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Accelerated Construction Technology Transfer (ACTT) is a strategic process that identifies innovative techniques and technologies to reduce construction time on major highway projects while enhancing safety and improving quality. In September 2003, the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) hosted a two-day workshop that applied ACTT principles and practices to the real-life case of “Project Pegasus,” a major reconstruction of downtown Dallas traffic arteries now in the planning phases.

The workshop, which was held September 9-11 in Dallas, Texas, brought together almost 100 attendees from 19 states, including Washington, DC. Its purpose was twofold: to draw on the expertise of participants to help generate specific, practical recommendations for the ongoing development of Dallas’s Project Pegasus; and to demonstrate for attendees how the ACTT process works in a real-life scenario so that they could apply ACTT in their own agencies. The key element of the workshop was the brainstorming session, which brought together experts from across the country with their local counterparts to search for methods and measures that would help TxDOT achieve its chief project objectives, namely minimizing construction time and traffic delays.

Project Pegasus consists of total reconstruction of the IH30/IH35E interchange — locally known as the “Mixmaster” — as well as other portions of both highways. The project will involve some 11 miles of roadway and over 99 entrance/exit ramps; moreover, the roads to be rebuilt are crossed by busy freight and commuter rail lines, and wind their way through and near historic buildings, hospitals, public parks, and flood-control levees. Because neither IH30 nor IH35E has been substantially improved since their original construction in the early 1960s, the redesign of the corridors will necessarily be dramatic in order to comply with current safety requirements and traffic-management guidelines. Another challenge presented by the project is handling the hundreds of thousands of vehicles that travel through the Mixmaster interchange each day. These are precisely the issues that ACTT was developed to confront, making Project Pegasus a natural choice as the topic for a national ACTT workshop.

Opening the workshop on September 9 were three officials representing TxDOT: Robert Nichols of the Texas Transportation Commission, Dallas district engineer Bob Brown, and the city’s interim director of transportation planning and development Brian Barth. Following their remarks, the Chair of TRB A5T60, Don Lucas, posed the question “Why ACTT? Why Now?” before bringing on several TxDOT representatives to give an overview of Project Pegasus.

Over the course of the following day and a half, participants broke into nine “Skill Set” teams to examine how ACTT methods could be implemented to accelerate various aspects of the project. Once the Skill Set teams had developed lists of ideas, workshop participants began intermingling so that members could consult with experts from other Skill Sets. As the workshop progressed, each team completed report forms summarizing their ideas and recommendations (included as Appendix C), and also narrowed the results of their brainstorming and consultation down to a list of five to seven “priority” recommendations. These lists were then presented by each Skill Set team to the entire conference.

The workshop Skill Sets selected by TxDOT prior to the start of the workshop were: Environment; Geotechnical/Materials/Accelerated Testing; Structures; Right-of-Way/Utilities/Railroad; Innovative Financing and Contracting; Roadway/Geometrics; Traffic Engineering/Safety/ITS/Worker Health; Construction; and
Long-Life Pavements/Maintenance. Each Skill Set team focused on how the ACTT process applied to the specific concerns of their area of expertise while collectively, the teams searched for methods/measures to help TxDOT achieve its goals of maintaining traffic with minimal disruption, accommodating regional/national/international events, providing access to emergency facilities, reducing construction time from 7 to 4 years, and maintaining a safe work zone.

To help TxDOT achieve its project goals, the teams offered the following recommendations, many of which were deemed viable and will be pursued, according to TxDOT Dallas District management:

**Environmental**
- Consider tree “buffer” to mitigate Section 4(f) impact
- Coordinate timing of Pegasus with that of other Dallas-area roadway projects
- Allow time in project schedule to deal with historic-property issues
- Develop contingency plans for contaminated soil/groundwater
- Noise study still needed

**Geotechnical/Materials**
- Check for contaminated soil before design and construction phases
- Consider future needs, geometry, etc. when looking at retaining-wall options
- Make aesthetic-related decisions early in design phase
- Dallas has problematic soils; address stabilization options early

**Structures**
- Prepare structure development report
- Call for contractor bids when design plans 30% complete
- Prefab as much as possible
- Consider prefab, temporary, reusable bridges during construction

**Right-Of-Way/Utility/Railroad**
- Initial cost estimates in this category were probably too low
- Outsource land acquisition
- Hire one utility consultant to coordinate with all utilities
- Determine staging-area needs (e.g., hazmat, pavement recycling) early on
- Use new electro-resistivity technology for SUE investigation

**Long Life Pavement Design**
- Long-term warranties are needed
- Use CRC with 4”-5” asphalt overlay
- Maximize use of recycled concrete
- On-site concrete and asphalt plants if possible
- Use ITS and timed closures to divert traffic

**Innovative Contracting/Financing**
- Consider joint use agreements with city
- Establish tolls and managed lanes
- In procurement, look at parameters beyond lowest-bid
- Single contract is the best solution
- Delegate authority to single TxDOT project management team
Roadway/Geometrics

- Build or improve frontage roads early
- Route all utilities through a single conduit
- Use recycled pavement material
- Implement HOV restrictions and truck diversions during construction

Traffic/ITS/Safety

- Make worker safety and public safety a priority during planning
- Maintain ITS during construction
- Use local media and Web to provide traveler information
- Coordinate incident management strategies with local EMS, fire, police
- Find alternate routes to allow total closure of IH30
- Expand bus service and promote carpooling during project

Construction

- Use Design-Build with one large contract
- Involve construction industry early to minimize redesign
- 10-day turnaround on review/approval process
- Identify pavement-life goals; let designer and contractor find best solution
- Factor in time and expense of preparatory projects such as utility relocation

With the workshop now completed, it now remains for TxDOT to sift through the various workshop ideas/recommendations and decide which ideas should be implemented in future planning, design, and construction phases of Project Pegasus. Six-month and one-year meetings will be coordinated with TxDOT to evaluate the long-term benefits of the workshop and the extent of the implementation of its recommendations.
CHAPTER 1

ACTT Background & Purpose
In recent years, communities have witnessed a tremendous increase in highway construction activity, addressing the need to preserve or rebuild our highway infrastructure. Although highway construction is unavoidable, unnecessarily long construction time should be avoided because the process is costly, exposes construction workers to traffic, and subjects motorists to substandard conditions. Accelerated Construction Technology Transfer (ACTT) can help to minimize traffic delays and community disruptions by reducing cost and construction time, while improving construction quality and workzone safety.

1.1 BACKGROUND
ACTT is a strategic process that uses innovative technologies and techniques to reduce construction time on major highway projects while improving construction quality and workzone safety. A complete Accelerated Construction approach involves the evaluation of all aspects of highway projects from planning and development to design and construction within a highway corridor. Successfully deploying ACTT for the benefit of the traveling public requires a thorough examination of all facets of highway corridors, with the objective of improving safety, optimizing cost effectiveness, and minimizing adverse impacts.

Recommendations outlined in Special Report 249 from the Transportation Research Board (TRB) called for the creation of a forum to promote accelerated construction in the highway infrastructure. Based on this recommendation, TRB Task Force A5T60 was formed in 1999 with the following objectives:

- Remove barriers to innovation;
- Advocate continuous quality improvement and positive change;
- Enhance safety and mobility;
- Encourage the development of beneficial strategies; and
- Create a framework for evaluating proposed innovations.

Fully supporting the task force’s mission and objectives, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Technology Implementation Group (TIG) of the American Associations of State Transportation Officials (AASHTO) joined the task force’s outreach effort. This resulted in the formation of a national resource pool known as the “National Skill Sets Council” and completion of two ACTT pilot workshops. With successful completion of two ACTT pilot workshops (one in Indiana and the other in Pennsylvania), A5T60 passed the concept off to TIG and the FHWA to continue the effort by conducting all future workshops.

In 2003, the ACTT Management Team, consisting of TIG and FHWA representatives, started implementing the ACTT program by sharing its workplan with State DOTs and soliciting their consideration of the concept on major highway projects by hosting an ACTT workshop. The Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) selected “Project Pegasus” as the focus of the workshop. The rationales for choosing this particular project, which involves the reconstruction of IH 30 and IH 35E near downtown Dallas, include:

- The corridor was already due for major reconstruction and rehabilitation;
- Proximity to the major employment centers and high traffic volumes of downtown Dallas meant there was a need to accelerate construction;
- The project is still in the planning process and has not received environmental clearances; and
- TxDOT is open to innovation and willing to consider and apply new concepts.
1.2 PURPOSE OF ACTT
The purpose of an ACTT Workshop is to explore innovative ways that highway corridors could be brought to full service more quickly and safely, and with fewer adverse impacts on the traveling public. The Project Pegasus workshop brought a multidisciplinary national team of transportation professionals together with their local counterparts. Over the course of two days, the workshop participants explored innovative ways to accelerate the construction of Project Pegasus. The workshop included plenary sessions, breakout sessions, skill set interaction, closing remarks, and a follow-up action plan.
Project Pegasus
CHAPTER 2

Project Details
2.1 CORRIDOR DESCRIPTION

Project Pegasus is intended to totally re-design and restore mobility to the two major Interstate Highways directly serving downtown Dallas. The study area, shown in Figure 1, covers IH 30 from Sylvan to IH 45 and IH 35E from Eighth Street to Empire Central (north of SH 183). The interchange of IH 30 and IH 35E is locally known as the “Mixmaster” and the depressed portion of IH 30 south of downtown is known as the “Canyon.” The section of IH 35E from the Mixmaster to SH 183 is referred to as “Lower Stemmons.”

Figure 1. Project Location

The total project, scheduled for completion over 36 months, involves approximately 11 miles of roadway and over 99 existing entrance/exit ramps. Future freeway volumes range from 200,000 to 320,000 vehicles per day. The design widens both IH 30 and IH 35E and the interchange, and includes reversible HOV/Managed lanes. Among the challenges facing the project are: high traffic volumes, NAFTA-related traffic, the problem of balancing transportation needs with local access, extremely constrained right-of-way, parklands, historic buildings, meeting current design standards, potential construction impacts, affordability, and integrating urban design. The project team is currently at work on the schematics and environmental assessment, with an eye on development alternatives, traffic considerations, and extensive public and agency input.
2.2 ACTT GOALS
It was TxDOT’s hope that the ACTT approach could help reduce construction time while giving Dallas motorists a high-quality product. TxDOT established seven goals for ACTT Workshop participants:

- Maintain traffic with minimal disruption
- Accommodate regional/national/international events
- Provide access to emergency facilities and businesses
- Reduce construction time to 4 years
- Maintain a safe work zone
- Minimize the delays introduced by right-of-way, utilities, and railroad
- Incorporate context sensitive design

2.3 PROJECT PEGASUS OBJECTIVE AND GOALS
The primary objective of Project Pegasus is to relieve traffic congestion along IH 30, IH 35E, and throughout the Mixmaster interchange. The goals for the project include:

- Maximizing the vehicular capacity of the freeway system by integrating High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes, Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), Transportation Systems Management (TSM), and Travel Demand Management (TDM) elements into the design
- Minimizing the need for additional right-of-way
- Providing more reliable transportation facilities by decreasing congestion and travel times
- Improving interregional connections to existing and proposed roadways and transit facilities
- Enhancing travel and accessibility to downtown Dallas, major employment areas and activity centers within the corridor
- Maintaining bicycle and pedestrian access across the facilities
- Integrating urban design elements that reflect the character and location of the surrounding communities and
- Finding a solution that is both technically and financially feasible

2.4 PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS
The general concept is for five to six lanes in each direction with one- or two-lane reversible HOV/Managed lanes in the median. A continuous frontage road system is also proposed along portions of the route to maintain access to adjacent properties. Estimated construction and right-of-way costs are approximately $750 million, as of May 2003. The following highlights the elements of the preferred design:

- Includes a continuous and reversible HOV/M lane system
- Adds one general-purpose travel lane in each direction in some areas
- Meets current design standards for freeway lanes and shoulder widths
- Eliminates left-hand merges and diverges
- Provides “lane continuity,” so that drivers need not change lanes to stay on same freeway
- Eliminates inside merges on main lanes
- Includes direct connections in all directions in the IH 30/IH 35E interchange
- Eliminates the severe freeway weaving area between Spur 366 and DNT
- Provides continuous surface frontage roads along IH 30 and IH 35E
- Eliminates the current Collector-Distributor (C-D) roads adjacent to the Canyon main lanes
- Simplifies South Central Expressway interchange with IH 30
- Provides HOV/M lane access at Commerce Street and Medical Market Center
• Allows adequate horizontal and vertical clearance for bicycle and pedestrian crossings
• Incorporates aesthetic elements, landscaping and urban design treatments
• Provides 10-foot sidewalks on freeway cross-streets
• Accommodates bicycles in a shared lane by allowing 14-foot outside lane widths at cross streets over/under the freeway
• Includes ITS

2.5 PROJECT BACKGROUND
The IH 30 and IH 35E corridors were studied as part of the Major Transportation Investment Study (MTIS) conducted on the Trinity Parkway Corridor between 1996 and 1998. The purpose of the MTIS was to develop a solution to congestion in the IH 30 Canyon and IH 35E/IH 30 interchange near the Dallas Central Business District (CBD) and the Trinity River. The study — which extensively involved the public and public agencies — evaluated numerous travel modes, considered over 40 alternative approaches, and produced preliminary designs, traffic, hydraulic, and environmental analyses.

