

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART**PROJECT: Capital Beltway Corridor Transportation Study (Alderton & Ragan)****PURPOSE AND NEED****Interagency Meeting:** 6/21/95**Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:** 7/24/95**Concurrence Received:**

FHWA - 1/24/96
 EPA - 8/30/95, 9/5/95
 COE - 9/13/95
 USFWS - verbal OK

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 9/8/95**Comments Received:**

NPS - no response
 NMF - N/A
 DNR - no response
 MOP - 9/11/95
 MDE - 9/11/95
 MHT - no response

Interagency Field Review:**ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY****Interagency Meeting:****Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:****Concurrence Received:**

FHWA -
 EPA -
 COE -
 USFWS -
 DNR -
 MDE -
 NPS -
 NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:**Comments Received:**

MHT -
 MOP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:**Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):****SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION****Interagency Meeting:****Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:****Concurrence Received:**

FHWA -
 EPA -
 COE -
 USFWS -
 DNR -
 MDE -
 NPS -
 NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:**Comments Received:**

MHT -
 MOP -

Remarks:

- informational Public Workshops were held 10/18 & 19/95; Informational Workshop held 12/11 and 12/12/96; Open Houses 9/18/97 & 10/16/97; Public Workshops 3 & 4/98; Alternatives Meeting tent. 10/99; Public Hearing tent. 10/00
- agency field review held in 4/5/96
- P/N request for concurrence was sent to FHWA on 7/27/95 (due 9/8/95); FHWA provided P/N comments on 9/15/95; P/N was revised and resubmitted 11/9/95; received concurrence 1/24/96
- for P/N, requested comments (not concurrence) from USFWS
- travel demand modeling underway for transit alternatives - due late June 99
- need to do environmental inventory of transit alternatives by 8/99

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT:

ICC (I-270 to US 1)

(Olsen & Atkins)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: N/A

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 3/31/95

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 4/28/95

Concurrence Received:

(5/12/95)

FHWA - 4/27/95
EPA - 5/18/95
COE - 7/24/95
USFWS - 7/27/95

Comments Received:

NPS - no response
NMF - 4/28/95
DNR - pending
MOP - 4/25/95
MDE - 4/28/95
MHT - 5/3/95

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting: 12/20/95

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 12/11/95

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 12/29/95

Concurrence Received:

(1/22/96)

FHWA - 12/28/95
EPA - 2/2/96 (conditional); 6/21/96 (final)
COE - 12/20/95
USFWS - 5/27/96
DNR - 12/20/95
NPS - 1/17/96
NMF - 4/1/96

Comments Received:

MHT - 1/5/96
MOP - 12/28/95
MDE - 6/13/96
NPS (Mike Gordon) - no response

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Concurrence Received:

Comments Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Alternates Meetings held 10/25/95, 11/1 & 2/95; Alts. Update Workshops held 10/17, 21 & 22/96; Public Hearing Sessions held 5/29 & 6/3, 4 & 7/97
- for Alternates Retained. a) COE concurred but still had issues (we responded 1/18/96); b) MOP commented 12/28/95 (we responded 1/25/96); c) USFWS commented 2/2/96; we sent interim response 2/13/96; final response sent 4/24/96; they concurred 5/27/96; d) EPA provided conditional concurrence 2/2/96; we sent interim response 2/14/96; final response sent 4/29/96; they concurred 6/21/96 - **BUT** we sent 8/12/96 response explaining that we will **not** model land use scenarios that will optimize the transit alternatives
- Supplemental Alternates Retained for Detailed Study concurrence package for alternatives to be included in the DEIS was distributed 11/13/96; revised package including UERA was distributed 12/11/96; a) MHT responded 12/3/96 and 2/7/97; b) NMF responded 1/14/97 - will defer to COE, EPA, FWS; c) COE concurred 1/21/97 w/comments, SHA responded 3/4/97; d) FWS commented 2/3/97, SHA responded 2/25/97; e) FHWA concurred 2/5/97; f) DNR concurred 2/11/97; g) NPS concurred 2/5/97; h) EPA concurred w/comments, SHA responded 3/6/97; i) MOP commented; j) MDE concurred 2/25/97 w/comments, SHA responded 3/4/97
- project indefinitely on hold; TSG work still underway

