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PROJECT OVERVIEW

The interchange of I-80 and I-380 near Iowa City, Iowa, underwent a comprehensive redesign to replace the conventional cloverleaf design with high-speed ramps and flyovers. The project started in August 2018 and is scheduled to be completed by December 2022. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) recognized the opportunity to develop its three-dimensional (3D) modeling program and selected three multispan flyover structures—Ramps 1, 2, and 3, as shown in Figure 1—as a pilot project.

The first was a five-span steel structure that included a gore with complicated, individual, vertical profiles for the diverging ramps. The second consisted of a three-span curved steel structure that comprised the I-80 eastbound to I-380 southbound section. The third involved 13 spans “flying over” both I-380 and I-80 to provide access to I-380 northbound.

These complex steel plate girder bridges, which combined are more than 4,200 ft. long, required a complex superelevation solution and the use of discontinuous girders. Between each girder, the design called for inspection walkways with access portals at each diaphragm. Various aesthetic components were also used throughout the structures, most notably in the abutments and piers.

BIM FOR INFRASTRUCTURE APPROACH

The project established the elements to be modeled, starting with all essential design objects and assemblies, followed by the construction elements, and finally the fabrication elements. Once the lists were completed, a team from each discipline was assigned to develop and model their elements, objects, and assemblies. The following disciplines were involved:

• Geotechnical
• Roadway
• Drainage
• Lighting
• Utilities
The modeling teams were overseen by the building information modeling (BIM) manager, who maintained a single file, which referenced all of the individual models. This one file, referred to as the federated model, was used for clash detection, design review, and overall quality control.

Iowa DOT project leaders wanted to understand just how the contractor would use this federated model during construction, which meant the model would need to provide a detailed visual representation of the structures. To address this need, the team’s effort focused on a final design level of development (LOD) of 300 for all design objects.

The BIMForum (https://bimforum.org) describes the LOD Specification as a reference that enables practitioners in the architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) industry to specify and articulate, with a high level of clarity, the content and reliability of their design models at various stages during the design and construction processes (AGC of America 2019).

All construction elements also carry the same LOD given their geometry and position being based on and modeled by the design team. This is also true with fabrication elements. The initial model element breakdown is shown in Figure 2.

The project team worked closely with the various disciplines to incorporate each model into an all-inclusive federated file. As issues were identified, the appropriate parties worked toward a resolution.

One such conflict involved a pier footing on Ramp 3 and a mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) wall included in a future stage of construction. The footing pile arrangement was altered and the footing was chamfered to provide future clearance between the two elements.
MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The software reviewed for this project had to perform at a level that would satisfy the main project goals to provide sufficient detail to test its ability and performance and encourage use of the model by contractors during construction. Due to the Iowa DOT’s familiarity with Bentley® Systems software, the programs listed in Table 1 were selected for use and evaluation on this pilot project.

Modeling began with development of the superstructure. The project alignment was imported into the OpenBridge Modeler™ file, and abutment and pier locations were established. The workflow allows the author to select 3D parametric templates to be placed either at a location or swept along the alignment. The number and spacing of the girders are selected, and their lengths are determined by the placement of the abutment and pier lines.

Due to the unique design of the Iowa DOT’s abutments and piers, the entire form was modeled with solids in MicroStation CONNECT™. Care was taken to develop the pier models in a way that would allow for manipulation through varying superelevation sections. Height was also adjusted at each location.

INDUSTRY INVOLVEMENT/PARTICIPATION

Involvement with the Association of General Contractors (AGC) of Iowa began early in the project with a discussion of the proposed modeling effort and the subsequent deliverable. Once the model was complete, the Iowa DOT organized a follow-on meeting with members of the AGC of Iowa, the designer, and State bridge personnel.

The designer was asked to walk through the development of the model and demonstrate the model’s accuracy and the availability of data. After the presentation, contractors were asked if they would use this model during construction. The contractors’ response indicated that without the proper tools and expertise they would have difficulty gaining value from the model. They did, however, state that the model would be a valuable asset for public involvement and in-house orientation to the project.

Iowa DOT representatives agreed there was a need for software and training consideration within the contract due to BIM for infrastructure being in such an early stage of development. The designer held an in-person training session for Iowa DOT personnel and offered it to any interested bidders. The training covered navigation and basic data extraction. The designer also recorded a one-hour training video and made it available online to any potential bidders that did not make it to the training session. The training was well received by both DOT personnel and the contracting community.

RESULTS

The digital delivery of this project was made possible by a special provision developed by the Iowa DOT. This provision required a complete list of all files with instructions on how to access them, along with a list of recommended software to be used to work with the files.

The model element breakdown list showing final LOD dispositions was also supplied. This list was key for defining objects that the designer was not able to model precisely to match the design intent. An appendix was added that allowed the engineer to sign and seal the model declaring it as the primary deliverable with all other documentation that was submitted being for information only.

### Table 1. Selected project software

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpenBridge Modeler™</td>
<td>V8i</td>
<td>Basic bridge superstructure elements, foundations and piles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProStructures™</td>
<td>V8i</td>
<td>Reinforcement, inspection walkways, detailed connections, misc. detailing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MicroStation CONNECT™</td>
<td>CONNECT Edition</td>
<td>Misc. solids modeling and creation of element properties through “Item Types”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Model Transformer™</td>
<td>V8i</td>
<td>Property list filtering for final deliverable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProjectWise™</td>
<td>CONNECT Edition</td>
<td>Common data environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTRACTOR BIDDING

The final bid package contained an i-model for the model deliverable. An i-model is a repackaged, read-only MicroStation™ file that can be opened or referenced using Bentley® products. This file format gave the designer better control over element information and eliminated the potential for modification.

Once the project was awarded, the designer provided the contractor with native (.dgn) files to give them full access to the modeled objects. Full plan sets along with the 3D models were delivered for the two bridges not modeled using the software.

LESSONS LEARNED

The designers learned quite a few lessons as they worked through the details for the modeling effort. The most important take-away was that any BIM effort will involve more than one software program to complete. The fact that OpenBridge Modeler™ was only able to contribute basic model objects left the designer searching for a work-around. The inability to transfer the reinforcing steel bar model to the fabricator showed that it is important to research the local fabricator’s equipment and determine compatibility prior to software selection. And, finally, collaboration with the construction industry during the process led to a better understanding of the project and the technology used to develop it.

It was found during this project that, given quality software products and adequate training, the industry will have the technology and expertise to develop successful workflows. As the DOT encourages the local construction industry to utilize the innovations of BIM for Infrastructure, its resources become more accustomed to the process.
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