The final $1 billion recommendation included improvements to the existing interchange and interstates; HOV lanes; a new tollway; an extension to Spur 366; a light rail line; bicycle and pedestrian improvements; ITS; and employer trip reduction programs. Because no single agency would be responsible for designing and building all of the recommended improvements and many of the improvements have independent utility, they are being further developed by the appropriate agencies. Project Pegasus addresses the improvements to the Mixmaster and interstate highways, while incorporating HOV lanes, ITS, and bicycle and pedestrian elements in the corridor.

2.5.1 PROJECT CHALLENGES
Designed in the 1950s, IH 30 and IH 35E were built between 1958 and 1962. The current design of the freeway, service roads, ramps, and surface streets in the area contribute to the poor operation of the freeways and do not properly provide for today's major traffic demands. Forced lane changes, abrupt and unexpected merges, short weaves, and left-hand entrance/exits compound the problems. Additionally, the IH 30/IH 35E interchange does not include direct connections from eastbound IH 30 to southbound IH 35E and northbound IH 35E to westbound IH 30.

Additionally, the design standards for freeway and interstates have changed since the roadways were built. The roadways do not meet current design standards with regard to ramp acceleration and deceleration lengths, spacing of interchanges and ramps, vertical clearances, horizontal clearances, and sight distances.

Congestion in this area slows travel for many miles along other freeways feeding into downtown, such as IH 35E, IH 45, US 75, and IH 30. No significant improvements to roadway capacity have been implemented since these freeways were originally constructed. Several bottleneck removal projects have been implemented, which have provided only minor relief in traffic. The travel demand along the IH 30/IH 35E corridors is beyond the current capacity of the freeways. This is most evident in the morning and evening rush hours on weekdays, with heaviest traffic flows northbound and westbound in the morning hours, and southbound and eastbound in the evening hours. On average days, traffic on the freeways is congested for more than six hours daily, with average speeds of approximately 20 mph.
The redesign of IH 30 and IH 35E have provided numerous challenges to the design team, including:

- **NAFTA Corridor & Truck Traffic** – Heavy truck traffic on IH 35E is estimated to be over 10 percent of daily traffic volumes. Given daily volumes of 320,000 in some areas, this equates to over 32,000 trucks a day.

- **Multiple Major Traffic Movements** – There are five major interchanges within a very short distance – IH 30/IH 45, IH 30, IH 35E, IH 35E/Spur 366, and IH 35E/Dallas North Tollway (DNT). The weaving areas between the major movements conflict and influence traffic operations significantly.

- **Balancing Transportation Needs with Local Access** – There are 99 existing entrance and exit ramps within this 11-mile long project area. The primary purpose of the interstate system is national defense, not local access. Current interstate design standards for ramp spacing and weaving distances will not permit all of the existing entrance and exit ramps to remain in the new design.

- **Constrained Right-of-Way** – These corridors are highly developed and right-of-way is limited by development, railroads, and the Trinity River Levee system.
  - **Development** - The property adjacent to the freeway is home to numerous large buildings and activity centers, including the Dallas Convention Center, Reunion Arena, American Airlines Center, Dallas Market Hall, InfoMart, World Trade Center, and seven hospitals.
  - **Railroads** - Four active railroads cross IH 30 and IH 35E. The Union Pacific Transcontinental rail line crosses both IH 30 and IH 35E with 40 trains a day. The DART Light Rail crosses IH 30 and carries both the Blue and Red Line with 365 trains per weekday. The Trinity Railway Express Commuter Rail line crosses IH 35E near SH 183 and
carries over 50 passenger trains per weekday and is an active freight line. Three of these bridges will require full reconstruction while maintaining rail operations.

- **Trinity River Levee System** - The levees are approximately 35 feet tall and provide flood protection to the City of Dallas. Both IH 30 and IH 35E cross over the top of the levees and then must drop to ground level to pass under the Houston Street Viaduct.

- **Environmental Issues** – There are four publicly owned city parks immediately adjacent to the interstates. In addition, several historic properties are adjacent to the freeways, including Farmers Market, Dealey Plaza Historic District, West End Historic District, and several other buildings eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The Houston Street Viaduct, which crosses over the Mixmaster area, was built in 1911 and is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The openings of the bridge are 65 foot wide and constrain the width of the freeways as well as both the horizontal and vertical alignments (see Figure 3).

**Figure 3. Houston Street Viaduct**

- **High Traffic Volumes** – Current traffic volumes within the study area range from 127,000 to 286,000. Future traffic volumes on the freeway in 2026 are projected to be between 200,000 to 320,000 vehicles per day. These volumes assume the Trinity Parkway will be in place and carry 80,000 to 100,000 vehicles per day.

- **Design Standards have Changed Since Roadways were Originally Built** – The existing roadway does not meet current interstate design standards for such parameters as horizontal and vertical clearances, lane and shoulder widths, acceleration/deceleration lanes on ramps, ramp spacing, sight distances, inclusion of left-hand exits and entrances, lane continuity, signage, and the ability to provide for incident management.

- **Access & Traffic Handling during Construction** – With so many activity centers including downtown, major employers, and hospitals within the corridors, constructability and access have been an underlying concern.

- **Affordability** – TxDOT and this region are faced with a funding shortfall, and no funding source has been determined for the project. Having an economic and efficient design that has the support of the community will be vital to moving towards funding and construction.

- **Urban Design** – Project Pegasus is one of the first Dallas-area projects in which the TxDOT, from the very early stages of planning, has actively considered urban design and landscape treatments to complement and enhance the aesthetic quality of the freeway corridors.

### 2.5.2 PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT

A large component of the project is public and agency involvement. In addition to a project newsletter, a website has been developed – (www.projectpegasus.org). This site has more much information than can be contained in a single newsletter, such as the project history, maps, and summaries of meetings. It also allows the design alternatives to be posted in .pdf format, thereby facilitating public review. There are also fill-in forms on the website allowing visitors to e-mail comments, be added to the mailing list, or request a presentation.
Other public/agency involvement efforts include the establishment of a Project Coordination Work Group and a Community Work Group, which both meet on a regular basis. To inform businesses and property owners, information packets have been hand-delivered to business and property owners along the corridor. A portable project kiosk has also been used at public locations within the project study area to inform the public about the project’s existence, purpose, need, and progress.

2.5.3 DESIGN DEVELOPMENT
The development of alternatives has occurred in three phases.

- In Phase 1 (November 2001 to May 2002), the study team developed conceptual alternatives. The alternatives were based on identified deficiencies and travel patterns in the study area, previous planning efforts, and public and agency input. Previous planning efforts include the Trinity Parkway Corridor MTIS recommendations for IH 30 and IH 35E.
- In Phase 2 (June 2002 to January 2003), having selected the most promising alternatives from Phase 1, the study team continued to develop these proposals to a higher level of detail — incorporating, as appropriate, comments and concerns from the public and study work groups.
- During Schematic Development (February 2003 to July 2003), the study team worked on refining the locally recommended design from Phase 2. This phase included the preparation of a detailed design schematic, design exception report, interstate access justification report, signing schematic, and environmental assessment.

The schematic design effort includes a detailed traffic analysis, signing schematic, Design Exception Report, Interstate Access Justification Report, and draft HOV/M operations plan.

2.5.4 VALUE ENGINEERING
Prior to beginning the schematic design, a week-long Value Engineering workshop was held to review the project design. Value Engineering is a program to improve project quality, reduce project costs, foster innovation, eliminate unnecessary and costly design elements, and ensure efficient investments. Held in March 2003, the workshop was attended by representatives from TxDOT, FHWA, City of Dallas, Dallas County, North Texas Tollway Authority, North Central Texas Council of Governments, and Texas Transportation Institute. Sixteen geometric and 10 structural issues were analyzed. The overall design and traffic operation would be improved by the recommendations and could mean potential cost savings of 6.5 percent.

2.5.5 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION
Based on the schematic design, an Environmental Assessment and Section 4(f) Statement are being prepared to document social, economic, and environmental effects. Because the project corridor is heavily developed, little impact to the natural environment is anticipated. Major social, economic and environmental issues include land use, access, park land, and historic properties. Awareness of these issues has helped the study team avoid and minimize impacts in most areas. In areas of impact, mitigation will be proposed.

2.5.6 URBAN DESIGN
The urban design component has also been initiated to enhance the transportation corridor environment from the perspectives of both motorists and the adjacent property owners. The Urban Design process involves ultimate “what if” items to be considered for future cost-shared community upgrade projects, such as signage, illumination, public art, landscaping, specialty pavement, community gateways, design of bridge structures, bridge column supports, and so forth.
In the IH 30 Canyon area, where the freeway is depressed, the concept of “lids” over the freeway to create deck parks has also been suggested. This element could be built later, after reconstruction of the freeway, but this option requires that the retaining walls be designed to support a future deck. The City of Dallas is evaluating costs and funding opportunities to finance the decks. The implementation of urban design elements will require cost sharing between the City of Dallas and TxDOT.

2.6 PROJECT STATUS

- The schematic was sent to TxDOT Design Division on July 9, 2003; it has subsequently been forwarded to FHWA for concurrent review.
- The design exception report, interstate access justification report, and signing schematic were sent to TxDOT Design Division on August 20, 2003.
- The draft EA and Section 4(f) Statement are approximately 85 percent complete.
CHAPTER 3

TxDOT Workshop Meeting Details
TxDOT hosted an ACTT Workshop for Project Pegasus on September 9-11, 2003, in Dallas, Texas. Almost 100 people attended from 19 states, including the District of Columbia. Appendix A includes a list of the attendees.

In a pre-workshop meeting with the ACTT Management Team, TxDOT selected the following skill set areas for the Project Pegasus ACTT Workshop:

- Environment
- Geotechnical/Materials/Accelerated Testing
- Structures
- Right-of-Way/Utilities/Railroad Coordination
- Innovative Financing
- Innovative Contracting
- Roadway/Geometric Design
- Traffic Engineering/Safety/ITS
- Construction
- Long Life Pavements/Maintenance

The Innovating Contracting and Innovative Finance sets were combined. A description of each of these skill sets is included in Appendix B.

### 3.1 OPENING SESSION

The workshop began with opening remarks from three TxDOT officials:

- Commissioner Robert Nichols, Texas Transportation Commission
- Bob Brown, Interim Dallas District Engineer
- Brian Barth, Interim Dallas Director of Transportation Planning and Development

Following these speakers to the podium was Don Lucas of the Heritage Group and Chair of TRB A5T60, who addressed the question: “Why ACTT? Why Now?” After Don’s presentation, all workshop participants had an opportunity to introduce themselves. Attendees were next given a brief overview of Project Pegasus by Tim Nesbitt, TxDOT, Project Manager; Sandy Wesch-Schulze, Carter & Burgess, Consultant Team Project Manager, and Richard Mason, TxDOT Deputy Project Manager.

Stu Anderson, Texas A&M University, served as the workshop moderator. He reviewed the agenda and work outline for the next two days. Upon conclusion of the formal Opening Session, the forum broke for a bus tour of the project.

### 3.2 WORKSHOP PROCESS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In the next day-and-a-half, the Skill Set groups met to discuss various aspects of the project and methods for accelerating project implementation. After allowing time for each Skill Set group to discuss issues and begin forming ideas, participants intermingled to further discuss and consult with other groups on strategies and concepts.

Each group completed reporting forms, which are included in this report as Appendix C. Each Skill Set group was also asked to rank five to seven ideas in order of top priority, and to make a presentation to the whole conference. The following are the top recommendations relating to each Skill Set.
3.2.1 ENVIRONMENTAL
The Environmental Skill Set group discussed the environmental constraints, opportunities, and pending issues for the project.

Constraints
• There will be a Section 4(f) impact at Stemmons Park. The design needs to include avoidance and/or minimization as well as mitigation. Mitigation could include replanting Live Oak trees or purchasing additional land just south of the park and donating the land to the city.
• The timing of several projects (Project Pegasus, Trinity Parkway and others such as the Southern Gateway) need to be closely coordinated. Could the tolls on the Trinity Parkway be reduced while IH 30 and IH 35E are under construction? The Trinity Parkway has a proposed opening date of March 2012, which could affect the start date on Pegasus. The Southern Gateway has a start date on 2015.
• There are numerous historic properties. Coordination time must be built into the project schedule. A new bridge over the Houston Street Viaduct cannot be constructed because of historical requirements.
• A hazardous materials report has been prepared. Mitigation and contingency plans for contaminated soils and groundwater are yet to be developed. New technologies for quantifying subsurface contamination in place must be investigated.

Opportunities
• Context-sensitive design needs to be linked to design, right-of-way, construction, and financing
• It might be possible to open up Old Mill Creek. This needs to be discussed with the City of Dallas. This could provide an opportunity for water quality and stream restorations. The option of opening up Old Mill Creek should be explored only if there is a need to mitigate for water resources due to adverse impacts from the proposed project.
• IH 30 Canyon decks could be used as staging area for construction

Other Pending Issues
• Socio-economic impacts are semi-resolved. There appear to be no environmental justice issues.
• Noise study is needed to determine impacts and need for mitigation
• Multiple nationwide permits may be needed. It remains to be determined whether pre-construction notification will be required.

3.2.2 GEOTECHNICAL/MATERIALS
This Skill Set group made recommendations in four areas to accelerate construction while maintaining or improving the project.

