Q&A With Greg Nadeau: Making Every Day Count

Gregory G. Nadeau became the Federal Highway Administration’s deputy administrator in 2009. During his 27 years in Maine state government, he served as the Maine Department of Transportation’s deputy commissioner for policy, planning and communication, senior policy advisor to a Maine governor and a member of the Maine House of Representatives. He spoke with Innovator about Every Day Counts, FHWA Administrator Victor Mendez’s innovation initiative, which is designed to accelerate the mainstreaming of innovation and shorten the delivery time of highway projects.

How did Every Day Counts come about?
Administrator Mendez has long been an innovator, as he demonstrated during his tenure as director of the Arizona Department of Transportation. Victor helped implement what is commonplace in many states today, including innovations like rubberized asphalt, cable median barriers and design-build. Victor’s leadership at FHWA is shaped by his view—and that of Secretary Ray LaHood—that there’s no time to waste in adapting to the changing needs of the 21st century transportation system.

When the Montana Department of Transportation tried innovative trenchless technology to rehabilitate deteriorated culverts, it fixed 10 of them in a matter of hours instead of days, without excavating the roadway or interrupting traffic flow.

As a result of its experience on the Highways for LIFE-funded project, the agency plans to use trenchless technology routinely to rehabilitate deteriorated pipes, especially on heavily traveled highways where lane closures would slow down travelers and adversely affect businesses.


Two Options
The Montana DOT tested two trenchless technologies to fix the corrugated steel culverts on U.S. 12 near Helena:

- A cured-in-place pipe lining system, in which a seamless, jointless polymer liner is laid through the existing culvert and inflated so that it conforms to the culvert’s interior walls.
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Many states have also tried contract warranties, an assurance by the contractor that the product will perform as specified for a certain time period. At least 23 states have used asphalt pavement warranties on more than 700 projects, and 17 have used Portland cement concrete warranties on about 370 projects.

Using Warranties
Blanding outlined issues to consider when using warranties: “Is the warranty going to be part of the contract criteria? What are the responsibilities of the contractor? Is there a conflict resolution team in place to resolve issues quickly? What are the requirements for corrective action?”

Design-build contracting, in which the project’s design and construction phases are combined in one contract, has grown exponentially in recent years, Blanding said. It can be used on a statewide construction program or in an emergency such as a natural disaster to replace damaged facilities quickly.

Advantages include one point of contact for both design and construction, enhanced opportunities to use innovation, time and cost savings, and better risk management. “We also see a lower incidence of claims because the design team and contractor are working together,” Blanding said.

Design-build works best on projects with a strong design component and without major right-of-way or utility issues, Blanding said. Keys to success include choosing a project carefully and developing a clear scope of work and criteria specifications.

Choosing Delivery Methods
Dr. Douglas Gransberg, a University of Oklahoma professor, presented the results of research on how infrastructure owners choose project delivery methods. The researchers studied delivery method selection in three transportation modes: airport, transit and highway. They found that all of the methods studied—cost plus time, design-bid-build, construction manager-at-risk and design-build—have been used successfully on projects across the nation.

The use of alternative project delivery methods “does require a culture shift from the old low-bid mentality,” Gransberg said. “The bottom line is that owners should match the delivery method with specific requirements of the given project and use all three as appropriate. One size does not fit all.”

Several states have used lane rental, a technique in which the contractor pays a fee for the time a travel lane is taken out of service during construction. “This technique minimizes the time roadway restrictions impact traffic flow,” Blanding said. “If the contractor has an hourly rate for renting that lane for his use, he’s going to use no more time than necessary.”

Cost-plus-time bidding, or A+B, “focuses on making sure the award of the contract is based on both the lowest price as well as the time it takes to complete the critical items of work,” Blanding said.

A+B contracting offers several benefits, including a shorter construction time and no significant change in quality. It also encourages the contractor to innovate when choosing equipment and technologies. The technique is best reserved for projects with great urgency and short duration, as well as for those with little potential for right-of-way and utility conflicts or field changes that could eat up time, Blanding said.

Innovative contracting success depends on using the right project delivery method, according to Highways for LIFE Web conference speakers.

Innovative contracting methods can cut construction time, lower costs and produce higher quality, but not every method is right for every project. That’s the message from experts at a Web conference on accelerated project delivery methods for highway projects.

The online session, which drew 100-plus participants from highway agencies and private industry, was part of the monthly “Innovations” series sponsored by Highways for LIFE and the National Highway Institute.

The Federal Highway Administration has encouraged highway agencies to experiment with nontraditional contracting methods since 1990, said Jerry Blanding, innovative contracting engineer at FHWA’s Resource Center. The key to successful innovative contracting is “using the right product for the right project,” said Blanding, who moderated the session and discussed cost-plus-time bidding, lane rental, warranties and design-build contracting.

A+B Benefits
Cost-plus-time bidding, or A+B, “focuses on making sure the award of the contract is based on both the lowest price as well as the time it takes to complete the critical items of work,” Blanding said.

A+B contracting offers several benefits, including a shorter construction time and no significant change in quality. It also encourages the contractor to innovate when choosing equipment and technologies. The technique is best reserved for projects with great urgency and short duration, as well as for those with little potential for right-of-way and utility conflicts or field changes that could eat up time, Blanding said.