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: Middle River Employment Center Access Study (Groth & Murphy)

ORIGINAL PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 6/23/97 (special IAR)

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 7/16/97

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - commented 7/15/97 on draft P/N
EPA - verbally commented 9/12/97
COE - 9/3/97
JSFWS - 9/5/97 (w/comments)

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 8/15/97

Comments Received:

NPS - N/A
NMF - no response
DNR - 8/12/97
MOP - 8/7/97
MDE - verbal comments at 6/23/97 IAR - will send written comments
MHT - 8/6/97

Interagency Field Review: held 8/22/97 & 9/8/97

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting: 7/15/98

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 7/31/98 *

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 9/24/98
EPA - 10/14/98
COE - 1/14/99
USFWS - 11/24/98
DNR - 10/15/98
MDE - 2/12/99
NPS - no response
NMF - 10/23/98

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 8/31/98

Comments Received:

MHT - 10/5/98
MOP - 10/5/98

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Scoping Meeting 4/28/97; Alternatives Workshop 6/2/98; Public Hearing tent. 6/16/99
- for **original P/N** a) FHWA commented 7/15/97 on draft (not formal) P/N; b) MOP commented 8/7/97; SHA responded 8/22/97; c) COE concurred 9/3/97, but had comments; d) USFWS concurred 9/5/97, but had comments; e) EPA did not concur 9/2/97; EPA gave verbal comments 9/12/97
- P/N was revised to address all agency comments [focus on employment center & expand study area]; revised P/N was distributed to all agencies 12/1/97, with responses due 12/17/97; another revised P/N was distributed at 12/97 IAR, with concurrence/comment due by 1/8/98; - for **revised P/N**, a) FHWA e-mailed comments 11/97 and mailed comments on 1/24/97 on draft revised P/N; SHA responded in revised P/N distributed at 12/97 IAR; FHWA concurred 1/8/98; b) EPA conditionally concurred 1/7/98 with numerous comments; SHA responded 4/3/98; EPA provided additional comments 5/6/98; SHA responded with errata sheet and revised P/N on 5/7/98; EPA concurred 5/21/98. c) COE concurred 1/8/98 with numerous comments; SHA responded 4/3/98; COE provided additional comments 5/19/98; SHA responded 8/5/98; d) USFWS concurred 1/6/98; e) DNR commented 3/3/98; f) MDE commented 11/24/97 on draft revised P/N; SHA responded in revised P/N distributed at 12/97 IAR; MDE verbally said 1/5/98 that all of their comments had been addressed; g) MHT verbally said they have no comments on 12/2/97; h) OP gave verbal approval 1/7/98
- * For Alts. Retained, original package sent out 7/31/98, due 8/31/98; package revised based on 9/3/98 agency staff meeting and re-distributed at 9/16/98 IAR, concurrence due in 15 days 10/1/98; a) MHT provided comments on the draft pkg. on 7/16/98 & on final pkg. 10/5/98; b) COE commented 7/28/98 on draft pkg. & 11/3/98 on revised pkg.; SHA responded in matrix to all agencies at 11/98 IAR; SHA responded to COE again 12/3/98; COE concurred 1/14/99; c) EPA conditionally concurred on original pkg. 8/19/98. SHA responded in matrix to all agencies at 11/98 IAR; EPA concurred 10/14/98 on final pkg.; d) OP commented 10/5/98 on final pkg. - **Heather/team to provide growth mgt. checklists for D-Mod., F-Mod. & I-Mod.**; e) DNR conditionally concurred 8/28/98 on original pkg.; SHA responded 10/9/98 re: alts. being studied; DNR concurred 10/15/98 on final package, w/comments; SHA responded in matrix to all agencies at 11/98 IAR; f) MDE commented 9/15/98 on original pkg. & 11/4/98 on final pkg.; SHA responded again 12/3/98; COE concurred 2/12/99
- J/D and functional assessments completed 1/99
- Made CMS presentation 2/99 and MIS presentation 3/99 to BMC
- Addressing agency comments on PDEIS and preparing for Hearing

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: **Rockville Town Center Study** (Greene & Murphy)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: tent. 11/99

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

NPS -
NMF -
DNR -
OP -
MDE -
MHT -

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
OP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Involves MD 28 MD 355, MD 911, Middle Lane, Park Road
- P&N development underway
- Alternatives Meeting tent. 6/00; Public Hearing tent. 5/01

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT

US 1 (Bel Air By-Pass)

(Groth & Rahman)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: ?