Testing
• Conduct soil testing prior to environmental clearance. Look for contaminated soil and try to find out before design but definitely before construction.
• Obtain geotechnical information and borings early to help to make design decisions
• Design-build will make the information above even more critical (reduced risk = reduced price)
Design

- During the selection of retaining wall types, consider the phasing of work, future needs, existing ground geometry, subsurface conditions, and impacts of wall treatments on design and construction
- Make decisions on aesthetics early in design and coordination details
- Coordinate with geotechnical team early and often

Soils

- Dallas has problematic soils for pavement subgrades, including expansive clays and high sulfate content soils
- Conduct a detailed geotechnical investigation including sulfate soil determination
- Try to stabilize soils in place
- Stabilization of thick sub-grade layers could be done using deep soil mixing techniques and massive soil stabilization
- Sulfate soil stabilization could be done by engineering solution with existing stabilization agents (lime and cement) or the use of new stabilizing agents

Other

- Save time and stay out
- Look at utilities early
- Consider 24/7 construction
- Train ahead of time to increase efficiency, use techniques and methods on other projects first

3.2.3 STRUCTURES
The top five recommendations from the Structures Skill Set were: prepare a structure development report; call for bids on contract at 30 percent complete; use prefabricated construction; select construction techniques that minimize traffic impacts; employ temporary bridges.

Structures Development Report

- Group structure types to try to create an “assembly line” system and maximize standardization
- Types could include overpass, mainline, low, medium, high, and retaining walls
- Use high-performance materials
- Look at corrosion-protection strategy
- Maximize use of standardized and prefabricated structures / elements
- Determine foundation requirements early
- Incorporate preformed lightweight fill

Bid Contract at 30 percent Bridge Plans

- Designer prepares plans to 30% with quantities +/- 20 percent; then advertises and selects contractor
- Contractor works with designer and owner to complete plans and construct project
- Implementation with single or multiple contracts to allow flexibility

Construction/Prefabrication

- Prefab as much as possible to minimize traffic disruption during construction
- Pre-cast substructure: abutments, bents, columns. Post-tension elements together for continuity
- Pre-cast superstructure: slab, girders, segmental boxes or prefabricated superstructure units
- Incremental launching (Canyon & TRE line)
• Balanced cantilever will reduce crane usage

  **Temporary bridges**

• Use prefab modular bridges for temporary structures or develop standardized modular bridges
• Bridges can be reusable; multiple-use bridges reduce cost, and cost is amortized over multiple projects. When project is completed, give bridge to county or city for bridge replacement or stockpile for future emergency or security use

3.2.4 **RIGHT-OF-WAY/UTILITY/RAILROAD**

The Right-of-way/Utility/Railroad Skill Set group believes the right-of-way costs were underestimated. TxDOT should assume $75 million for land, $300 million for utilities, and $15 million for railroad relocation.

It was recommended that TxDOT outsource the land acquisition, relocation assistance and negotiation of access. Also, one utility consultant should be hired to design, inspect, coordinate, communicate and cooperate with all utilities. The actual relocation of utilities should be included as part of the highway construction contract and the railroad force account should be used.

In order to start the right-of-way acquisition process and the relocation of utilities, the Right-of-Way Department needs as much information as soon as possible. This should include the schedule as well as the need and location of proposed staging areas (e.g., hazmat, pavement recycling, construction materials). The State currently owns property at US 75 at Carroll Avenue, which could be used as a staging area. Properties that will be difficult to relocate, such as the liquor stores and SPCA, should be acquired as early as possible. The design consultant needs to coordinate early with TxDOT and the railroads.

Other right-of-way and utility issues that should be considered during design are the inclusion of fire hydrant for CD roads and elevated roadways in case water is needed in emergency situations; control of access; 96” sewer line down median of IH 35E (north section); and utilities affected by railroad relocation. The skill set also suggested using a new technology for SUE investigation that relies on electro-resistivity to show hazmat plumes, conduits, and soil strata.

There were also other legal and procedural changes recommended to speed the process; it was recognized that some legislation might be necessary to allow these changes to happen. These recommendations included quick action, the delegation of more authority to Districts, risk management, establishment of criteria to designate when the number of utilities in the corridor had reached the allowable limit, and the consideration of utilities as part of the transportation facility.

3.2.5 **LONG LIFE PAVEMENT DESIGN**

This Skill Set group looked at five major areas and made several recommendations to accelerate construction while maintaining or improving the design of the pavement.

  **Warranties**

• Long term warranties are needed to assure performance
• Design-build is ideal for this type of guarantee
• Should be performance based
• Include incentives in long-term warranties (10 years)
Pavement Type
- Performance includes such variables as smoothness, friction, noise, cracking, rutting, etc., and should be based on TxDOT deterioration curves
- Recommendations for increased strength/durability
- CRC with 4” – 5” asphalt surface
- Concrete temperature and moisture variations reduced by asphalt overlay
- Asphalt temperature is lowered and rutting reduced when placed on top of concrete
- Surface renewal is easier and less intrusive to traffic

Materials Selection
- Make maximum use of recycled concrete as aggregate base or retaining wall backfill
- Assure long term performance by improving general material specifications so that only premium materials are allowed

Construction Staging Areas
- Promote on-site production or locate production close by to speed up construction; consider on-site concrete and asphalt plants
- Reduces haul time
- Reduced traffic congestion due to construction vehicle ingress/egress

Traffic Control
- Consider total closure
- Utilize ITS to divert traffic
- Consider weekend closure
- Close to non-HOV traffic
- Close one direction of traffic

3.2.6 INNOVATIVE CONTRACTING/FINANCING
This Skill Set working group divided their discussion into five areas: financing, preconstruction, procurement options, delivery, and management.

Financing Options
- Joint use/joint development agreement such as decks/lids for income
- Tolls and managed lanes
- Other federal funds (HUD, USACE, EPA)
- Credit assistance for developers and cities who want to finance part of federal-aid projects (TIFIA, SIBs, Section 129)

Preconstruction
- Risk Management: Put responsibilities to the person best suited to handle the risk
- Consider special prequalification, thus ensuring contract has specialized expertise to perform work

Procurement
- Multi-parameter evaluation (i.e., not just low bid)
- Incentives/disincentives for minimizing traffic disruption such as lane rental; lane assessment for not opening lanes during travel time; development of a traffic control plan by contractor
- Utilities (allow third party agreements; put utility coordination into construction contract; consider outside utility coordinator contract)
• Warranties (pavement, use in conjunction with design-build)

**Delivery**
• Single contract is the best solution
• Preferred approach would be single-source design-build
• If design build not feasible, may need to go with hybrid approach: construction management with at-risk general contractor

**Management**
• Single TxDOT Project Management Team with possible assistance from consultants. Delegate authority to project team
• Performance specifications: contractor-developed traffic control plans
• Critical path schedule; create cash flow curve
• Bid escrow
• Change order plans (preset pricing)
• Lower retainage and bonding requirements for contractors

### 3.2.7 ROADWAY/GEOMETRICS
This group did not see any major problems with the schematic design. However, to reduce cost and construction time, the group recommended that TxDOT consider the following:

**Reduce Vertical Clearance**
• Consider vertical clearance of less than 16’ 6” in some places
• This can reduce cost
• Minimize other design exceptions caused by maintaining 16’ 6” clearance
• Makes drainage easier in some cases
• Reduces retaining wall heights
• Improve the mainlane grades
• Potentially reduces earthwork

**Frontage Roads**
• Build or improve the frontage roads early so they can be used as temporary mainlanes during construction
• Best if built first

**Utilities**
• Need investigation of and coordination with City of Dallas on the 96” sewer along IH 35E north section
• Have a single utility conduit for all utilities
• Assign a utility relocation project manager/coordinator

**Pavements**
• Use recycle material, crushed base or overlay
• Cover up existing pavement and use as part of base
• Best used where horizontal location will remain the same
• Reduce pavement criteria for the HOV section because of lack of trucks
Maintenance of Traffic during Construction

- Use HOV restrictions
- Encourage truck diversions
- Consider full closure for longer periods (weekends, etc.)
- Include media efforts to disperse information and conduct surveys

3.2.8 TRAFFIC/ITS/SAFETY

The Traffic/ITS/Safety skill set identified six types of strategies to accelerate construction.

Maximize Safety throughout Project

- Develop a comprehensive Safety and Health Plan for worker and traffic safety; traffic safety should include both public traffic and construction traffic
- Include a contract for wrap-up insurance for all parties
- Ensure worker safety by incorporating appropriate guidelines in project development documents, training workers, and adding an incentive for positive safety performance
- Ensure public traffic safety by providing additional traffic enforcement within construction zone, developing an education campaign with public service announcements, and using gawk screens
- Traffic safety in construction should include require coordinated work-zone plans to evolve with design, assure adequate access to/from work zones

Maintain ITS during Construction

- Coordinate early on utilities and fiber — try to install early or retain existing system
- Use high mast CCTV
- Consider “portable” ITS system at key locations if early deployment of ITS is not possible
- Identify and use other key corridors and arterials

Provide Traveler Information

- Use advanced Highway Advisory Radio
- Continually coordinate with media (TV, Newspaper, Internet)
- Assure 511 information-line implementation with dedicated service for project
- Develop web site with real-time information
- Consider event plans as examples of training and information (i.e., State Fair, Market Shows)
- Coordinate with AAA and other travel advisors such as MAPSCO and Mapquest
- Coordinate with trucking and freight companies
- Inform construction partners

Provide Coordinated Incident Management

- Identify a dedicated incident management coordinator
- Seek one-call response for investigation
- Obtain local stakeholder input (i.e., EMS, Fire, Police, hospitals)
- Place signs and markers along the corridor for easy identification of incident locations (by the construction date, GPS cell phones should be more commonplace)
- Disseminate traffic control plan to stakeholders and affected parties
- PIO/team should manage information
- Define the contractor’s role in incident management
- Dedicate freeway service patrol to corridor
- Dedicate police/fire response within corridor
• Push for Dallas County Sheriff Patrol to provide incident management for corridor
• Write into the contract a set number of dedicated personnel to be hired from local police, fire, and towing services
• Encourage regional incident management training
• Push E-911 wireless

**Provide Effective Traffic Control**

• Build frontage roads first to use as alternate routes during construction
• Fast track Trinity Parkway and Woodall Rodgers (Spur 366) extension
• Encourage traffic to use IH 35W or IH 20 and IH 635 as alternate routes, especially for traffic not destined for Dallas; coordinate with other TxDOT Districts
• Investigate alternate routes to allow IH 30 to be closed; review scheduling and phasing to provide alternate routes
• Maintain a minimum number of lanes
• Investigate targeted night time and weekend closures by segment
• Utilize traffic analyses for contracting and financing with lane rentals and lane assessment
• Review past special events such as World Cup, State Fair, and Trinity Fest

**Manage the Demand**

• Explore options for increasing transit usage such as Bus Rapid Transit or additional express bus service; include support transportation by providing short-term vehicle rental and shuttles
• Provide subsidies
• Encourage employer programs such as flex-time
• Encourage carpooling and vanpooling
• Provide multi-modal connections and additional park-and-ride lots
• Maintain function of IH 30 and IH 35E HOV lanes to increase their usage
• Use extended temporary closures on weekends

3.2.9 **CONSTRUCTION**

**Design Build**

• Use Design-Build with one large contract
• If Design-Build is not possible, consider prequalification of contractors
• Allow the contractor to develop traffic control plan
• Involve the construction industry early in the design to minimize re-design
• Hire a PEF or contractor to perform a constructability analysis

**Project Management**

• Have one project manager and a senior management team (cabinet) for advising the manager
• Give review/approval authority
• Provide a 10-day turnaround on comments and reviews
• Develop a process for resolving disputes
• Develop QA/QC processes
• Use electronic document control
• Use CPM scheduling with bi-weekly updates
**Construct Preparatory Projects**
- Frontage roads
- Utility relocations
- Railroads
- Access improvement that can be addressed
- Alternate route improvements
- Reconstruction of bridges
- High Mast lighting
- Hazardous material remediation

**Maximize Contractor Control**
- “Loosen” specifications
- Give flexibility in material usage
- Flexibility in the traffic control plan
- More control of utilities relocation
- Staging areas
- Nested design-build gives contractor more opportunities to solve problems
- Alternate bid items

**Evaluate All Traffic Minimization Alternatives**
- Detours and temporary use of Trinity Corridor
- Alternate routes
- Non-motorized considerations for pedestrians and bicycles
- Remove or restrict trucks
- Close sections of the roadways for period of time
- Build the Trinity project first
- Close freeways directionally
CHAPTER 4

Next Steps
TxDOT will be evaluating the recommendations from each of the Skill Sets to determine which ideas or suggestions should be adopted for use during the remainder of the planning, design, and construction phases of Project Pegasus.

