

U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration Building Information Modeling (BIM) for Infrastructure

How It Affects Your Workflow

### Agenda

F

- Concept of BIM for Infrastructure
- Applying BIM for Infrastructure
- State DOT example projects to date
- Lessons learned



### **Concept of BIM for Infrastructure**

- BIM means build it twice, once virtually
- Digital representation of the physical and functional characteristics of an infrastructure asset
- The process of developing a precise, data rich, virtual 3D representation of existing and proposed elements belonging to a programmed construction project
- Serves as a shared knowledge resource for information about an infrastructure asset
- Basic premise: Collaboration by different stakeholders at different phases of the life cycle of an infrastructure asset (insert, extract, update or modify information)



=

U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration



### **BIM for Infrastructure:** The Environment

Critical factors for success:

- Clear and precise contract language
- A strategically planned and wellmanaged common data environment
- Owner originated data requirements
- Modeling voluntary standards that are not regulatory in nature





F

Federal Highway Administration Illustration: HDR, used with permission Note: The data requirements and modeling standards are voluntary and are not required or enforceable under Federal statute or FHWA regulations.

### **Building Information Modeling**





F

of Transportation Federal Highway

Illustration: HDR, used with permission Note: The implementation of BIM is not required under Federal statute or FHWA regulations.

5

### **Information Modeling**





=

## **BIM Project Execution Planning Guide**

- Overview of the project execution planning procedure for BIM
- Identifying BIM goals and uses for a project
- Designing the BIM project execution process
- Developing information exchanges
- Define supporting infrastructure for BIM implementation

These steps are not required under Federal statute or FHWA regulations.





U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration Image: Penn State University, used with permission

http://bim.psu.edu/bim\_project\_execution\_planning\_guide/bim-project\_execution-planning-guide.html, BIM Project Execution Planning Guide, Version 2.1, CIC-Penn State University, 2011 The U.S. Government does not endorse products, manufacturers, or any entities mentioned. Trademarks, manufacturers' names, and names of entities appear in this presentation only because they are considered essential to the objective of the presentation. They are included for informational purposes only and are not intended to reflect a preference, approval, or endorsement of any one product or entity.

## **Applying BIM for Infrastructure**

### **BIM Project Execution Planning Procedure**





**U.S. Department** of Transportation

**Federal Highway** Administration

Image: Penn State University, used with permission http://bim.psu.edu/bim project execution planning quide/bim-project-execution-planning-quide.html BIM Project Execution Planning Guide, Version 2.1, CIC-Penn State University, 2011

## The Uses of BIM

Gather

F

- Capture, Quantify, Monitor, Qualify
- Generate
  - Prescribe, Arrange, Size
- Analyze
  - Coordinate, Forecast, Validate
- Communicate
  - Visualize, Transform, Draw, Document
- Realize
  - Fabricate, Assemble, Control, Regulate

These steps are not required under Federal statute or FHWA regulations.





Federal Highway Administration Image: Penn State University, used with permission
<u>http://bim.psu.edu/uses-of-bim.html</u>, The Uses of BIM, Penn State Computer Integrated Construction
The U.S. Government does not endorse products, manufacturers, or any entities mentioned. Trademarks, manufacturers' names, and names of entities appear in this presentation only because they are considered
essential to the objective of the presentation. They are included for informational purposes only and are not intended to reflect a preference, approval, or endorsement of any one product or entity.

## **Applying BIM for Infrastructure**

**BIM Uses** 

=

SNAPSHOT FROM THE BIM PROJECT EXECUTION PLANNING GUIDE

X	PLAN	X	DESIGN	X	CONSTRUCT	X	OPERATE
	PROGRAMMING		DESIGN AUTHORING		SITE UTILIZATION PLANNING		BUILDING MAINTENANCE SCHEDULING
	SITE ANALYSIS		DESIGN REVIEWS		CONSTRUCTION SYSTEM DESIGN		BUILDING SYSTEM ANALYSIS
			3D COORDINATION		3D COORDINATION		ASSET MANAGEMENT
			STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS		DIGITAL FABRICATION		SPACE MANAGEMENT / TRACKING
			LIGHTING ANALYSIS		3D CONTROL AND PLANNING		DISASTER PLANNING
		2	ENERGY ANALYSIS		RECORD MODELING		RECORD MODELING
		21	MECHANICAL ANALYSIS				
			OTHER ENG. ANALYSIS				
			SUSTAINABLITY (LEED) EVALUATION				
			CODE VALIDATION				
	PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)		PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)		PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)		PHASE PLANNING (4D MODELING)
	COST ESTIMATION		COST ESTIMATION		COST ESTIMATION		COST ESTIMATION
	EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING		EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING		EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING		EXISTING CONDITIONS MODELING



Note: These BIM uses are not required under Federal statute or FHWA regulations.

U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration Image: Penn State University, used with permission

http://bim.psu.edu/bim\_project\_execution\_planning\_guide/bim-project-execution-planning-guide.html BIM Project Execution Planning Guide, Version 2.1, CIC-Penn State University, 2011

## Why Use BIM for Infrastructure?

- Application of innovative technologies, practices, and solutions on the rise for highway project delivery
- A wide range of technologies to improve predictability, performance, transparency (Planning to Operations and Maintenance)
- It's time to analyze and understand the technology adoption at the agency-level, in its entirety!