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: ?

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 11/2/93
EPA - 10/28/93
COE - 11/9/93
USFWS - 4/12/94

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: ?

Comments Received:

NPS -
NMF -
DNR -
MOP - 11/1/93
MDE -
MHT -

Interagency Field Review: 11/3/95

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting: 2/21/96; 5/21/97

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 7/10/97

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 10/16/97
EPA - 2/12/98
COE - 10/15/97
USFWS - 11/20/97
DNR - 8/8/97
MDE - 11/18/97
NPS - N/A
NMF - no response

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 8/11/97

Comments Received:

MHT - 7/21/97 - verbally said they have no comments
MOP - draft comments provided 8/13/97 & final comments 10/10/97

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative): tent. 5/99

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Public Hearing held 3/24/99
- For Alts Retained: a) COE commented 7/22/97; SHA responded 9/5/97; they verbally concurred 9/25/97 and concurred in writing 10/15/97, w/recommendation to reduce median width near wetlands 6-12; b) MOP provided draft comments 8/13/97; Tom Folse verbally addressed their issue about the relationship between CMS study and this project; MOP provided final comments 10/10/97; SHA responded 1/7/98; c) EPA commented 9/16/97 - they have same concerns as COE 7/22/97 letter; SHA responded 1/7/98; EPA concurred 2/12/98; d) FHWA concurred 10/16/97, with comments to reduce median width in the south to 22 feet and provide retaining wall at W-7
- bog turtle survey Spring '99
- CMS/MIS presentation made to BMC 2/99

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT

US 1/MD 212

(Callum & Rahman)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: tent. 8 or 9/99

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

NPS -
NMF -
DNR -
OP -
MDE -
MHT -

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
OP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- P&N development underway - due from RIPD 4/99

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT:

MD 5 (Hughesville)

(Sacks & Rajan)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 4/16/97

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 4/30/97

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 5/30/97
EPA - 5/13/97
COE - 6/23/97 (w/comments)
JSFWS - 6/16/97

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 5/30/97

Comments Received:

NPS - no response
NMF - 6/4/97
DNR - 6/5/97
MOP - 6/2/97
MDE - verbally - have no comments
MHT - 5/22/97

Interagency Field Review: held 8/21/97

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting: 2/18/98

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 3/13/98

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 6/3/98
EPA - 6/1/98 (verbal "go-ahead" w/o concurrence)
COE - gave verbal "go-ahead" w/o concurrence
USFWS - 4/8/98
DNR - 4/22/98
MDE - verbally concurred 5/12/98
NMF - N/A

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 4/15/98

Comments Received:

MHT - 4/27/98
MOP - 5/7/98
NPS - 5/12/98 - verbal "no major comments"

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Alternates Meeting held 11/12/97; Public Hearing tent. 5/6/99
- for draft P/N, FHWA commented 3/12/97, MOP commented 3/20/97, EPA commented 4/15/97; P/N corrections were distributed to agencies 5/13/97
- for P/N. a) * letter resent to NPS (Sally Blumenthal, National Capital Region); b) EPA concurred 5/13/97, but also had comments; SHA responded 5/28/97; c) MOP commented 6/2/97, SHA responded 8/19/97; d) COE concurred, but also had comments; SHA responded 8/19/97
- for Alts. Retained, a) NPS requested to be a "commenting" agency - their main concern is Section 106 coordination; b) NMF will no longer be involved in project (per 3/20/98 phone call); c) pkg. mailed to MOP 3/30/98, comments due 5/1/98; OP commented 5/7/98; SHA responded 8/24/98; d) MDE verbally concurred 5/12/98 - will follow with written concurrence; e) NPS verbally had no major comments 5/12/98 - stressed importance of 106 coordination; f) EPA verbally said 6/1/98 to proceed with process even though they have not yet concurred; g) COE verbally said to proceed with process even though they have not yet concurred
- EA/draft 4f sent to FHWA for signature 3/19/99

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: **MD 28/MD 97** (Elroy & Rajan)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: tent. 7/99