Additionally, six-month and one-year meetings will be coordinated with the TxDOT to assess the long-term benefits of the workshop and the extent of the implementation of its recommendations.
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e-mail: greg.m.jones@fhwa.dot.gov

Name: Jerry Jones  
Title: Construction & Contract Administration Eng.  
Company: FHWA, NRC  
Office: Fort Worth  
Mailing Address: 819 Taylor Street  
City: Fort Worth  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 76102  
Phone: (817) 978-4358  
Fax: (817) 978-4666  
e-mail: jerry.jones@fhwa.dot.gov

Name: Ghassan “Gus” Khankarli  
Title:  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - Bridge Design  
Mailing Address: PO Box 133067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 319-6520  
Fax: (214) 319-6439  
e-mail: GKHANKA@dot.state.tx.us
Name: Bruce Ko  
Title: Project Manager  
Company: California DOT  
Office: San Bernardino, CA  
Mailing Address: 464 W. 4th Street  
City: San Bernardino  
State: CA  
Zip Code: 92401  
Phone: (909) 383-4077  
Fax: (909) 383-6938  
e-mail: bruce.ko.dot.ca.gov

Name: Charles Koonce  
Title: Transportation Engineer  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Traffic Operations Division  
Mailing Address: 118 E. Riverside Dr.  
City: Austin  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 78704  
Phone: (512) 416-3234  
Fax: (512) 416-3299  
e-mail: CKOONCE@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Paul Krugler  
Title:  
Company:  
Office:  
Mailing Address: 10606 Berthound  
City: Austin  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 78758  
Phone: (512) 836-3710  
Fax:  
e-mail: pekrugler@lightdog.com

Name: Mike Lehmann  
Title: District Construction Engineer  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: San Antonio District  
Mailing Address: 4615 NW Loop 410  
City: San Antonio  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 78229-0928  
Phone: (210) 615-6100  
Fax: (210) 615-5851  
e-mail: MLEHMAN@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Vincent Lewis  
Title: Engineering Assistant IV  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - Roadway Design  
Mailing Address: PO Box 133067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-6159  
Fax: (214) 320-6625  
e-mail: VLEWIS2@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Don Lucas  
Title:  
Company: Heritage Group  
Office:  
Mailing Address: 1114 S. Centerline Road  
City: Franklin  
State: Indiana  
Zip Code: 46131  
Phone: (317) 738-3682  
Fax: (317) 738-3682  
e-mail: dluca3682@aol.com

Name: Richard Mason  
Title: Project Manager  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - Advance Project Development  
Mailing Address: PO Box 133067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-6686  
Fax: (214) 320-4470  
e-mail: RMASON3@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Jennifer Mayer  
Title: Innovative Finance Specialist  
Company: FHWA, NRC  
Office:  
Mailing Address: 201 Mission Street, #2100  
City: San Francisco  
State: CA  
Zip Code: 94105  
Phone: (415) 744-2634  
Fax: (415) 744-2634  
e-mail: jennifer.mayer@fhwa.dot.gov
Name: Earl T. (Mac) McArthur  
Title: Construction Bureau  
Company: Montana DOT  
Office: Helena, MT  
Mailing Address: 52 Day Spring Loop  
City: Helena  
State: MT  
Zip Code: 59601  
Phone: (406) 444-9034  
Fax: (406) 444-7297  
e-mail: mmcarthur@state.mt.us

Name: Wes McClure  
Title:  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - Programming  
Mailing Address: PO Box 135067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-4461  
Fax: (214) 320-6625  
e-mail: WMCLUR@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Mark McDaniel  
Title: Engineering Assistant  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Construction Division  
Mailing Address: 125 E. 11th Street  
City: Austin  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 78701-2483  
Phone: (512) 506-5949  
Fax: (512) 506-5915  
e-mail: MMCDANIE@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Abbas Mehdibeigi  
Title: Pavement Engineer  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - Pavement Design  
Mailing Address: PO Box 135067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-6165  
Fax: (214) 319-6509  
e-mail: AMEHDBI@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Akayu Mekonnen  
Title: Engineering Assistant IV  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - Roadway Design  
Mailing Address: PO Box 135067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-6179  
Fax: (214) 320-6625  
e-mail: AMEKONN@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Robert Memory  
Title: Assistant State Utility Agent  
Company: NCDOT  
Office: R/R  
Mailing Address: 1546 Mail Service Center  
City: Raleigh  
State: NC  
Zip Code: 27699-1546  
Phone: (919) 733-7932, ext. 373  
Fax: (919) 733-4440  
e-mail: rmemory@dot.state.nc.us

Name: Rory Meza  
Title:  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Design Division  
Mailing Address: 118 E. Riverside Dr.  
City: Austin  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 78704  
Phone: (512) 416-2678  
Fax: (512) 416-2403  
e-mail: AMEZA@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Mark Middleton  
Title: Assistant Research Engineer  
Company: Texas Transportation Institute  
Office: System Operation Management  
Mailing Address: 110 N. Davis Drive, Suite 101  
City: Arlington  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 76013  
Phone: (817) 462-0513  
Fax: (817) 461-1239  
e-mail: mark-m@tamu.edu
Name: Dick Moeller  
Title: Program Manager  
Company: O R Colan  
Office: R/W  
Mailing Address: 219 Lindy Lane  
City: West Palm Beach  
State: FL  
Zip Code: 33410  
Phone: (561) 478-7210  
Fax: (561) 478-7527  
e-mail: moeller@orcolan.com

Name: Sharon Morales, CSP  
Title: Safety Engineer Senior  
Company: VDOT  
Office: The Office of Employee Safety & Health  
Mailing Address: 1401 E. Broad Street  
City: Richmond  
State: VA  
Zip Code: 23231  
Phone: (804) 371-6862  
Fax: (804) 786-4525  
e-mail: sharon.morales@virginiadot.org

Name: Tim Nesbitt  
Title: Project Manager  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - Advance Project Development  
Mailing Address: PO Box 133067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-6245  
Fax: (214) 320-4470  
e-mail: TNESBIT@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Andy Oberlander  
Title: Asst. Director of Transportation Operations  
Company: Texas Department of Transportation  
Office: Transportation Operations  
Mailing Address: PO Box 133067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-4438  
Fax: (214) 320-6615  
e-mail: aoberla@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Bob Musselman  
Title: Research & Technology Transfer Engineer  
Company: FHWA  
Office: TXDIV  
Mailing Address: 300 E. 8th Street, Rm. 826  
City: Austin  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 78701  
Phone: (512) 536-5970  
Fax: (512) 536-5990  
e-mail: robert.musselman@fhwa.dot.gov

Name: Tony Okafor  
Title:  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - Bridge Design  
Mailing Address: PO Box 133067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-6171  
Fax: (214) 319-6439  
e-mail: AOKAFOR@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Tony B. Payberah  
Title: Area Engineer  
Company: TXDOT  
Office: Southwest Dallas Co. Area Office  
Mailing Address: 12000 Greenville Avenue  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75243  
Phone: (972) 235-7797  
Fax: (972) 235-8667  
e-mail: tpayber@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Claude Napier  
Title: Structural Engineer  
Company: FHWA - VADIV  
Office: Bridge  
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 10249  
City: Richmond  
State: VA  
Zip Code: 23240-0249  
Phone: (804) 775-3363  
Fax: (804) 775-3556  
e-mail: claude.napier@fhwa.dot.gov
Name: Khali Persad  
Title: Research Associate  
Company: University of Texas  
Office: Center for Transportation Research  
Mailing Address: 3208 Red River  
City: Austin  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 78705-2650  
Phone: (512) 232-3080  
Fax:  
e-mail: kpersad@mail.utexas.edu

Name: Randy Pierce  
Title: Transportation Design/Build Manager  
Company: Carter & Burgess  
Office: Denver  
Mailing Address: 707 17th Street, Suite 2300  
City: Denver  
State: CO  
Zip Code: 80202  
Phone: (303) 820-5258  
Fax: (303) 820-2402  
e-mail: piercerc@c-b.com

Name: Christopher Poe  
Title: Regional Manager  
Company: PB Farradyne  
Office: Dallas  
Mailing Address: 2777 Stemmons Frwy., Suite 1333  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75207  
Phone: (214) 819-5971  
Fax: (214) 638-2893  
e-mail: poec@pbworld.com

Name: William Prosser  
Title: Highway Design Engineer  
Company: FHWA - HIPA-20  
Office: Office of Program Administration  
Mailing Address: 400 7th Street, SW  
City: Washington  
State: DC  
Zip Code: 20590  
Phone: (202) 366-1332  
Fax: (202) 366-3988  
e-mail: william.prosser@fhwa.dot.gov

Name: Bill Riley  
Title: District Design Engineer  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Fort Worth District - Design  
Mailing Address: PO Box 6868  
City: Fort Worth  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 76115-0868  
Phone: (817) 370-6541  
Fax:  
e-mail: BRILEY@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Dan Sanayi  
Title: Highway Engineer  
Company: FHWA  
Office: Asset Management  
Mailing Address: 400 7th Street, SW  
City: Washington  
State: DC  
Zip Code: 20590  
Phone: (202) 493-0551  
Fax: (202) 366-8891  
e-mail: dan.sanayi@fhwa.dot.gov

Name: Param Sanker  
Title: Associate  
Company: Cambridge Systematics  
Office:  
Mailing Address: 4445 Willard Avenue, Suite 300  
City: Chevy Chase  
State: MD  
Zip Code: 20815  
Phone: (301) 347-0100  
Fax: (301) 347-0101  
e-mail: psanker@camsys.com

Name: Sid Scott  
Title:  
Company: Trauner Consulting Services  
Office:  
Mailing Address:  
City:  
State:  
Zip Code:  
Phone: (215) 814-6400  
Fax:  
e-mail: sid.scott@traunerconsulting.com
Name: James Sheahan  
Title: V.P. & Geotechnical Section Manager  
Company: HDR Engineering  
Office: Pittsburg, PA  
Mailing Address: 3 Gateway Center  
City: Pittsburg  
State: PA  
Zip Code: 15222  
Phone: (412) 497-6000  
Fax: (412) 497-6080  
e-mail: jim.sheahan@hdrinc.com

Name: Barry Siel  
Title: Geotechnical Engineer  
Company: FHWA  
Office: ERC  
Mailing Address: 555 Zang Street, Suite 401  
City: Lakewood  
State: CO  
Zip Code: 80228  
Phone: (303) 716-2294  
Fax: (303) 969-5498  
e-mail: barry.siel@fhwa.dot.gov

Name: Rick Smith  
Title: Director, Innovative Project Delivery  
Company: WSDOT  
Office:  
Mailing Address: PO Box 47371  
City: Olympia  
State: WA  
Zip Code: 98504-7371  
Phone: (360) 705-7150  
Fax:  
e-mail: smithrick@wsdot.wa.gov

Name: Cheng Soong  
Title: Principal Technologist  
Company: CH2M Hill  
Office: Chicago  
Mailing Address: 8501 W. Higgins, Suite 300  
City: Chicago  
State: IL  
Zip Code: 60631  
Phone: (773) 693-3800, ext. 226  
Fax: (773) 693-3823  
e-mail: csoong@ch2m.com

Name: Scott Stockburger  
Title: Utility Relocations Engineer  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Dallas District - ROW Utilities  
Mailing Address: PO Box 133067  
City: Dallas  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 75313-3067  
Phone: (214) 320-6271  
Fax: (214) 320-6605  
e-mail: SSTOCKB@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Don Tolar  
Title: District Administrator  
Company: LADOT  
Office: Monroe  
Mailing Address: PO Box 4068  
City: Monroe  
State: LA  
Zip Code: 71211  
Phone: (318) 342-0101  
Fax: (318) 342-0260  
e-mail: dtolar@dotd.state.la.us

Name: Juan Urrutia  
Title: Transportation Engineer  
Company: TxDOT  
Office: Construction Division  
Mailing Address: 125 E. 11th Street  
City: Austin  
State: Texas  
Zip Code: 78701-2483  
Phone: (512) 416-2455  
Fax: (512) 416-2537  
e-mail: JURRUTIA@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Suneel Vanikar  
Title: Concrete Team Leader  
Company: FHWA - HIPT  
Office: Washington HQ  
Mailing Address: 400 7th Street, SW, Rm. 3118  
City: Washington  
State: DC  
Zip Code: 20590  
Phone: (202) 366-0120  
Fax: (202) 493-2070  
e-mail: suneel.vaniker@fhwa.dot.gov
Name: Doug Vollette
Title: Railroad Liaison Manager
Company: TxDOT
Office: Traffic Operations Division
Mailing Address: 118 E. Riverside Dr.
City: Austin
State: Texas
Zip Code: 78704
Phone: (512) 416-3319
Fax: (512) 416-3349
e-mail: DVOLLET@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Sandy Wesch-Schulze
Title: Senior Transportation Planner/Associate
Company: Carter & Burgess
Office:
Mailing Address: 7950 Elmbrook Drive
City: Dallas
State: Texas
Zip Code: 75247-4951
Phone: (214) 638-0145
Fax: (214) 638-5632
e-mail: wesch-schulzesj@c-b.com

Name: David Walterscheid
Title: Realty Specialist
Company: FHWA
Office: Washington DC
Mailing Address: 400 7th Street, SW, Rm. 3221
City: Washington
State: DC
Zip Code: 20590
Phone: (202) 366-9901
Fax: (202) 366-3713
e-mail: david.walterscheid@fhwa.dot.gov

Name: Janna Wamlpler
Title: Right of Way Agent
Company: TxDOT
Office: Dallas District
Mailing Address: PO Box 133067
City: Dallas
State: Texas
Zip Code: 75313
Phone: (214) 320-6650
Fax: (214) 320-6650
e-mail: jwample@dot.state.tx.us

Name: Anita Wilson
Title: Urban Programs Engineer
Company: FHWA
Office: TxDIV
Mailing Address: 300 East 8th Street
City: Austin
State: Texas
Zip Code: 78701
Phone: (512) 536-5951
Fax: (512) 536-5990
e-mail: anita.wilson@fhwa.dot.gov

Name: Terry Watson
Title: Director of Transportation Planning & Design
Company: Jacobs Civil
Office:
Mailing Address: 6688 North Central Expwy., Suite 400
City: Dallas
State: Texas
Zip Code: 75206
Phone: (214) 424-7560
Fax: (214) 696-3499
APPENDIX B

Skill Set Descriptions
Skill Set Descriptions

- **Environment** – Scope-of-work and construction activities need to reflect environmental concerns to ensure the most accommodating and cost-effective product while minimizing natural and socio-economic impacts.

- **Geotechnical/Materials/Accelerated Testing** – Subsurface conditions and issues should be explored to assess their impacts on the project. Based on the geography of the project, subsurface investigation may be complicated by traffic volume, environmental hazards, utilities, railroad property, and right-of-way. Pursue options to expedite and facilitate turnaround times in material testing for material acceptance and contractor payment. The use of innovative materials should be explored and encouraged on projects to maximize the creative characteristics of the designer and contractor. By identifying project performance goals and objective, the designer and contractor have the maximum freedom to determine the appropriate methodology for constructing the project.

