The Local Distribution Conundrum in Catastrophic Events

José Holguín-Veras, William H. Hart Professor Director of the VREF Center of Excellence for Sustainable Urban Freight Systems jhv@rpi.edu, Office 518-276-6221, Cell 518-221-7556

Our goal is to avert this...



"We are asking for food, water, medicine, shelter and clothing. Aren't we humans?"

(Pictures taken by JHV 10 days after the disaster)

- Fieldwork: 9/11, Katrina, Indian Ocean, Haiti, Chile, Joplin, Japan, Nepal, Ecuador, etc. etc.
- Diagnosis and characterization:
 - Causes of problems encountered
 - How humanitarian logistics take place
 - Quantification:
 - Aimed at obtaining empirical estimates
 - Provide support to analytical modeling
- Define mechanisms to improve response
 - ♦ Policy Suggestions → FEMA, Catastrophic Planning Groups
- Basic research on analytical modeling
 - To develop Decision Support Tools

The Top Ten Lessons Learned During Our Fieldwork...





- ◆ Disaster Response is a Socio-Technical Process
 ◆ Disasters ≠ ≠ ≠ ≠ ≠ ≠ ≠ ≠ Catastrophes
- Commercial Logistics ≠ ≠ ≠ Post-Disaster Logistics
- Controlling Material Convergence is a MUST
- In Catastrophes: Local Distribution Is <u>The</u>
 <u>Challenge</u>, Solution: <u>Collaborative Aid Networks</u>
- Effective Private Sector Integration is KEY
- Supply and Demand Are Very Dynamic, Be Ready
- Controlling Precautionary/Opportunistic Buying Helps
- Preventing Collapse of Private Supply Chains Helps
- Comprehensive Approaches Are Needed

Lesson #1: Disaster Response (DR) is More Than a Technical Problem...





DR is a Socio-Technical System (STS)...⁽¹⁾

The Disaster Response System A social network of individuals orchestrate operations

The set technical activities performed by the social network

The other socio-technical systems (e.g., transportation, communication) that support the disaster response



Resiliency and Disaster Response <u>depends on</u> the <u>Social</u> at the <u>Technical</u> at the core of the STS involved

Lesson #2: Disaster and Catastrophes Are Not the Same...





Disasters vs. Catastrophes ^(3, 4)

Disaster:

…a non-routine event that exceeds the capacity of the affected area to respond to it in such a way as to save lives; to preserve property; and to maintain the social, ecological, economic, and political stability of the affected region…"

Catastrophe:

- * "most or all of the community-built structure is heavily impacted... [and] facilities and operational bases of most emergency organizations are themselves usually hit";
- * "local officials are unable to undertake their usual work role";
- "help from nearby communities cannot be provided";
 "most, if not all, of the everyday community functions are sharply and concurrently interrupted"

Disaster: Joplin, Missouri (50,000 residents)



Disaster: Joplin, Missouri (160 deaths)

Private sector supply chains: partially destroyed

🕒 Q Q

Imagery Date: 6/7/2011

Multiple entry points

Challenging but doable local distribution

o Iron G es

Local supplies: partially destroyed

Duquesne

Small to midsize geographic area

Silver Creek

© 2011 Europa Technologies

Dennis Acres

Google

13 76 km

Catastrophe: Minami Sanriku (19,170 residents)¹⁴



Catastrophe: Minami Sanriku (1,205 fatalities)

Geocentre Consulting

Few entry points

Extremely complex local distribution

Private sector supply chains severely impacted

magery Date: 3/13/2011 2

Most local supplies are destroyed

Minamisanriku, Motoyoshi District, Miyagi Prefecture, Japan

Could be an extremely large geographic area

Eye alt 3.60 km

<u>3000[6</u>

15

Lesson #5-A: In Catastrophic Events the Local Distribution Is The Most Difficult Challenge... ^(1,2)





Resources (staff-hours) consumed (Haiti)



Semi-trailer (driver and helper) moving 30 tonnes: Santo Domingo-Port-au-Prince (six hours drive)

Loading: 10 staff-hours (forklifts)Driving: 12 staff-hoursTotal: 22 staff hours

Six 5 tonne trucks (driver and helper) transport to six PODs (1.5 hours each way)

Ο

Ο

Ο

Ο

Ο

Loading: 240 staff-hours (helpers) Driving: 36 staff-hours Total: 276 staff hours

Helpers split rations, organize distribution, handout rations

PODs

Loading: 240 staff-hours Rations: 1,080 staff-hours Total: 1,320 staff hours

Relative manpower used \rightarrow 1:12:60

Lesson #5-B: Only the Collaborative Aid Networks Can Do the Local Distribution Effectively (Haiti's Lesson) ⁽²⁾





Why did this happen?

Home Page Today's Paper Video Most Popular Times Topics Most Recent

Get Home Delivery Log In Register Now



· Clinton Goes to Haiti

Post a Comment | Read (200)

Emergent Humanitarian Logistic Structures

Three structures emerge with vastly different network topologies: Agency Centric Efforts, Partially Integrated Efforts, and Collaborative Aid Networks



ACEs/PIEs in action







CANs in action: Servicio Social de Iglesias



Implication

- After large catastrophic events, the most efficient way to distribute critical supplies at the local level is through the use of the existing social networks
 - Extending the mission of these networks is easier than creating a network from scratch
 - Outside efforts are doomed to be ineffective for distribution:
 - They are not geared for that, their strength is long-haul
 - Too many PODs are needed: cars are not an option, people cannot walk several miles to get supplies
 - Not enough man-power to man the PODs needed
 - Not enough local know-how





The Local Distribution Conundrum





Chief Implication: The local capacity to respond has been significantly compromised...