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

NPS -
NMF -
DNR -
OP -
MDE -
MHT -

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
OP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- P&N development underway
- Need to arrange Scoping Meeting; Alternatives Meeting tent. 10/99; Public Hearing tent. 9/00

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT

MD 97/Randolph Rd

(Sacks & Radloff)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: tent. 6/99

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

NPS -
NMF -
DNR -
OP -
MDE -
MHT -

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
OP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- P&N development underway
- Alternatives Meeting tent. 2/00; Public Hearing tent 8/00

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: **MD 124 (Airpark Rd. To Warfield Rd.)** (Greene & Perrotta)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: tent. 5/99

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA
EPA
COE -
USFWS -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

NPS -
NMF -
DNR -
OP -
MDE -
MHT -

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
OP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- P&N being prepared
- Scoping Meeting 4/23/99
- Wetland corridor ID needs to be done
- Need to initiate Ad and bulk mailing and mail non-invasive letters

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT MD 201 & US 1 (Sunnyside Ave. to Contee Rd.) (Sacks & Bahman)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 4/20/94

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 5/17/94

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 6/23/94

Concurrence Received:

Comments Received:

FHWA - 4/25/96
EPA - 6/14/94
COE - 6/3/94
USFWS - 1/31/95 * (verbal)

NPS - 6/3/94
NMF - N/A
DNR - no response
MOP - 6/20/94
MDE - 6/22/94
MHT - N/A

Interagency Field Review: 8/30/94

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Concurrence Received:

Comments Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

MHT -
MOP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Concurrence Received:

Comments Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- held 9/20/94 Alternates Public Meeting
- P/N request for concurrence was sent to FHWA on 5/20/94 (also due 6/23/94)
- * USFWS verbally said they have no P/N comments
- we responded to NPS's 6/3/94 P/N comments on 3/6/96
- FHWA provided extensive comments on initial P/N and requested that revised document be resent to all agencies for concurrence/comment; P/N was resent to FHWA for concurrence on 9/16/94; FHWA had comments on second version of P/N; the P/N (third version) was completed and sent to FHWA on 4/18/95; FHWA sent 4/25/96 response - they concurred with P/N, but still have issues w/northern terminus
- northern terminus issue needs to be resolved with FHWA; need to determine scope of project - traffic being developed that will address northern terminus

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT

MD 210 (I-95/495 to MD 228)

(Greene & Radloff)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 11/19/97

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 12/23/97

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 1/22/98
EPA - 4/21/98
COE - 1/7/98
USFWS - 1/15/98

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 1/23/98

Comments Received:

NPS - no response
NMF - no response
DNR - 1/26/98
MOP - commented 1/25/98
MDE - 7/16/98 verbal: no comments
MHT - 1/14/98 e-mail: no comments

Interagency Field Review: has been held

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting: tent. 5/99??

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
OP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Scoping Meeting held 8/97; Alternatives Meeting held 12/3/98; tent. Public Hearing 3/00
- for P/N, a) FHWA commented 11/17/97 on draft P/N; SHA responded 1/5/98; FHWA concurred 1/22/98; b) COE commented 12/19/97 on draft P/N and concurred on 1/7/98 with same comments; SHA responded to both on 1/14/98; c) MOP commented 1/23/98; SHA responded 2/20/98; d) EPA commented 1/27/98; SHA responded 2/20/98; EPA wanted to see revised P/N before signing off; P/N faxed to EPA; EPA concurred 4/21/98; e) NPS verbally requested 2 week extension on 1/27/98; f) MDE verbally said 7/16/98 that they have no comments beyond what other agencies have provided
- waiting for COG traffic modeling
- scope is changing to add additional work at Oxon Hill (need to coordinate with WWB & I-495 projects)

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT:

US 219 at Oakland

(Greene & Maloney)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 11/20/96

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 2/26/97

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 12/2/97
EPA - 2/17/98
COE - 11/19/97
USFWS - 11/20/97

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 3/31/97

Comments Received:

NPS - 11/17/97 - verbal "no comment"
NMF - N/A
DNR - 4/11/97
MOP - 3/31/97; 11/18/97 (revised P/N)
MDE - verbal comments
MHT - 3/25/97