- **Structures (bridges, retaining walls, culverts, miscellaneous)** – Accelerating the construction of structures will require deviation from standard practices for design and construction and include early coordination between designers and contractors. A systems approach from the “ground up” will be necessary instead of emphasis on individual components. Prefabrication, preassembly, incremental launching, lift-in, roll-in, etc., are systems or concepts that have a proven contribution to accelerating construction and should be understood and receive priority consideration. Designers have several options in structure types and materials to meet design requirements, but identifying the most accommodating system while minimizing adverse project impacts should be the objective.

- **Right-of-Way/Utilities/Railroad Coordination** – Right-of-way, utility, and RR delays seriously impact accelerated operations. More innovative solutions are required for both short and long-term time sensitive construction projects. Right-of-way considerations include State laws and procedures covering acquisition and relocation, numbers and types of businesses and residences that may be impacted, ready availability of additional right-of-way, and sometimes, the number of outdoor advertising structures in the project area. Other items to consider are industry responsiveness, incentive-based utility agreements, corridor approaches to utility agreements, contracting for utility work, and non-destructive methods of utility relocation. When applicable, close railroad coordination is essential for a project for construction access or work impacting the railroad lines.

- **Innovative Financing** – Aligning the financing options with the goals of the project by matching anticipated cash flow with project management, while recognizing competing priorities for existing resources. Financing tools could include cost sharing strategies, tolling mechanisms, contractor financing, leveraging techniques, credit assistance, and cost management and containment concepts.

- **Innovative Contracting** – Explore the state-of-the-art in contracting practices and obtain a better knowledge of how these techniques could be selected, organized, and assembled to match the specific situations needed on this project. Techniques to be considered include performance related specifications, warranties, design/build, maintain, operate, cost + time, partnering escalation agreements, lane rental, incentive/disincentives, value engineering, and any other innovative contracting techniques that would apply to the project.
• **Roadway/Geometric Design** — Highway geometrics can greatly impact project funds and integrity. Although designers may have several options meeting design standard requirements, identifying the most accommodating product while minimizing adverse impacts should be the objective.

• **Traffic Engineering/Safety/ITS** — Enhanced safety and improved traffic management by corridor contracting should be considered. Developing and evaluating contract models may illustrate the best use of incentives to enhance safety and improve traffic flow during and after construction. Evaluating both the construction and maintenance work may help assess traffic and safety issues more fully than the conventional project-by-project approach. Better information to the traveling public and politicians on the relationships among crashes, delays, mobility, total traffic volume, truck traffic volumes, and the need for lane closures during construction. Implement integrated ITS systems to communicate construction information to motorists via radio, Internet, wireless alerts, along with incident management systems/services.

• **Construction (Techniques, Automation, and Constructability)** — Accelerated construction may press the contractor to deliver a quality product in confined time frames and areas, while maintaining traffic. Completion milestones and maintenance and protection of traffic are key elements visible to the traveling public. Allowing contractors to have input on design elements that would impact time or quality during construction can improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the overall project completion. The use of automation to enhance the performance of construction equipment and contract administration should be explored and implemented.

• **Long Life Pavements/Maintenance** — It is feasible to acquire pavement designs with projected lives of 50 to 60 years by telling the contractor what is wanted, rather than how to build the pavement. By identifying and communicating the pavement performance goals and objectives for the pavement, the designer and contractor have the maximum freedom to determine the appropriate methodology. Explore the future maintenance issues on the project including winter services, traffic operations, preventative maintenance, and any other concerns that may impact the operation of the project features.
APPENDIX C

Skill Set Reporting Forms

Environment
Geotechnical/Materials/Accelerated Testing
Structures
Right-of-Way/Utilities/Railroad Coordination
Innovative Financing
Innovative Contracting
Roadway/Geometric Design
Traffic Engineering/Safety/ITS
Construction
Long Life Pavements/Maintenance
## Environmental Skill Set

Members:

Jim Barta, TxDOT ENV  
Tom Bruechert, FHWA Texas  
Elvia Gonzalez, TxDOT ENV  
Craig Hancock, TxDOT Dallas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Stemmons Park Section 4(f) Properties **Constraint** | Sliver taking = 14% (0.67 ac), individual section 4(f) needed | · Design will provide avoidance minimization details for section 4(f) document-link to Design skill set  
· Need Mitigation  
· Bike/Ped Trail under I-35E at Stemmons Park and Oaklawn Ave. (land link option)-look into TxDOT policy  
· Trees (as enhancements)  
· Adjacent land purchase option south of Stemmons Park-Link to R.O.W. skill set  
· Dallas Tree Ordinance, Local need for a replacement for any trees impacted  
· Relocate Stemmons live oak trees to new 4(f) property-link R.O.W. and Design skill set |
| Trinity Parkway **Constraint**  
Southern Gateway? | Reliever route for Pegasus, capacity and timing issues | · City of Dallas proposal 6-4-6 lanes versus MIS 8-6-8 lanes recommended  
· What happens if we shut down the Canyon?  
· Timed closure/reduced tolls on Trinity to accommodate capacity  
· 11+ lanes used on Trinity (issue?)  
· March 2012 potential Trinity opening affects start date of Pegasus  
· 2015 potential start on Southern Gateway  
· Link to project managers on adjacent project |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Historic Properties Constraint | Historic properties Bridge and Historical District | - Coordination time  
- Mitigation need for adverse effect  
- Structures group option of bridge over viaduct was eliminated |
| Hazardous Materials Issue Constraint (continued on the next page) | Environment assessments previously performed have identified relative risk areas. Use new data derived from Trinity Parkway project. | - Develop soil management plans developed for screening excavated soils to determine reuse or disposal  
- Develop groundwater monitoring of sump collection areas to establish baseline levels and monitor for changes to baseline levels  
- Develop contingency plans incorporating a decision matrix on handling the contaminated soils and groundwater as encountered during construction  
- Negotiate contracts for the disposal of highly contaminated soils and groundwater  
- Coordination with skill sets for needed space requirements of on site soil/GW staging areas?  
- Investigate new technologies for quantifying subsurface contamination in place? |
| Context Sensitive Design opportunity | Address urban design issues, | - 1% set aside = $7.5 million, additional money from green ribbon possible  
- Potential deck or lid over the canyon at - $25 million cost as an enhancement to the city of Dallas civic amenities—option to use lid as staging area first  
- Old Mill Creek open to surface view shed to mitigate water resource impacts if needed  
- City of Dallas options need to known ASAP (construction constraints)  
- Stream restoration and coordination time  
- Creative financing needed potentially SIB loans and TIFIA  
- Link to Design R.O.W., Design, Construction, Financing, etc |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Project Opportunities  | Opportunities to enhance                                         | • Putting a lid on the Canyon potential staging area for construction?  
• Raising Old Mill Creek prior to construction, if needed for mitigation  
• Mitigating Stemmons Park and linking it to the Katy Trail System  
• On upper Stemmons is the development and identification standards for the Dallas design district and for Hospital/market center area  
• For the Mixmaster area development of a portal gateway entrance to downtown Dallas  
• The creation of a transportation corridor wide signature setting for the gateway bridges over the Trinity river on I-30 and I-35 |
| Socio-Economic Semi-resolved | Liquor stores, displacements of residential properties, EJ issues appeared to be okay | • Relocation assistance program |
| Old Mill Creek Constraints | City of Dallas options need to known (construction constraints)   | • Nation wide permits  
• Stream restoration and coordination time  
• It is a probable Hazardous Materials issue due to early industrial sites  
• Limits the vertical profile of the Canyon |
| Noise (issue pending)  | Residential Area exterior, Hotels interior                       | • Noise study needed  
• Noise barrier consideration  
• Reasonable and feasible |
| NWPs (issue pending)   | Multiple permits may be necessary                                | • With or without PCN?  |
### Geotechnical/Materials Skill Set

Members:

Chris Dumas - FHWA, NRC, Baltimore Office,  
   External Team Leader for project, group leader  
Ghassan "Gus" Khankarli - TxDOT, Bridge Section,  
   Dallas District, co-leader of group  
Marcus Galvan - TxDOT, Bridge Division, Geotech Section  
Barry Siel - FHWA, Geotech Engineer  
James Sheehan - HDR, Geotech Engineer  
German Claros - TxDOT, Research Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geotechnical/Materials</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Implementation Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                        | Multiphase rapid site investigation beginning before the record of decision (ROD) is signed. Note: this is site survey and project feasibility work, and not design work. Therefore, it can proceed prior to the ROD. Work will focus on the following objectives:  
   - Determining overall geotechnical site conditions and consolidating the project into common geotechnical zones (CGZ) with corresponding soil profiles and parameters for each CGZ.  
   - Identify Problematic soil conditions  
     - Soft Ground needing improvement  
     - Contaminated Soil and Water  
     - Expansive and High Sulfate Soils  
     - Underground Creeks, Rivers, Voids, manmade miscellaneous fills and obstructions  
   - Begin evaluation of appropriate foundation  
|                        | NOTE: The data collected and calculated, as outlined to the left, is not alignment or construction sequence dependent. It is a highly flexible approach, which will allow the rapid evaluation of multiple project scenarios. Also, this is not the total site investigation. It is only the work to BEGIN prior to the ROD.  
   - Utilize all existing soil, environmental, and previous construction information prior to developing and executing the first phase site investigation.  
   - First phase should primarily use rapid geophysical/nondestructive methods, cone penetrometer testing (CPT), Dilatometer Testing (DMT), and CPT derived soil contamination testing.  
   - Using first phase data, execute second phase with limited high quality SPT and monitoring wells.  
   - Implement a GIS data format compatible with the project plans. |
## Geotechnical/Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Idea</th>
<th>Implementation Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| alternatives (loads can be obtained from previous interchange designs). Cost, speed, environmental issues. | - Begin evaluation of appropriate retaining wall types. Cost, speed, environmental issues, resolution of aesthetics issues, future additions to wall | 1. Develop a schedule for utility identification.  
2. Develop a detailed itemized list of utilities to be moved with a detailed schedule for relocation of each itemized utility and dates for issues to be resolved who’s waiting on who, when it is to be advertised.  
3. Don’t talk about it, get on with it. Put those involved with utilities at the top of the list to produce first.  
4. Other Details  
  - Early identification of conflicts with structures/foundations and other geotechnical features  
  - Line it or bridge it over existing embankment or use lightweight fill |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geotechnical/Materials</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Idea</th>
<th>Implementation Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Problematic Soils for Pavement Subgrades (Including Expansive Clays & High Sulfate Content Soils) | - Detailed geotechnical investigation including sulfate soil determination  
- Stabilization of thick subgrade layers  
- Sulfate soil stabilization | - Use of non-destructive equipment such as a GPR (ground couple) is recommended  
- New conductivity and colimeter tests should be used for the determination of sulfate content  
- Use deep soil mixing techniques and massive soil stabilization  
- Engineering solutions with existing stabilization agents (lime and cement) or use new stabilization agents (Laboratory tests should ensure that the new stabilizers are effective) | |
| Groundwater Conditions | - Find problems early in the design process and before construction  
- Water Table Elevations  
- Underground rivers/creeks (Canyon Section)  
- Contaminated water/soils | - Establish long-term automated groundwater monitoring program, using automatic data acquisition piezometers. This program should acquire data for at least one full annual cycle  
- Preliminary geotechnical investigation that identifies potential contamination problems if Phase I/Phase II environmental assessment not available or too broad  
- Sampling and testing contaminated water/soils using rapid in-situ tests  
- Establish a management plan that addresses the known and unknown contamination issues that might come up during construction. This process should start early on in the preliminary design process and can be refined as the design/conditions warrant. | |
| Rapid Construction | - Bridges  
- Embankments  
- Lowering of grade under bridges  
- Accelerated design | - Foundation contracts preceding completion of final bridge design  
- 24/7 construction with lane-closing activities scheduled for minimal times of traffic  
- Use of EPS blocks, geofoam and flowable fills at critical bottlenecks during project  
- Pre-cast pavement sections or support sections  
- Multi-phased rapid site investigation beginning before record of decision is signed | |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Geotechnical/Materials</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issue</strong></td>
<td><strong>Idea</strong></td>
<td><strong>Implementation Details</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phasing of Work</td>
<td>Coordinate wall design/traffic control development</td>
<td>Have traffic section and wall designer involved at beginning of design process to insure that both are optimized to minimize redundancy in construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction of the need for temporary and/or permanent walls saves time</td>
<td>Require coordination meetings between traffic planning, wall designers and geotech, early and often in the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider future loading conditions, road widening or park decks</td>
<td>Have independent review of plans at 30% and 60% design level for this issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5. Retaining Walls Redundant or unnecessary walls for phasing of work add time to construction</td>
<td>Consider future plans (i.e.; structure support, wall removal, future expansion of parks) during design.</td>
<td>Discuss and coordinate with all related agencies (including DOT) to determine future plans in area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Where feasible, design walls, bridges, and other facilities to handle these future facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to plan and design for future expansion of adjacent facilities causes additional construction delays in the future</td>
<td>Obtain accurate survey and topographic information and accurately define wall limits and heights</td>
<td>- Obtain good initial survey/topographic information of existing conditions and supplement as needed during design process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Identify cut, fill and cut/fill transition locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Limit slope in front of wall to 6:1 max, if possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Review anticipated wall limits for possible problems and make field view of areas prior to 60% and final plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Idea</td>
<td>Implementation Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification of wall foundations and details for changed conditions during</td>
<td>Obtain geotechnical data early in project and supplement as needed during design. (e.g.,</td>
<td>- Identify high water table, soft soils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>construction causes delays</td>
<td>High groundwater table, soft soils and contaminated soils)</td>
<td>- Identify contaminated soils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Perform necessary laboratory tests to analyze short and long-term conditions and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>provide design parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion of aesthetic treatments can cause delays to construction if not</td>
<td>Aesthetic treatments may have a profound impact on wall design, detailing, and</td>
<td>- Resolve aesthetic treatments early.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>well planned and executed</td>
<td>construction</td>
<td>- Review affect of including treatments on construction schedule and sequencing (can</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>discuss with Contractors/Suppliers, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Modify details accordingly if they cause excessive delays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient use of on-site materials can reduce waste and borrow</td>
<td>Large volumes of recycled concrete will be produced as part of this construction process</td>
<td>- Consider the use of recycled concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and should be reused, if possible.</td>
<td>- If used, establish specifications for use of materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Structures Skill Set

