Hampton Roads, Virginia, is a low-lying, coastal metropolitan region that serves as the site for multiple military installations, including the largest naval base on the east coast of the United States. This pilot study used an existing decision model to evaluate how the transportation priorities of the region might be influenced by a variety of climate change, economic, regulatory, travel-demand, wear-and-tear, environmental, and technology scenarios. The Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) led this pilot in partnership with the University of Virginia, the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission (HRPDC), and Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization (HRTPO).

Scope

This effort used scenario-based preferences and multi-criteria decision analysis to reorder four types of transportation priorities based on climate change and other factors. The selected scenarios combined climate change with other planning factors, such as population and industrial growth. These other planning factors could increase or decrease the consequences associated with the climate factors alone.

For each of the five scenarios, the decision model reordered the following transportation priorities:

- Candidate transportation projects identified during the preparation of the HRTPO’s Hamptons Roads 2034 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)
- Existing transportation assets
- Strategic transportation policies that were developed statewide by the VTrans2035 plan
- Selected Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZs) that are vulnerable to coastal inundation
Objectives

- Assess the sensitivity of transportation priorities to climate change scenarios, including scenarios that combine climate change with other planning factors.
- Develop a Microsoft® Excel®-based prioritization model for technology transfer of climate change consideration to transportation agencies across the nation.
- Deploy and test the FHWA conceptual model and provide FHWA with recommendations for evolving the model.

Approach

Identify transportation priorities. The project team identified four types of priorities for transportation planning in the region:

Major Transportation Projects. Without addressing climate change, the HRTPO had already used the HRTPO Project Prioritization Tool to prioritize 155 candidate transportation projects with a thirty-year horizon. The LRTP prioritized these projects based on three categories of criteria: project utility, economic viability, and project viability.

Existing Transportation Assets. VDOT compiled an initial selection of over 1,000 existing transportation assets from its asset management system. To narrow the scope, the project team screened for high-risk assets using criteria that included traffic volume, elevation relative to mean sea level, location on a maintenance priority route, and location on a hurricane evacuation route. The narrowed selection consisted of about thirty major assets including two traffic management centers and three bridges, including the Chesapeake Bay Bridge-Tunnel.

Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZs). To demonstrate the impact that regional distinctions may have on overall planning, the project team identified 40 TAZs that were vulnerable to coastal inundation. The selected TAZs represented a diversity of terrain, population densities, and locations.

Transportation Policies. Recognizing that policy priorities are important drivers of transportation planning, the project team analyzed 21 statewide policies of VTrans2035 and four region-specific policies from the LRTP. For example, these policies included a transportation trust fund, increasing rail funding, increasing bike and pedestrian facilities, and implementing sustainable environmental practices.

Develop climate and climate-plus scenarios. The project team created realistic sets of scenarios based on combinations of climate factors, such as sea level rise, and non-climate factors, such as economic conditions, wear-and-tear, travel demand, and environmental/ecological change and regulations. Importantly, the scenario-based tool allowed decisionmakers to explore the interactions among a variety of planning factors (see Figure 1). For example, the project team analyzed the following sets of interactions:

- Economic downturn conditions that might impair the ability of governments to implement climate change response measures
- New government regulation to reduce anticipated impacts from climate change
- Maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure under business-as-usual conditions or improved fiscal conditions
- Technological innovation that is able to mitigate the influences of climate and other factors
- Increased ecological degradation that would interfere with the ability of natural systems (such as wetlands) to protect infrastructure

![Figure 1: Climate scenarios influence priority-setting in several perspectives of the long-range transportation plan.](image-url)
Reordering transportation priorities based on climate and climate-plus scenarios. Using “climate” and “climate-plus” (accounting for climate change plus economy, wear/tear, ecology, or traffic demand) scenarios, the team re-ordered the transportation priorities. For each type of transportation priority, the project team identified prioritization criteria based on the existing work of transportation planners, including mission criteria and scoring that were adopted directly from HRPDC/HRTPO and VDOT transportation plans and studies, and indirectly from USDOT sources. For example, criteria to evaluate future transportation projects were obtained from the HRTPO Project Prioritization Tool. The project team then developed an Excel® model that re-ordered the priorities based on these criteria and the influences of the several climate and climate-plus scenarios.

In order to calibrate the model, the first step was to reproduce the baseline prioritizations of the candidate projects for the LRTP by applying the existing weights, scores, and criteria. Once the model was calibrated to the existing non-climate prioritization, the project team worked with HRPDC/HRTPO/VDOT planners and engineers to assess how the criteria weights might shift across the several climate and non-climate scenarios. For example, the importance of criteria such as accessibility or safety/security might increase or decrease under scenarios that combine the factors sea level rise and increased traffic demand. The Excel® model applied updated weights to the calculations and generated revised priorities under each scenario (for example, see Figure 2).

Key Results & Findings

The pilot found that the most influential scenario for priority-setting was a combination of sea level rise and storm surge with increased traffic demand (see Figure 3). This scenario significantly disrupted existing priorities for projects, assets, TAZs, and policies. Interestingly, prioritization of planned projects was most sensitive to the climate-change-only scenario.

In order to share their methods with the broader transportation community, the project team transferred their decision model into an Excel® workbook tool. These editable workbooks guide users through the process of identifying assets, selecting criteria, building a base case, and developing climate and climate-plus scenarios.
Lessons Learned

**Leverage existing science.** Multiple projects have evaluated the vulnerability of the Hampton Roads region to sea level rise and storm surge. However, the project team realized that this existing research had not yet been incorporated into the LRTP for the region. Since the LRTP has a 30-year planning horizon and is regularly updated, it provides an ideal avenue for adaptation planning.

“Risk is the influence of scenarios on priorities.”

—Dr. James H. Lambert, University of Virginia

**Construct real-world scenarios.** The project team developed scenarios that included climate factors, such as sea level rise, as well as non-climate factors, such as regulatory or economic conditions, that might lessen or exacerbate climate impacts.

**Include multiple planning elements.** The project team found that it is insufficient to only consider the influence of climate change on transportation assets. Transportation policies, TAZs, and planned projects are important transportation plan elements that can be sensitive to climate change.

Next Steps

**Communicate to stakeholders and the public.**

Over the past year, the project team held presentations to familiarize HRTPO and HRPDC staff with the results of this work. HRTPO may consider changes to its Project Prioritization Tool as it begins to work on the region’s next LRTP. The project team also released several publications in the literature describing how climate change can influence priority setting for transportation infrastructure assets.

For More Information

**Resources:**
Hampton Roads Virginia Pilot Final Report
Hampton Roads Virginia Pilot Webpage
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James H. Lambert
Associate Director, Center for Risk Management of Engineering Systems
University of Virginia
lambert@virginia.edu, 434-982-2072

Becky Lupes
Sustainable Transport and Climate Change Team
Federal Highway Administration
Rebecca.Lupes@dot.gov, 202-366-7808
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