Interagency Field Review: April 6 & 7, 1998

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting: 11/18/98

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 2/9/99

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 2/24/99
EPA - 2/18/99
COE - 4/2/99
USFWS - 2/10/99 (gave 'no action' response)
DNR - 3/15/99
MDE - 3/4/99
NPS - N/A
NMF - N/A

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 3/9/99

Comments Received:

MHT - no response (awaiting archeology report)
OP - 3/18/99

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Scoping Meeting 2/26/97; Alternatives Meeting 6/18/98; Public Hearing tent. 9/99
- for P/N: a) MOP commented; SHA responded 4/22/97; b) FHWA commented 4/2/97; c) EPA commented 5/13/97; d) FWS commented (draft) 5/21/97; FWS commented (final) 7/17/97; e) COE won't concur in writing until they see that wording change has been made; **REVISED P/N AND ERRATA SHEET DISTRIBUTED AT 10/97 IAR - AGENCIES GIVEN 30 DAYS TO RESPOND (NO FORMAL SECOND REQUEST FOR CONCURRENCE/COMMENT);** a) FHWA concurred 12/2/97; b) USFWS concurred 11/20/97; c) COE concurred 11/19/97; d) EPA concurred 2/17/98
- wetland J/D 11/17/98
- for Alts Retained, a) FHWA provided e-mail comments 11/2/98 on draft pkg.; team addressed in formal pkg.; b) OP commented 3/18/99; SHA responded 4/12/99; c) MHT wants to see archeology report before commenting
- need to identify potential wetland mitigation sites

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: I-270/US 15 (Shady Grove Metro Station to Biggs Ford Rd) (Elravs & Hallman)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 6/21/95

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 9/5/95

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 11/1/95
EPA - 10/23/95
COE - 9/18/95
USFWS - 9/23/95

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 10/20/95

Comments Received:

NPS - no response
NMF - N/A
DNR - no response
MOP - 10/30/95
MDE - no response
MHT - 9/28/95

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting: 10/21/98

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 11/4/98

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 12/9/98
EPA - 12/21/98
COE - 12/7/98, w/comments
USFWS - said to proceed w/o their concurrence
DNR - 12/17/98
MDE - 11/19/98
NPS - 12/8/98
NMF - N/A

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 12/7/98

Comments Received:

MHT - 11/30/98
MOP - 12/7/98

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Alternates Public Workshops held 12/5/95 and 1/23/96; series of Alternates Workshops/MIS Hearings held 3/5 & 3/12/97; tent. 12/00 Public Hearing for P/N, a) MOP commented 10/30/95; SHA responded 12/19/97
- J/D held 4/30/98 & 5/1/98 for third of project; remainder of J/D to be arranged tent. 5/99
- For Alts. Retained, a) FHWA commented on draft package 10/13/98; SHA responded in forma; concurrence pkg. (11/4/98) and with 11/4/98 e-mail; b) COE concurred w/comments on 12/7/98; SHA responded 1/4/99; c) OP commented 12/7/98; SHA responded 1/4/99; d) FWS verbally said to proceed w/o their concurrence
- working on detailed travel demand analyses
- agencies will be requested to concur with removal of Technology Blvd. and I-270/Watkins Mill Rd. Ext. from project, and addition of HOT lane investigation to study

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT

I-270/Walkins Mill Rd. Ext.

(Elrays & Hoffman)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: ??

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

NPS -
NMF -
DNR -
MOP -
MDE -
MHT -

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- project identified as pilot streamlining project
- Scoping Meeting held 3/1/99; Alternatives Meeting tent. 9/99; Public Hearing tent. 3/00
- project overview and P/N presented at 3/17/99 IAR
- need to arrange interagency field review
- need to work with agencies to develop strategy to streamline process - P/N and other concurrence point strategies to be discussed at 4/99 IAR

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: **US 301/US 59 to MD 5 Interchange @ FB - Northern Corridor** (Grooms & Atkins)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 3/15/95

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 3/1/95

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 4/4/95
EPA - 3/21/95
COE - 3/27/95
USFWS - 4/12/95

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 3/15/95

(4/12/95)

Comments Received:

NPS - no response
NMF - 3/14/95
DNR - no response
MOP - 3/21/95
MDE - 3/22/95
MHT - 3/28/95