**Members:**
- Ray Fisher, TxDOT Dallas Bridge
- Claude Napier, FHWA - VADIV
- Gregg Freeby, TxDOT - Bridge Div
- Ted Zoli, HNTB Corporation
- Vijay Chandra, Parsons Brinkerhoff Inc.
- Tony Okafor, TxDOT Dallas Bridge

### Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Bid contract at 30% complete</td>
<td>Designer prepares Bridge plans to 30% with quantities +20%. Advertise and select contractor. Then contractor works with designer and owner to complete plans and construct project</td>
<td>Innovative Contracting and Construction skill set coordination. Implements partnering at very early stage to benefit Owner, designer &amp; Contractor. Designer of record follows through entire project. Implements contractor's means and methods. Creates win / win / win situation for owner / designer / contractor. Flexible for single or multiple contracts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idea (Short Name)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Idea (Detailed Description)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Prefabricated construction</td>
<td>Pre-cast Substructure: Abutments, bents, columns. Post tension elements together for continuity Pre-cast superstructure: Slab, girders, segmental boxes or prefabricated superstructure units</td>
<td>Prefabricated superstructure units require match casting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Construction techniques that minimize traffic impact</td>
<td>Incremental launching: Build bridge at one end and launch across at desired location. Lateral Slide: Build bridge adjacent to the existing structure. When complete, demolish old bridge and move new bridge into position Balanced Cantilever – Segmental construction. Heavy Lifts MOT / MPT</td>
<td>Incremental launching does not interrupt underlying traffic. Work can be done over traffic or with minimal stoppages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Temporary Bridges</td>
<td>Use prefab modular bridges for temporary structures or develop standardized modular bridges.</td>
<td>Reusable, multiple uses reduce cost. Cost could be amortized over multiple projects. When project is completed, give bridge to county or city for bridge replacement. Could also be stockpiled for future emergency or security use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Demolition of existing bridges</td>
<td>Use crushed concrete as select backfill for MSE walls</td>
<td>Requires coordination with Geotech/Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Advanced Foundation Contract</td>
<td>Based on BDR determine foundations that can be constructed ahead of time</td>
<td>Coordinate with geotechnical skill set, Could save 6 to 12 months of construction time on large bridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Pre-buy Beams</td>
<td>Have single designer develop all beam layouts and designs. Then Pre-buy all beams and supply them to the various contractors as needed.</td>
<td>Beam storage versus hauling 100 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Preformed lightweight fill</td>
<td>Expanded foam blocks combined with fill to speed retaining wall backfill</td>
<td>Also suggested by Geotechnical. Included in item 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Thru Girder</td>
<td>Pre-cast segmental thru girder sections post tensioned together</td>
<td>Ideally suited to narrow structures where vertical clearance is an issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Corrosion protection strategy</td>
<td>Need corridor corrosion protection scheme</td>
<td>Included in item 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Non-peak construction</td>
<td>Close and replace structure over a weekend. (Friday 10:00 PM to Monday 6:00 AM) Replace structure at night (7:00 PM to 6:00 AM)</td>
<td>Coordinate with construction and innovative contracting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Move Interchange</td>
<td>Shift I30 / I35 interchange from split to a single location possibly above Houston St Viaduct</td>
<td>Disadvantage: Puts interchange above historic bridge ruining setting. Puts forest of columns in one of the proposed lakes of the Trinity Pkwy project. Impacts local access to city streets. Follow up discussion was provided on reasons for rejecting this alternative earlier in the project development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Relocate UPRR1</td>
<td>Relocate UPRR away from downtown</td>
<td>Problems - requires cooperation of RR NO good location to relocate to Other side of Trinity is up for rejuvenation by City of Dallas, they would not like a RR there. Moving very far impacts low income housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Relocate UPRR2</td>
<td>Minimal shift in alignment to allow construction of new bridge parallel to the existing structure so that no temporary bridges are required</td>
<td>RR people tell us Stemmons RR Crossing needs to be 2 tracks - They propose shifting alignment to north and build new bridge on new alignment. We suggested using 300’ truss Canyon crossing - existing pier is in center of HOV lane. Need to replace bridge- suggest using single span rather than multi-span for flexibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Durability</td>
<td>Design for durability, constructability, inspection and maintenance.</td>
<td>Select materials and develop details to enhance these concepts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Right-of-Way, Utilities, Railroad Skill Set

Members:
Suku Banerjee, DART
Jesse Cooper, TxDOT ROW Division
Del Crouser, City of Dallas
Jane Deford, TxDOT Dallas
Kathy Facer, FHWA, HQ
Bob Frascella, Jacobs Civil
Robert Memory, NCDOT
Dick Moeller, O R Colan
Khali Persad, University of Texas
Doug Vollette, TxDOT Traffic Operations Division
David Walterscheid, FHWA
Janna Wampler, TxDOT Dallas
Scott Stockburger, TxDOT Dallas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post Office</td>
<td>Federal Land Transfer - traffic circulation for their trucks; changing their truck staging area Avoid impact altogether. Change truck circulation</td>
<td>FHWA involvement at HQ level may be necessary to negotiate a transfer. May take up to 18 months to negotiate. Change design to avoid impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 residential, 21 displacements $26 M + Up to 150 parcels</td>
<td>Costs could be $75 M R&amp;W, $300 M Utility, $15 M Railroads Early acquisition Use TTA authority, City or County of Dallas to do early acquisition Work with design to identify whole takes and partial takes where building is affected Identify and begin acquiring &quot;critical&quot; parcels for construction, staging/laydown areas</td>
<td>Recently passed legislation, need implementing rules from Austin for use on this project Start after FONSI Encourage early acquisition for hardship and protective buying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility adjustments of $150 M + Miscellaneous</td>
<td>96 inch sewer in median of I-35; substation at AA arena will go underground; fiber optics. Looking for firm to assist TxDOT in utility agreements/coordination with design phase Consider location of new pilings, bents, etc. Need tangible timeline to seek FHWA authorization Need up to three years lead time for utility companies to determine impact on their facilities, reach agreement, design and relocate or include in highway contract Define possible exceptions to design</td>
<td>Utilities will be adjusted by road contractor as part of project or by one utility contractor Get firm on board for this project as pilot for TxDOT - firms are limited Utilize dedicated utility corridor for placement (culvert) SUE contracts Must establish ground rules for when a corridor is “full” causing some utilities to relocate to new areas outside of project right-of-way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilize MOU with utility companies - need to establish what they want</td>
<td>Emergency services needs; can utilities be down for some periods of time?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish time restraints or moratoriums for splicing telephone facilities</td>
<td>Share with construction and geometric design groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May include options like 24 hour splicing</td>
<td>Cannot currently acquire for utilities, need legislation or some type of waiver?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of peak hours for telephone facilities</td>
<td>$10,000 per foot for buried transmission lines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use incentive/disincentive if utilities included in construction contract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow TxDOT to acquire for utility corridors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilize Trinity river corridor for utility culvert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define utilities as part of the transportation facility</td>
<td>Enable TxDOT to better manage utilities on the RW</td>
<td>Legislation needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info Mart</td>
<td>Significant lead time for adjustments</td>
<td>Allow several years notice to plan for reroute or relocation for highway construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numerous fiber optics and others</td>
<td>Work with contractor to preserve/protect parking for Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TxDOT receives $100,000 per year on rental of airspace under bridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility adjustment</td>
<td>Seasonal usage needs to be considered</td>
<td>Electrical adjustments in winter, fiber optics and telephone in late spring/summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outsource acquisition contracts</td>
<td>Project specific</td>
<td>One firm can handle 40 to 50 parcels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bring us the deed</td>
<td>6 month process to get them on board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Handle all aspects and deliver deeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater fee % payment for deed delivery, less for initial phases of acquisition process (retention to be used as incentive for completion on project basis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad</td>
<td>Stage DART bridge replacement over Canyon on falsework &lt;br&gt; Demo and set new bridge during weekend outage &lt;br&gt; Shoefly and rebuild the bridge &lt;br&gt; Up to two years for RR agreements &lt;br&gt; Early coordination &lt;br&gt; Relocation of line on North end of Stemmons &lt;br&gt; Build second track at the east side of the existing bridge &lt;br&gt; Utility relocations up to one mile away for shoefly</td>
<td>Major temp easements and utilities within the RR corridors &lt;br&gt; Historic bridge &lt;br&gt; Requires advance planning with DART for service outage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outsource Utility coordination contracts</td>
<td>Coordinate with utility companies, Hwy designers &lt;br&gt; Prepare agreements, scheduling, billings, inspections, inclusion within construction contracts</td>
<td>Early planning meetings, SUE investigations, proposed location of facilities, investigation of conduits and corridors, oversight of utility plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-of-way issues</td>
<td>TIMELINE &lt;br&gt; Liquor license - where to move &lt;br&gt; Adult entertainment uses? &lt;br&gt; Billboard issues - legal locations &lt;br&gt; Coordinate with design and acquire “just in time” for segmented construction &lt;br&gt; Land consolidation/purchase of remainder properties &lt;br&gt; Joint use with City that may be able to utilize remainders and allow ED on whole property &lt;br&gt; Staging areas for Hazmat soils &lt;br&gt; Firm up the R/W cost estimates</td>
<td>City zoning would come into play &lt;br&gt; Dependent on funding - could shorten time considerably &lt;br&gt; Must decide on construction schedule and phasing of project activities &lt;br&gt; City needs to look at needs and enter agreement &lt;br&gt; Look at whole take possibilities caused by damages for remainder size &lt;br&gt; Reduce need to haul &lt;br&gt; Utilize preliminary R/W map to begin activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll Avenue Staging Areas</td>
<td>Secure available property for contractor use as staging or laydown</td>
<td>Maintain ownership of current property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>Affect parking significantly, acquire entire property as uneconomic remainder</td>
<td>Early acquisition and use for assemblage, staging or other public use &lt;br&gt; Consider possible joint use agreement to mitigate parking impacts in highway airspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Shelter - SPCA</td>
<td>Begin relocation early; non-profit organization with limited funds to execute a successful relocation. Explore whether functional replacement strategy would be applicable to this acquisition.</td>
<td>Work with City and donors on alternate location with building to reduce costs. Austin approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential/Commercial Relocations</td>
<td>Begin relocations early, especially commercial. Cohesive community? What impacts? Relocate within the community? Strong church affiliation DSS housing available?</td>
<td>Commercial moves may take up to two years. Renovate other housing within community to meet DSS. May have to renovate several homes. Involve the preacher and church elders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early acquisition of structures - voluntary acquisition</td>
<td>Offer to acquire early.</td>
<td>Businesses willing to relocate early avoid construction difficulties. Some businesses need extensive time for complex relocations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4f parkland acquisition</td>
<td>Acquire replacement lands for mitigation.</td>
<td>Early acquisition of adjacent lands will aide the environmental document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick take</td>
<td>None allowed by TxDOT; only Toll authorities.</td>
<td>Pass legislation to allow quick take on statewide or on project by project basis as approved by the Commission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegation of approval authority</td>
<td>Surplus disposals could be signed by District Engineer Approval authority for administrative settlements.</td>
<td>New regulations needed - Delegation by Commission. Approval of 10% over approved offer up to $100,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarification of negotiations</td>
<td>Ability to continue negotiations after the filing of ED papers.</td>
<td>Approval from Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title Work</td>
<td>Incentive payment for title company to deliver commitments and issue insurance. Risk management - no title insurance needed for parcels under $20,000; limited title search to determine last owner of record.</td>
<td>Legislative change. TxDOT regulations change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Innovative Contracting/Financing Skill Set**

Members:
- Jerry Blanding, FHWA NRC
- Charles Gaskin, TxDOT Houston
- Jennifer Mayer, FHWA NRC
- Wes McClure, TxDOT Dallas
- Randy Pierce, Carter & Burgess
- Sid Scott, Trauner Consulting Services
- Rick Smith, Washington DOT
- Juan Urrutia, TxDOT Construction Division