- Local supplies have been destroyed
- Communication/Financial networks are not fully operational
- The outside help has not been able to connect with the local logistic networks... (the "truck" crisis...)
- The local private sector cannot be expected to provide significant assistance ...
- * "local officials are unable to undertake their usual work role";
- \rightarrow The bulk of the help has to come from the outside...





Current Response Framework Assumes...

The locals are expected to fend by themselves during the first 48 hours...

FEMA is expected to deliver within 72 hours to:

- State and Local Governments
- The local distribution of supplies is expected to be undertaken by the locals

In catastrophic events, the locals are not likely to be able to undertake the local distribution



How Big Is the Challenge?





The Major Challenge is the Local Distribution...

The number, size, and location of PODs (has a huge impact in the wellbeing of beneficiaries)

\star Key \rightarrow to have the right number of PODs, of the right size

Too few PODs lead to huge delays and suffering

*Too many PODs, or PODs larger than needed \rightarrow Waste

Deciding on the optimal POD Network is not trivial

- Data about needs are shaky, non-existent, or incomplete
- The optimal configuration of the POD Network depends on the: level of difficulty to access the PODs, distance to the PODs, population density, cost of installing/ manning the PODs, risk faced by beneficiaries, etc. etc.

Efficient and effective use of resources is essential





28

Result of an inadequate POD system...Haiti







Resources Required to Deliver Aid in PR

Input variables				
Affected Population	1,700,000			

Estimate Optimal POD Configuration

POD Configuration		
Number of PODs	334	
Number of Servers/lanes per POD	8	
Ration size (days of ration/beneficiary)	10	

Resource requirements		
Manpower	17,727	
Supplies (tons)	34,000	
Trucks	5,678	

Logistics (direct) costs				
Fixed cost for PODS	\$	10,020,000		
Manpower cost	\$	42,545,481		
Distribution cost to PODS	\$	108,266,083		
Indirect costs				
Walking to POD	\$	61,374,002		
Waiting at POD	\$	3,292,552		
Replenishment of lost supplies	\$	630		
Keeping effort	\$	3,442,500		
Total Cost	\$	228,941,247		

Estimated with the model developed by Jaller and HV

To Deliver Supplies to Puerto Rico

FOOD and WATER (11 pounds/day)				
Input variables	100% needs	50% needs		
Affected Population	3,410,000	1,700,000		
Impacted Area (square miles)	3,515	3,515		
POD Configuration				
Number of PODs	569	355		
Number of Servers/lanes per POD	9	8		
Ration size (days of ration/beneficiary)	10	10		
Resource requirements				
Manpower	33,762	18,842		
Supplies (tons)	15,500	7,727		
Trucks	2,845	1,420		

A large deployment from the outside, e.g., National Guard to provide the backbone of the local distribution network...

- A parallel effort of local community organization to put in place the POD Network by...
 - Taking advantage of the Collaborative Aid Networks, e.g., religious organizations, civic groups...
 - Finding the trucks needed...(locally)
 - Locating the PODs in the right places...
 - Creating PODs with the right size...
 - Securing the local manpower needed...
 - Increasing the rations given to beneficiaries

A parallel effort to re-start private sector supply chains

Questions?

José Holguín-Veras, William H. Hart Professor

Director of the VREF Center of Excellence for Sustainable Urban Freight Systems



jhv@rpi.edu, Office 518-276-6221, Cell 518-221-7556



References

- Holguín-Veras, J., M. Jaller, L. N. Van Wassenhove, N. Pérez and T. Wachtendorf (2012). "On the Unique Features of Post-Disaster Humanitarian Logistics." <u>Journal of Operations</u> <u>Management 30: 494-506.</u>
- Holguín-Veras, J., M. Jaller and T. Wachtendorf (2012). "Comparative Performance of Alternative Humanitarian Logistic Structures after the Port-au-Prince Earthquake: ACEs, PIEs, and CANs." <u>Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice</u> 46(10): 1623-1640.
- 3. Quarantelli, E. L., 2006. Catastrophes are different from disasters: implications for crisis planning and managing drawn from katrina. understanding Katrina: perspectives from the social sciences. Posted, November 15, 2010, Retrieved November 16, 2010, from http://understandingkatrina.ssrc.org/Quarantelli/
- 4. Wachtendorf, T., Brown, B., Holguín-Veras, J., 2012. Catastrophe characteristics and their impact on critical supply chains: problematizing materiel convergence and management following Hurricane Katrina. Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management (in press).
- Holguín-Veras, J., M. Jaller, L. N. Van Wassenhove, N. Pérez and T. Wachtendorf (2012). "Material Convergence: An Important and Understudied Disaster Phenomenon." <u>Natural Hazards Review</u> 15(1): 1-12.
- Holguín-Veras, J., E. Taniguchi, M. Jaller, F. Aros-Vera, F. Ferreira and R. G. Thompson (2014). "The Tohoku disasters: Chief Lessons Concerning the Post Disaster Humanitarian Logistics Response and Policy Implications." <u>Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice</u> 69(0): <u>86-104.</u>