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- held three 6/95 workshops to present the 6 study packages
- held Task Force Hearings June 17, 19, 24, 1996; Hearings 6/9/98
- received agency concurrence on NEPA corridor (2 tier) approach (verbal)
- impact matrix approved by agencies 7/11/96
- all wetland J/D reviews completed for constrained areas in the northern & southern corridors
- continuing with MD 4 interchange feasibility study (demonstration project on land use and transportation)
- since Tier I document is an undertaking, a process programmatic agreement is required
- will send FEIS to FHWA mid/late April

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: **US 301 (US 50 to South of MD 197)** (Grooms & Atkins)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 3/15/95

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 3/1/95

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 3/15/95

Concurrence Received:

(4/12/95)

Comments Received:

FHWA - 4/4/95
EPA - 3/21/95
COE - 3/27/95
USFWS - 4/12/95

NPS - no response
NMF - 3/14/95
DNR - no response
MOP - 3/21/95
MDE - 3/22/95
MHT - 3/28/95

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting: tent. Summer 99

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Concurrence Received:

Comments Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

MHT -
MOP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Concurrence Received:

Comments Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- this section of US 301 corridor broken out of Northern Corridor into separate, traditional NEPA project
- Public Hearing tent. 6/00
- can't proceed with development of alternatives until Northern Corridor Tier I FEIS is signed; Tier II will be kicked off with ARDS step
- project may not follow Merged Process, depending on impacts
- will be working with a focus group to possibly develop another alternative

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: US 301 (MD 5 Int. @ IB to South of LaPlata - Southern Corridor) (Greens & Atkins)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 3/15/95

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 3/1/95

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 3/15/95

Concurrence Received:

(4/12/95)

FHWA - 4/4/95
EPA - 3/21/95
COE - 3/27/95
USFWS - 4/12/95

Comments Received:

NPS - no response
NMF - 3/14/95
DNR - no response
MOP - 3/21/95
MDE - 3/22/95
MHT - 3/28/95

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Concurrence Received:

Comments Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

MHT -
MOP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Concurrence Received:

Comments Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS -
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- held three 6/95 workshops to present the 6 study packages
- held Task Force Hearings June 17, 19, 24, 1996; 2/96-4/97 - held series of public meetings; Alts. Meeting tent. 7 or 8/99; Public Hearing tent. 6/00
- received agency concurrence on NEPA corridor (2 tier) approach (verbal)
- impact matrix approved by agencies 7/11/96
- all wetland J/D reviews completed for constrained areas in southern & northern corridors
- will seek corridor approval (Tier 1/Tier 2) for south of Waldorf and MD 5 light rail; will seek traditional NEPA approval (Location Approval - more detail) for Waldorf area (all to be included in one environmental document)
- Allison to arrange agency field reviews
- Ad & bulk mailing (and non-invasive letters) temporarily on hold

MERGED-PROCESS TRACKING CHART

PROJECT: **MD 355/Montrose Rd. Intersection** (Groth & Hoffman)

PURPOSE AND NEED

Interagency Meeting: 1/20/99

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent: 2/1/99

Concurrence Received:

FHWA - 2/24/99
EPA - 2/18/99
COE - 3/2/99, with comments
USFWS - no response

Concurrence/Comment Response Due: 3/1/99

Comments Received:

NPS - no response
NMF - no response
DNR - 3/18/99
MOP - 2/23/99
MDE - no response
MHT - no response

Interagency Field Review:

ALTERNATIVES RETAINED FOR DETAILED STUDY

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Potential Mitigation Sites Identified:

Interagency Meeting (Recommended Alternative):

SELECTED ALTERNATIVE AND MITIGATION

Interagency Meeting:

Concurrence/Comment Letter Sent:

Concurrence Received:

FHWA -
EPA -
COE -
USFWS
DNR -
MDE -
NPS -
NMF -

Concurrence/Comment Response Due:

Comments Received:

MHT -
MOP -

Remarks:

- Alternatives Meeting tent. 9/99
- Project may eventually be removed from Merged Process
- For P&N, a) OP commented 1/4/99 on draft; incorporated into revised P/N package and letter response sent 1/26/99; OP commented 2/23/99 on formal pkg. - **Michelle/team to respond**; b) FHWA provided verbal comments on draft P&N; c) COE concurred 3/2/99 w/comments; SHA responded 3/9/99
- Need to schedule agency field review in 5/99