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Innovative Contracting/Financing</th>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total or Partial Closure</td>
<td></td>
<td>Close portions of freeway for periods of time longer than just overnight, to allow faster completion</td>
<td>Requires alternate routes - Trinity Parkway &amp; Traffic Mgm. Plan in place to finalize. Extensive PR campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Constraints</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mill Creek must be raised before project can commence. Trinity Parkway project planned in advance of Pegasus.</td>
<td>ROD anticipated in 2007. This timing will affect choice of contracting methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allocation and mitigation workshops</td>
<td>Conduct as precursor to development of RFP documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procurement Options</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Prequalification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Makes sense if D-B-B used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-parameter (A+B+...) Bidding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bid both $ and time. Also, if applicable, bid traffic delay (differential travel time), quality, warranty, and other quantifiable parameters (A+B+C).</td>
<td>Delay, pay deducts. Improve, get a bonus. What about a good PR campaign, for instance, that reduces delay and allows the contractor to get a bonus? Also, what about delays related to accidents, fires, etc.?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane rental</td>
<td></td>
<td>Charge contractor for each lane closure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allow night closures, but assess stiff liquidated damages if closure time exceeded</td>
<td>Difficult to monitor, but does get attention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Innovative Contracting/Financing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incentives/disincentives</td>
<td>Incentives or disincentives based on: Opening of new lanes; Other open to traffic dates Opening ramps</td>
<td>Acceptance by contractors is an issue. Bond to cover warranty is an issue, esal limits. Pay contractor later if warranty is not used? How to enforce warranty? Pay out from a retainage fund during warranty period. Might want to incorporate as part of other contracting method, e.g. Design-build.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Warranties</td>
<td>Require warranties on specific elements of work (e.g. pavement life) Consider not requiring minimums like AASHTO, as they might limit innovation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid alternates</td>
<td>Alternates for items, or lump sum bidding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third party agreements</td>
<td>Statutes allow or require agreements w/ utilities, etc. Also possibility to use contractor to do work then utilities pay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raise Mill Creek in advance</td>
<td>Mill Creek needs to be raised, use as mitigation for other work. Once this is done, there is more design freedom for highway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivery Methods</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-B-B</td>
<td>Design-Bid-Build: Traditional low bid</td>
<td>Depends on timing. Single contract makes most sense Considered second choice if alternative methods not feasible. If multiple construction packages used, get as much work done up front as possible (utility, alternate routes, etc.) before doing major construction packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager</td>
<td>At-Risk Agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Manager/General Contractor</td>
<td>Contractor on board at PE phase, owner owns risk and design, but contractor helps with constructability</td>
<td>Viewed as a hybrid between D-B-B and D-B where contractor brought in at 30%. Use a GMP and adjust at final design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design-Build</td>
<td>First choice overall. Several possible variations: DBOM, Design-Build, Design-Build-Maintain w/ annual payments for performance, etc.</td>
<td>Entire project or pieces? Need coordination with other projects, e.g. Trinity Parkway. Construction group favors one large D-B contract. If not possible, then package low impact, up front portions (utilities, ramps, temporary structures) first, then do major project second.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing Options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolls/Managed Lanes</td>
<td>Charge for access to some lanes - (not HOV, but general use) in order to subsidize the construction. Can incorporate variable pricing in order to manage demand/traffic flow.</td>
<td>Must cover cost of installing toll equipment, limiting access. May face public opposition due to perception of &quot;Lexus lanes&quot; benefiting higher-income drivers (especially since project occurs near low-income areas).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public-Private partnerships</td>
<td>Private developer design, build, operate (maintain?) with reversion to state after payback and profit. Use of private equity could limit use of public debt to construct project.</td>
<td>Texas law may not permit concession. Public may oppose involvement by private contractor (making profit off of tolls on formerly-public highway, even if new capacity added).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax increment financing</td>
<td>City passes tax to fund portions of project directly benefiting or requested by city</td>
<td>City may have difficulty borrowing against tax increment (speculative source of revenue). Must identify district that will benefit from project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadow tolls</td>
<td>Alternate means for paying contractor for construction and/or operation of project; based on road usage rather than completion or other metrics.</td>
<td>Does not actually bring net new revenue to the table; basically an alternate method of paying for project, but does not directly reduce costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Federal Funds</td>
<td>Seek funding for economic development and other project elements from other federal agencies, including the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA); Corps of Engineers; Housing and Urban Development, and any other eligible grants. City/County of Dallas would probably take the lead on identifying, seeking, and managing applicable grant funding.</td>
<td>Grant funding may be limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint development agreements</td>
<td>Develop some decks/lids and use proceeds to subsidize the construction of decks that do not have funding. Lease/sell ROW, where possible.</td>
<td>Must find suitable development opportunities activities (e.g., hotel above freeway). Cost of reinforcing deck/lid in order to carry the weight of a building may outweigh potential revenues from development arrangement. Must design fair process for development proposals/awards. FHWA rules on program income may require proceeds to be used on Federal-aid eligible projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIFIA</td>
<td>Direct Federal Credit assistance under the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act; provides loans, lines-of-credit, and loan guarantees at an interest rate comparable to the interest rate on a Treasury bond of similar maturity. Loan repayment based on project needs, but can extend as far out as 35 years. Could be especially applicable to a joint-development opportunity with the city and/or with private developers. Comes “off the top” (no effect on Texas’s Federal-aid funding).</td>
<td>TIFIA projects must be selected in national competition. DEIS must be circulated before application, and ROD or FONSI must be in hand prior to receipt of loan funds. City, county, and TTA could probably borrow at cheaper rates using existing bonding. (Developer may find TIFIA rates more attractive). Under current law, TIFIA projects must be at least $100 million (this project would easily meet this standard, as long as whatever element funded by the loan is considered part of the overall project). No more than 33 percent of eligible project costs can be covered by TIFIA loan (again, a standard that could be easily met if project is small component of $700+ million whole). TIFIA proposal in SAFETEA would lower threshold for assistance to $50 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Infrastructure Bank and/or TIFIA</td>
<td>Low interest loans from state-capitalized bank. State can set terms, delaying repayment until up to five years after completion of construction, 35 years to repay, with low or no interest.</td>
<td>Depending on funding available from Texas SIB, could be useful for financing smaller pieces, e.g., city improvements, etc. Could be particularly useful for spreading out city contribution to project over time. Reduces city/county need to issue debt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARVEE bonds</td>
<td>Issue bonds against future federal funding - TxDOT law now allows borrowing in anticipation of Federal funds.</td>
<td>Policymakers must determine tradeoff between current investment and future repayment; cost of interest should not outweigh benefit of achieving project sooner. Other areas may perceive borrowing solely for Dallas area project as unfair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANAGEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Specifications</td>
<td>Specifications requiring end-result or performance rather than exact methods</td>
<td>Used either in conjunction with Design-Build, warranty, or other methods, or alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor developed Traffic Control Plans</td>
<td>Department sets performance requirements and contractors develop detailed plans</td>
<td>May be more problematic if multiple construction contracts used, but still possible to develop in framework of an overall traffic management plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-set pricing for COs</td>
<td>Set a framework for pricing change orders, for extended time.</td>
<td>Allows predetermined pricing for change orders, but may result in higher contingency or overhead in general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegation of Authority for Cost to field</td>
<td>Speed decision making, keep job moving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnering/escalation agreements</td>
<td></td>
<td>This is standard procedure for TX DOT but the team would like to add and support its use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid escrow</td>
<td>Contractor puts all notes and calculations in escrow, in event of later disputes. Allows owner to see thinking at time of bid.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Curves</td>
<td>Use CPM to create cash flow curve; avoids over-extension of budget by tying payments to available revenues</td>
<td>Need to ensure that cash payment schedule does not deter rapid completion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonding requirements</td>
<td>Consider changing bonding requirements so that more contractors can participate - bonding on high dollar projects can be difficult to get. Consider dynamic allocation of risk: at any one time, less than 100 percent of the project is at risk, so bonding requirement can be less than 100 percent. In CO, bonding requirement was set at 50% for parts of T-Rex</td>
<td>Need to balance lowered bonding requirements with protecting DOT against risks of non-completion (retainment or other strategies).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management/assessment</td>
<td>Develop explicit matrix to define risk and who owns in advance, to assign appropriately, and to determine where risk can most advantageously be held.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Innovative Contracting/Financing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change Retainage requirements</td>
<td>Lower retainage requirements so that there is not a large amount of cash withheld, so that bids may be lowered appropriately</td>
<td>If necessary can increase retainage if problems are anticipated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set up allowances for contingencies expected in contract</td>
<td>Cover unknowns in advance, to limit bid amount</td>
<td>Owner, or project manager, owns authority to use contingency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set up one TXDOT project management team</td>
<td>Single team manages project, using perhaps consultant help. Set up dedicated testing facility, if not Design-Build. (Design-Builder would handle if D-B). Team deals with configuration, cost, schedule, CO management, etc.</td>
<td>For D-B, team would delegate more responsibility to contractor and deal with higher level mgmt. issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Coordination Contract</td>
<td>Either in conjunction with design-build contract or separately, hire external utility coordinator to identify, locate, coordinate, and negotiate agreements with all utilities in corridor. Manage information exchange between DOT and/or design/build contractor - so as design evolves, utility relocation is adapted. With utilities, engineer conduit that combines utilities, and lay out construction schedule that goes in logical order (successfully pioneered in the Texas Central Expressway). Cost of licensed utility inspector can be included as part of contract cost.</td>
<td>Utilities in Texas have a statutory right to occupy ROW; process is “first-come, first-serve.” Utilities may not be willing to let work be done by contractor, or may insist on use of own contractor. Optimal solution may be conduit; cost of conduit could be covered by lease payments from utilities, but lease payments could be very difficult to negotiate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW Acquisition Contract</td>
<td>Incorporate ROW acquisition into design-build contract; saves time by eliminating levels of decision-making - e.g., administrative settlements can be approved by giving contractor latitude to approve up to certain level; design issues can be resolved and ROW agreements made more quickly; contractor will acquire ROW rapidly due to incentives for timely completion in contract.</td>
<td>Works for parcels that can be acquired without legal action; DOT/contractor must allocate risks for parcels that cannot be acquired. Can TxDOT legally contract out for these services?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovative Contracting/Financing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idea (Short Name)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Idea (Detailed Description)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) or Partner-Controlled Insurance Program (PCIP)</td>
<td>Wrap up insurance, so that DOT obtains overall insurance for project (or shares with Contractor), and bills contractors for premiums, eliminating need for individual contractors to seek (average savings of 2%)</td>
<td>Inspector General criticized Central Artery project for maintaining excessive reserve funds for its OCIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Roadway/Geometric Design Skill Set

**Members:**
- Ken Davis, FHWA
- Rebecca Dugger, City of Dallas
- Patricia Jackson, TxDOT DES
- James Janovsky, TxDOT Dallas
- Rory Meza, TxDOT DES
- William Prosser, FHWA - HIPA-20
- Bill Riley, TxDOT Fort Worth
- Cheng Soong, CH2M Hill