U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

### Challenges

F

- Initial acceptance
- Change management
- Training program development
- Technology investment



### **BIM for Infrastructure Life Cycle**





F

Federal Highway Administration

# **Example Projects**

Iowa DOT Michigan DOT New York State DOT Oregon DOT (Selwood Bridge) Oregon DOT (Selwood Bridge Detour) Texas DOT Connecticut DOT Wisconsin DOT

2

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

### **Iowa DOT**

#### Location:

 Statewide 3D-engineered model development program

#### **Primary Goal/Focus for Program:**

 Use of 3D models for visualization and constructability reviews

#### Technology Used:

- Discipline-specific 3D models
- Digital delivery

#### **BIM Uses Applied:**

- 4D schedule integration
- Visualization
- 3D coordination (clash detection)

#### **Lessons Learned:**

• 3D visualizations provided by the contractor for constructability during this five-year project resulted in positive feedback from DOT staff





Federal Highway Administration



G - 2 ÷ - 4 9 9 8 8 9 9 9 0 0 6 8 7 9

## Michigan DOT

#### Location:

Ę

• Statewide 3D engineered model development program

#### Primary Goal/Focus for Program:

- Use of 3D models for visualization and constructability reviews
- Surface modeling for automated machine guidance

#### Technology Used:

- Discipline specific 3D models
- Existing conditions modeling

#### **BIM Uses Applied:**

- 4D schedule integration
- 3D coordination (clash detection)

#### **Lessons Learned:**

Clash detection and 3D visualizations provided
 efficiencies in determining constructability of the project

## **New York State DOT**

#### Location:

 NY17/I-81 Interchange and the Kosciuszko Bridge

#### **Primary Goal/Focus for Program:**

Use of 3D models for visualization

#### **Technology Used:**

Discipline-specific 3D models

#### **BIM Uses Applied:**

- 3D model authoring
- Visualization

#### Lessons Learned:

 Visualization and 3D coordination performed during design phase provided cost saving/avoidance during construction activities



of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

### Oregon DOT Selwood Bridge

#### Location:

 Statewide 3D engineered model development program

#### Primary Goal/Focus for Program:

- Use of 3D models for visualization and constructability reviews
- Surface modeling for automated machine guidance

#### **Technology Used:**

- Discipline specific 3D models
- Existing conditions modeling
- Digital delivery

#### **BIM Uses Applied:**

- 4D schedule integration
- 3D coordination (clash detection)

#### **Lessons Learned:**

 Clash detection and 3D visualizations provided efficiencies in determining constructability of the project



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration





### Oregon DOT (Selwood Bridge Detour)

Location:

• Sellwood Bridge, Portland, OR

#### **Primary Goal/Focus for Program:**

 Use of 3D models for visualization and constructability reviews

#### Technology Used:

- Discipline-specific 3D models
- Visualization, video

#### BIM Uses Applied:

- Visualization
- 3D coordination

#### Lessons Learned:

 3D visualizations provided the platform to propose a less expensive, faster, more efficient and safer approach to construct the project.



U.S. Department of Transportation



### **Texas DOT**

#### Location:

Ę

• Horseshoe Project I-35/I-30 Interchange, Dallas, TX

#### Primary Goal/Focus for Program:

Use of 3D models for visualization

#### **Technology Used:**

• Discipline-specific 3D models

#### **BIM Uses Applied:**

- 3D model authoring
- Visualization

#### **Lessons Learned:**

 3D visualizations provided by the contractor for constructability during this five-year project resulted in positive feedback from DOT staff

### **Connecticut DOT**

#### Location:

• I-95 New Haven Harbor Crossing

#### **Primary Goal/Focus for Program:**

Use of 3D models for visualization

#### **Technology Used:**

Discipline-specific 3D models

#### **BIM Uses Applied:**

- 3D model authoring
- 4D schedule integration
- Visualization

#### Lessons Learned:

• 3D visualization and 4D schedule integration provided clarity to the owner and public



### **Wisconsin DOT**

#### Location:

• Zoo interchange I-94 / I-41 / I-894 corridors

#### Construction Schedule/Project Cost:

• 2013-2018 (completed) / \$1.7B

#### Primary Goals/Focus for Project/Program:

- Electronic project delivery
- 3D models used for
   AMG/grading/paving/structures/utilities
- Conflict/issue resolution in design to reduce costs in field **Technology Used:**
- Full Discipline 3D Design Models
- Mobile-static LiDAR high-accuracy survey existing models
- Integrated CAD-BIM-GIS

#### BIM Uses Applied:

- 3D/4D design models including staged models
- 3D coordination for discipline clash detection/resolution
- Visualization and cloud-based design-construction reviews

#### Lessons Learned/ROI:

- 3D coordination and visualization reduced issues in field
- Cost savings/avoidance reduced change order/bid costs
- Plans quality improved and reduced schedule delays





U.S. Department of Transportation

### **Lessons Learned**

- BIM for Visualization, 4D (scheduling), and design analysis have been deployed by several DOTs—and can be deployed more broadly now
- Some implementation of 5D (cost analysis), AMG, earthwork balancing, and 3D mapping of utilities
- Garbage-in garbage-out: Need for model validation to ensure model is correct and complete for its intended purpose



## For more information visit:

- Penn State University: <u>http://bim.psu.edu/</u>
- Transportation Research Board (TRB), National Cooperative Highway Research Project (NCHRP) 10-96: <a href="http://www.trb.org/NCHRP/Blurbs/176610.aspx">http://www.trb.org/NCHRP/Blurbs/176610.aspx</a>
- TRB NCHRP Report 831 Volume 2: <u>http://www.trb.org/main/blurbs/174321.aspx</u>
- TRB NCHRP Report 831 Volume 1 Guidebook: <u>http://www.trb.org/main/blurbs/174318.aspx</u>



## FHWA BIM for Infrastructure Point of Contact:

### **Task Manager**

Connie Yew, Team Leader Federal Highway Administration 1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E. Washington, DC 20590 (202) 366-1078, <u>connie.yew@dot.gov</u>

