremely unstable.	36
Figure 4-7: Most pedestrians prefer to travel in the center of the sidewalk.	37
Figure 4-8: Passing spaces should be included at intervals on narrow sidewalks to allow wheelchair users to pass one another.	38
Figure 4-9: Wheelchair users require 1.525 m x 1.525 m (60 in x 60 in) to maneuver in a complete circle.	38
Figure 4-10: Changes in level are often caused by tree roots that break through the sidewalk surface.	39
Figure 4-11: Vertical and beveled changes in level [ADAAG, Figure 7(c, d), U.S. Access Board, 1991].	39
Figure 4-12: Wheelchair casters and cane and crutch tips can easily get caught in wide grates.	39

Figure 4-13: Obstacles mounted on posts should not protrude more than 0.305 m (12 in) into a circulation corridor [ADAAG, Figure 8(d), U.S. Access Board, 1991].	40
Figure 4-14: Components of a curb ramp.	42
Figure 4-15: Alternative slope profiles for alterations when an 8.33 percent slope is not achievable.	42
Figure 4-16: This wheelchair user is maneuvering successfully at a curb ramp because a level landing is provided.	43
Figure 4-17: This wheelchair user will have difficulty entering the sidewalk because the curb ramp lacks a landing.	43
Figure 4-18: This wheelchair user will have difficulty traveling around the corner because the curb ramp lacks a landing.	43
Figure 4-19: Flares provide a sloped transition between the ramp and the surrounding sidewalk and are designed to prevent ambulatory pedestrians from tripping.	44
Figure 4-20: Returned curbs may be used when the curb ramp is located outside the pedestrian walkway, such as in a planting strip.	44
Figure 4-21: Without level landings, perpendicular curb ramps are problematic for wheelchair users and others to travel across.	44
Figure 4-22: Two perpendicular curb ramps with level landings maximize access for pedestrians at intersections.	45
Figure 4-23: If diagonal curb ramps are installed, a 1.220-m (48-in) clear space should be provided to allow wheelchair users enough room to maneuver into the crosswalk.	45
Figure 4-24: Parallel curb ramps work well on narrow sidewalks but require users continuing on the pathway to negotiate two ramp grades.	46
Figure 4-25: A combination curb ramp is a creative way to avoid steep curb ramps and still provide level landings.	46
Figure 4-26: Built-up curb ramp with drainage inlets.	46
Figure 4-27: Built-up curb ramp with a drainage pipe.	46
Figure 4-28: To avoid having to negotiate changing grades and changing cross-slope simultaneously, a wheelchair user has to turn at the grade transition.	47
Figure 4-29: Curb ramps designed with the ramp perpendicular to the curb eliminate rapidly changing grades and cross-slopes at the grade transition.	48
Figure 4-30: Truncated domes are an effective way of indicating a drop-off at transit platform.	50
Figure 4-31: Colored stone sidewalks with concrete curb ramps have a detectable color change.	52
Figure 4-32: Driveway crossings without landings confront wheelchair users with severe and rapidly changing cross-slopes at the driveway flare.	55

Figure 4-33: When sidewalks have a planter strip, the ramp of the driveway does not interfere with a pedestrian's path of travel.	55
Figure 4-34: On wide sidewalks, there is enough room to provide a ramp for drivers and retain a level landing for pedestrians.	56
Figure 4-35: Jogging the sidewalk back from the street provides a level landing for pedestrians on narrow sidewalks.	56
Figure 4-36: Although parallel driveway crossings provide users with level landings, users continuing on the sidewalk are forced to negotiate two ramps.	56
Figure 4-37: Inaccessible sidewalk caused by many individual parking lots.	56
Figure 4-38: Improved accessibility created by combining parking lots and reducing the number of entrances and exits.	56
Figure 4-39: Cut-through corner island and center median (based on OR DOT, 1995).	57
Figure 4-40: Ramped corner island and cut-through median (based on OR DOT, 1995).	57
Figure 4-41: Two horizontal lines are the most common crosswalk markings.	58
Figure 4-42: A ladder design was found to be the most visible type of pedestrian crosswalk marking.	58
Figure 4-43: Diagonal markings enhance visibility.	58
Figure 4-44: A large, easy-to-press button makes pedestrian-actuated traffic controls more usable for people with limited hand strength and dexterity.	60
Figure 4-45: Curb extensions at midblock crossings help reduce crossing distance.	61
Figure 4-46: Sight line obstructed by parked cars prevents drivers from seeing pedestrians starting to cross the street.	61
Figure 4-47: Partial curb extensions improve visibility between pedestrians and motorists.	62
Figure 4-48: Full curb extensions improve visibility between pedestrians and motorists.	62
Figure 4-49: Pedestrian and biker underpass.	62
Figure 4-50: When roads are not milled, layers of asphalt build up and make the crossing difficult for wheelchair users and others.	64
Figure 4-51: Milling roads from gutter to gutter prevents rapidly changing grades and makes intersections easier for wheelchair users to negotiate.	64
Figure 4-52: Stairs bridging low street elevation and high finished-floor elevation prevent wheelchair access into the building.	65
Figure 4-53: Steep cross-slopes bridging low street elevation and high finished-floor elevation make the sidewalk difficult for wheelchair users to travel across.	65

Figure 4-54: A level area at least 0.915 m (36 in) wide improves access when there is a low street elevation and high finished-floor elevation. 66

Figure 4-55: A higher curb provides a level pathway but might increase the slope of curb ramps if the sidewalk is narrow. 66

Figure 4-56: Traffic sign indicating upcoming steep grade (US DOT, 1988). 68

Figure 4-57: Pedestrian sign indicating upcoming steep grade. 68

Figure 5-1: Outdoor recreation access routes (ORARs) link accessible elements at a recreation site. 76

Figure 5-2: Trails often have maximum grades that are significantly steeper than typical running grades. 77

Figure 5-3: Well-designed switchbacks reduce the grade of a trail and make hiking easier for people with mobility disabilities. 78

Figure 5-4: Rest areas enhance the trail for all users. 79

Figure 5-5: Tree roots that break up the surface of the trail should be removed because they can cause users to trip. 82

Figure 5-6: The vertical clearance of a trail should depend on the designated user groups. 82

Figure 5-7: Soft surfaces are difficult for people with mobility impairments to negotiate and therefore should be avoided. 83

Figure 5-8: If a trail is accessible, the trail elements along the path also should be accessible. 85

Figure 5-9: Rubber waterbars are difficult for wheelchair users and bikers to push down when traveling uphill, but they are still more desirable than inflexible waterbars. 86

Figure 5-10: Swales can control drainage and eliminate the need for waterbars. 86

Figure 5-11: Separate pathways and clear signage can help reduce conflicts between users who travel at different speeds. 89

Figure 5-12: Trail signs can help clarify trail etiquette. 90