#### Roadway/Geometrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weekend Closure</td>
<td>- 48 hrs of continuous work, local street system alternatives</td>
<td>- Tearing up city streets, local traffic through lights. Special events, State Fair, American Airlines (Traffic Operations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Only close portions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing pavement as a</td>
<td>- Use existing pavement as base, to reduce tearing out</td>
<td>- Not continuous for the proposed alignment (Roadway and Pavement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Frontage</td>
<td>- Frontage roads parallel to traffic to detour</td>
<td>- Lot of temporary roads may be necessary for this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>- For temporary divergence of traffic</td>
<td>- Increased cost (Traffic, Construction, Design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck traffic</td>
<td>- Dallas ties, or continuous through</td>
<td>Doesn't have to be entire project, can be only at points of construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Destination of the trucks? Detour only for short term</td>
<td>(Traffic, Pavement, Construction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 8 to 13% truck traffic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>- 16.5 ft is not necessary? Try 14.5 ft, based on special consideration</td>
<td>- Military prefer not to change, because machinery size is unknown for future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Ramp design lower, weaving easier</td>
<td>- May need special permission for 14' - 6' vertical clearance, which allows for 2-3' overlays on the roadway beneath the bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 2% reduction ($14 mill, 2 months cut on time)</td>
<td>- If there is a proper bypass, full clearance is not reqd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Drainage ability is increased with pipe slope</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway/Geometrics</td>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                    | HOV only During Construction | - 80% traffic maintained  
- Encourage city have public use city streets instead of frontage roads (public relations)  
- Parallel service streets for weekends  
- Less earthwork, lower retaining walls, lower bridges, better profile grade | Using Different design criteria for HOV (Traffic) |
|                    | Concrete Pavement | - Design of concrete thickness (HOV) conservative as is  
- May want to make thinner | Reconstruct, wasn't designed to handle traffic of trucks  
May be truck problem, but can toll and minimize truck traffic  
Thinner pavement fail quicker (Pavement) |
|                    | Frontage Roads | - Most continuous is in the Canyon  
- Have along system, under utilized  
- May be able to use as through traffic?  
- Good coordination with city of Dallas | Difficult with so many interchanges  
Mostly for access to surrounding properties  
Diversion of traffic of wherever possible |
|                    | Media Relations | - Public info  
- Start passing word to desensitize public of future  
- Monthly information share with property owners | Media Consultant few months before, sooner done better (Traffic Operations) |
|                    | Prioritization | Which to construct first  
- Canyon, Mix Master,  
- Outside -> In or directional  
- Outside first get more room to work with  
- Building connector, | Running through traffic, where to put columns  
Lots of temporary pavement  
Detailed sequencing plan to move things around (Roadway, Construction) |
|                    | Project Manager Utilities | Several contractors, have a general consultant. Start during PS&E development, get them involved early as a penalty or incentive | Utilities want final plans, instead of preliminary  
Hurts their schedule, very critical about timing (Utilities) |
|                    | Earth work | 2 ft becomes 4 ft drop on level of bridge (if three different level)  
Ramp profile easier to design | But having the one substandard clearance automatically cause deterrent |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Roadway/Geometrics</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idea</strong> (Short Name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involve Contractor?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS (Traffic) Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW/ Env</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic (HOV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1. Vertical Clearance | In areas of possibility, may be an option  
Want someone to investigate  
Benefits may eliminate some problems | - Design exceptions to support this  
- Wall height reduced and increased bridge height, less earthwork |
| 2. Frontage Roads | - Continuous frontage Roads early in process  
- For alternate routes of through traffic-If doing frontage roads, best if they are done first | Lots of temporary construction  
Difficult with so many interstate changes  
Mostly for access to local property |
| 3. Pavement Design | - Prefabricate the pavement, only problem is aligning the joints  
- May be expensive, but it’s quick  
- Need a straight section (limitations on curves)  
- Precast may save time  
- Varying the thickness | - Use as recycled material, crushed base or over lay, rigid pavement not a major factor  
- Can be part of depth of cover  
- Better if where horizontal location is remaining the same  
- Use only where possible  
- Using different design criteria for HOV |
| 4. Utilities | - Having to design around non-removable 96" sanitary sewer pipe.  
- Utility constructed conduit considered in design  
- Has major financial implications, if fail major problem with public | - Maybe recommend coordination on one solution, deal with now or future  
- State memorandum of future complications  
- Mutual acceptable improvement scheme  
- If relocating, how much time added to project construction time |
| 5. HOV and Truck Media | - Make the suggestion of trucks to take alternate routes  
- Some will avoid big city, others don't mind diverting if told in advance  
- If you're headed for Dallas don't, consider Houston | Create more HOV opportunities  
Overnight construction, longer window of Construction opportunity.  
Public Relations (survey of HOV users, would use as final design) maybe change driver patterns |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Demand Management</td>
<td>Public education of available facilities, etc.</td>
<td>Behavior barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Demand Management</td>
<td>Shuttles to provide flexibility in multi-modal use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Demand Management</td>
<td>Work with employers to use flexible work week strategies</td>
<td>Coordinate with &quot;Pegasus 2&quot; project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Demand Management</td>
<td>Increase park and ride area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Demand Management</td>
<td>Increase bust route to transit for corridors that feed construction corridor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Demand Management</td>
<td>Increase express bus service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Demand Management</td>
<td>Carpool to shuttle to work.</td>
<td>Provide incentive to participating employers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Demand Management</td>
<td>Use lessons learned from special event management (fair, motor speedway, world soccer games, et.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Demand Management</td>
<td>Investigate use of partial and full HOV facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Demand Management</td>
<td>Extended temporary night time and weekend lane closures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Demand Management</td>
<td>Build frontage roads first</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Incident Management</td>
<td>Include locals emergency responders in TCP development and const. stage change</td>
<td>Contractor responsible for clearing incidents. Clearly define contractor's role in incident management. Use towing specification - comply with Dallas towing truck rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Incident Management</td>
<td>Define who will respond to the various incidents in the corridor and include them in the planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Incident Management</td>
<td>Improve incident response time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Incident Management</td>
<td>Promote the Regional IM training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Incident Management</td>
<td>Dedicated incident management coordinator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Incident Management</td>
<td>Use state fair, apparel market, etc for training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Incident Management</td>
<td>&quot;One call&quot; response for industrial and traffic accidents investigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Incident Management</td>
<td>Provide for stakeholder input</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Incident Management</td>
<td>Way finder, reference markers, signing, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Maintain ITS</td>
<td>Coordinate funding with NTCOG to maintain existing or provide for rerouting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Maintain ITS</td>
<td>Maintain ITS thru const.</td>
<td>Install Portable ITS system, hi mast CCTV, Statewide center two center ITS Control, deploy ITS in key corridors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Maintain ITS</td>
<td>Early coordination of utilities/fiber</td>
<td>RW Utilities, SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Reroute traffic from construction corridor.</td>
<td>Coordinate with Waco and Ft. Worth District, MAPSCO, MAPQUEST, and other traffic routing services to route around the corridor during const.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Coordinate Work Zone and project development early</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Investigate alternate routes for I-30 closures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Fast track Trinity and Woodall Rogers extension projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Increased signing for internal traffic control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Lane rental</td>
<td>Innovative SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Traffic analysis for innovative strategies and scheduling; advance planning/design for route to handle diversion</td>
<td>Innovative SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Eliminate truck traffic unless deliveries in area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Maintain access to medical facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Traffic Control</td>
<td>Maintain minimum I30 and I35E lanes thru corridor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Traffic Safety</td>
<td>Use gawk screen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Traveler Information</td>
<td>Provide time information to travelers</td>
<td>5-1-1 integrated or separated hotline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Traveler Information</td>
<td>Keep traveling public informed of construction schedule and work zones</td>
<td>Hire a Public Info person to coordinate public information campaign with media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Traveler Information</td>
<td>Develop local HAR radio system</td>
<td>Provide automatic override of local radio stations in WZ to give project information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Traveler Information</td>
<td>Provide corridor activities to advise travelers of alternate routes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idea (Short Name)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Idea (Detailed Description)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveler</td>
<td>Install additional DMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InformationTraveler</td>
<td>Provide truck traffic info</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>Electronic real-time update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveler Information</td>
<td>Develop Web site with real time information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety</td>
<td>Train workers to understand accelerated technology to protect them - more equipment will be used than normal. 24 hr work schedule</td>
<td>Drug testing, physical testing, OSHA, weather, language, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety</td>
<td>Comprehensive Safety/Health program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety</td>
<td>Incorporate worker safety requirements in Project Documents</td>
<td>Incentive for safety performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety</td>
<td>Wrap up insurance</td>
<td>Contracting SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety/Work Zone</td>
<td>Develop information videos to show how to driver thru WZ for distribution to schools, churches, etc. to assist motorists.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety/Work Zone</td>
<td>Use checklist to check if safety features in place daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety/Work Zone</td>
<td>Train trucker drivers, to improve internal work zone safety. Coordinate WZ staging with suppliers</td>
<td>Pre-qualify drivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety/Work Zone</td>
<td>Keep outside trucker informed of staging to improve internal traffic control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker Safety/Work Zone</td>
<td>Speed Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Construction Skill Set

**Members:**

- Mufid Abdulkader, City of Dallas
- Charles Brauer, TxDOT Construction Division
- Steve Dewitt, NCDOT
- Enrique Guillen, TxDOT Dallas District
- Gene Hoelker, FHWA NRC
- Joe Huerta, FHWA, NRC
- E.T. McArthur, Montana DOT
- Mike Lehmann, TxDOT San Antonio
- Tony Payberah, TxDOT Dallas

### Construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Construction Staging (Sequencing Plans) | Room to Work  
Traffic Control Plans by Contractor  
Construction Staging Areas  
Maximize "out of traffic" improvements prior to impacting project-related traffic | Use existing public facilities as staging area in return for improvements (Park)  
Coordinate with IC, Traffic, Structure Skill Sets |
| Project Management Team | Project Manager  
Sense of Urgency  
Review/Approval Authority  
10 day turn-around time  
Dispute Resolution Process  
Central Project Location (TxDOT & Contractor) | Will require high level wide ranging support to ensure issues are resolved!  
Coordinate with Innovative Contracting, design skill sets |
| Automation | CMP Scheduling  
Electronic Document Control | Coordinate with ? |
| Material Delivery | Pre-Order Materials  
Pre-Cast Materials  
Material Delivery Issues | Consider High Performance Materials  
Coordinate with Materials, structure skill sets |
| Availability of Materials | Aggregate Sources  
Cement  
Recycling? | Coordinate with materials, geotech skill sets |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Sequence Smaller Projects of the overall project | Railroad Bridges  
Critical Ramps/Interchanges (Bridges)  
Frontage Roads  
Alternate Routes | Coordinate with Design, TE & safety, R/W/utility/RR, skill sets |
| Dealing with 3rd Party Problems Up Front | Utilities  
R/W  
Railroads  
Transit  
Access  
Hazardous Materials | Coordinate with Utilities, design (see above item) |
| Quality Control | Contractor Provided QC  
Inspection by Contractor  
Independent QA  
TXDOT QA Monitoring | Inspection by Contractor - Culture shift - "fox guarding hen house"  
Coordinate with materials |
| Relocate Utilities by Contractor | Contract to include movement of utilities by the contractor (water, sewer, power, cable, etc.) | Barriers - Industry Acceptance  
Coordinate with utility, design skill sets |
| Advance Utility Relocations | See #7 | See above item |
| Industry Involvement in Constructability Reviews | Hire Outside Expertise for Reviews  
Contractor Constructability Reviews  
Hire PEF or Contractor to do reviews | Barriers - Industry Acceptance  
Coordinate with innovative contracting |
| Design Solutions by Contractor | Bid item - puts responsibility for plan error resolution on contractor and hired design PEF | Barriers - Industry Acceptance  
Coordinate with design, innovative contracting |
| Specifications | End Result - Means & Methods "loosen up"  
Opportunity to Innovate - review specs to allow maximum innovation | Cultural Barriers  
Coordinate with materials, Innovative contracting |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design-Build</td>
<td>Include utility movement, RAW procurement, Etc.</td>
<td>Cultural Barriers - it is never too late to go to DB!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinate with all groups!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky Pre-Qualification</td>
<td>Contractors involved in constructability very early in the</td>
<td>Limits Bidders - some may fall out by the time the project is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process</td>
<td>design process - Special prequalification process</td>
<td>actually bid - others cannot be added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinate with Innovative contracting, design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Value Contracting</td>
<td>Selection process very much like Design-Build but for</td>
<td>Barriers - legal issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design-Bid-Build</td>
<td>Coordinate with Innovative Contracting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Project Issues</td>
<td>Mill Creek Under Roadway</td>
<td>Need to be investigated much more in depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historic Bridge</td>
<td>Coordinate with all groups!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close short sections at a time?</td>
<td>Remove traffic in whole or in parts</td>
<td>Public Acceptance!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinate with innovative contracting, design, traffic &amp; safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinity Parkway</td>
<td>Reliever Route</td>
<td>NEPA, Funding, Coordination Barriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constructed Prior to Pegasus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Force Availability</td>
<td>Inspection Forces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction Workers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Pavement/Maintenance Skill Set

Members:

John D’Angelo, FHWA - HIPT  
Gary Graham, TxDOT Construction Division  
Gerry Huber, Heritage Group  
Mark McDaniel, TxDOT Construction Division  
Abbas Mehdibeigi, TxDOT Dallas  
Suneel Vanikar, FHWA - HIPT

## Long Life Pavements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea (Short Name)</th>
<th>Idea (Detailed Description)</th>
<th>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 Years little to no maintenance. Expected pavement life more than 50 years.</td>
<td>This should be a low maintenance roadway with no base failures expected and surface failures experienced very infrequently.</td>
<td>Should be coordinated with materials, and construction technical experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite pavements should be considered.</td>
<td>Various scenarios should be considered such as asphalt base\with CRCP on top (normal practice), CRCP on bottom with asphalt on top, precast/prestressed slabs with asphalt on top (at intersections and frontage roads, etc.). It is also possible to diamond grind slabs and not top with asphalt. If grades can be adjusted we can leave the existing pavement in place and bury it.</td>
<td>Composites get the benefits of the structural capacity of the concrete and the noise and ride of the asphalt. The asphalt insulates the concrete from temperature and moisture fluctuations. SMA with modified asphalt and open-graded friction courses should be considered as surface courses. Construction and materials experts should be consulted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials selected to provide for quality product. Recycling of old concrete pavement should be maximized.</td>
<td>Do not use siliceous aggregates. Fast track material testing techniques should be used. Set up a separate pay item for curing to get a better quality product.</td>
<td>Subsurface investigations must be done. Consider more restrictive specifications to get higher quality materials. Coordinate with geotechnical and material testing groups. Possible uses of recycled pavement could be behind retaining walls, asphalt base courses, crushed aggregate bases, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea (Short Name)</td>
<td>Idea (Detailed Description)</td>
<td>Implementation Details (Barriers, Skill Set Coordination, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging areas (crushing operations, concrete plant, etc.) should be on project site if at all possible. Permits obtained before letting.</td>
<td>On-site plant operations significantly reduce construction costs by eliminating haul distance and significantly reduces construction related traffic from surrounding roadway network.</td>
<td>If the floodplain is considered for plant operations, coordination with FEMA and COE will be required. Plant permits may be obtained by TXDOT in advance if the plant sites are designated on the plans. Protection of plant equipment and maintaining flow capacity through the floodplain are considerations. Right-of-way and environmental section should be consulted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrict traffic through canyon section.</td>
<td>Consider such techniques as restricting all lanes to HOV traffic (reduction of lanes), weekend closures, complete shutdown.</td>
<td>TEXDOT traffic engineer should be consulted as well as environmental section. Could be publicly controversial and formal notification procedures would have to be carried out. This would affect environmental clearance. This could significantly expedite construction. HOV traffic can remain on a limited number of lanes while the others can be reconstructed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance characteristics should be specified, regarding smoothness, friction, distress, noise, etc.</td>
<td>Performance warranties require detailed stress identification with monitoring cycles and techniques.</td>
<td>Distress has to be tied to existing pavement characteristics in a State or location. Also tied to typical deterioration curves and be truly measurable characteristics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term warranties with contractor responsible for maintenance.</td>
<td>Long term performance warranties requiring contractor maintenance for approximately 10 years</td>
<td>This would require design build and tied with innovative contracting practices. Likely to result in innovative design and construction practices. Coordinate with contract administration specialists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>