Several states have used lane rental, a technique in which the contractor pays a fee for the time a travel lane is taken out of service during construction. “This technique minimizes the time roadway restrictions impact traffic flow,” Blanding said. “If the contractor has an hourly rate for renting that lane for his use, he’s going to use no more time than necessary.”

A new pipe installed inside the existing culvert eliminated the need for roadway excavation and traffic disruption.

Because the work was done beyond the roadway shoulders, the Montana culvert project did not affect U.S. 12 travelers.

www.fhwa.dot.gov/hfl

Trenchless Technology Trial Works for Montana DOT, continued from cover

A slippage lining, in which a smaller-diameter pipe made of high-density polyethylene is installed in the existing pipe and the space between the two is filled with cementitious material.

The traditional process involves excavating the roadway and replacing the existing culvert, which requires lane closures and traffic disruption and exposes travelers and workers to safety hazards.

Through the U.S. 12 project, the Montana DOT learned that using trenchless trenchless technology eliminated traffic delays and lane closures, provided a safer environment for travelers and workers, eliminated costs associated with traffic control and roadway excavation, and potentially improved quality because the work was done in a controlled environment.

Goals Met
In its study, FHWA found that the Montana project easily met the Highways for LIFE performance goals for motorist and worker safety, construction congestion, quality and user satisfaction.

No worker injuries or motorist incidents were reported during construction, which meant the Montana DOT exceeded the Highways for LIFE requirements for worker and motorist safety. The project also met the performance goal for reducing construction congestion. In fact, the project had no impact on traffic flow because the work was done beyond the edge of the road shoulders.

Both the cured-in-place lining and slippage systems improved the structural integrity of the existing culverts and have a life expectancy beyond 50 years. The new lining systems provide a smooth surface that reduces abrasion, enhances the flow of sediments through the culvert and maintains the culvert’s hydraulic capacity.

Because the trenchless culvert rehabilitation techniques did not interfere with traffic flow, the Montana DOT received no negative comments from the traveling public about the project. According to a post-project survey, most travelers on U.S. 12 did not even notice the rehabilitation of the pipes because the construction activities were so inconspicuous.

It cost about $75,000 more to use trenchless technology than traditional construction on the project, but it was the first time the Montana DOT had used the innovation so it chose a project on a lightly traveled highway to gain experience. In more congested areas, the trenchless technology could totally eliminate traffic flow interference and result in much lower user costs, boosting its economic feasibility.

Choosing Right Delivery Method Key to Project Success

Innovations Web conferences or view recordings of past sessions, go to www.nhi.fhwa.dot.gov/about/innovationseries.aspx. For an overview of innovative contracting methods, see www.fhwa.dot.gov/hfl/innovations/innovationcontracting.cfm.

www.fhwa.dot.gov/hfl
One example of promoting innovative construction technology is the showcase that Highways for LIFE sponsored in Virginia on precast concrete pavement technology. The technology has been around since 2001, but it has had only limited application in six or eight states. Every day, millions of vehicles travel on roadways that are more congested, solutions like precast pavement will become more necessary. EDC is intended to speed the deployment of technologies like that, which have the potential to provide higher-quality infrastructure, more value to taxpayers and less disruption to the traveling public during construction.

What’s the goal of the initiative?

The process of delivering the Federal-Aid Highway Program relies heavily on state and local transportation agencies, as well as the consulting and construction sectors. The goal of EDC is to provide these partners with national leadership and accelerate the deployment of state-of-the-art practices in planning and construction, as well as the rapid deployment of proven techniques and approaches to achieve safe, high-quality and long-lasting infrastructure while minimizing congestion. Victor and I believe strongly that the best ideas will come from our own employees on the front lines of project delivery and our partners who deliver the projects.

What does the initiative involve?

There are three initial core elements. One is shortening project delivery time. We’re bringing together people within Federal Highway to identify practices and policies that can accelerate the process of delivering a project—along the entire continuum from concept to actual ribbon cutting.

Two objectives are important in the context of shortening project delivery. One is that the longer it takes to deliver a project, the more expensive it becomes. Every year of delay not only increases the actual cost due to inflation, but also delays the benefits of the project, such as safety improvements and economic benefits. Secondly, the longer a project is delayed, the greater the potential for negative impacts on the community. If congestion becomes worse, it erodes both air quality and quality of life. Some of the EDC’s key goals are: How do we shorten the process and improve environmental outcomes? How can we more efficiently engage citizens in the process? EDC will help states determine the effectiveness and desirability of particular transportation solutions.

The second core element of the initiative is accelerating innovative technology deployment. Many tried-and-tested technological innovations that have been available to transportation agencies and contractors for some time have not yet achieved widespread mainstream use. EDC isn’t necessarily about inventing the latest new mousetrap. Instead, it’s about identifying and deploying innovative practices and technologies that have been proven to work. It’s about identifying technologies that can make a difference in safety, lower costs and improve value for the taxpayer, and make projects’ delivery time is rapid—and across the country. EDC is about the outreach, education and incentives that will help accelerate this process.

Highways for LIFE is offering highway agencies a new opportunity to submit applications for financial incentives to build projects using innovative design or construction approaches. The deadline for applying for the fiscal year 2010 funds is August 31.

Under Highways for LIFE, the Federal Highway Administration funds projects that use readily available but underutilized innovations that cut congestion while enhancing safety, quality and user satisfaction. The program is designed to encourage transportation agencies to make their innovations standard practice in their highway programs. For more information, contact Mary Huie at (202) 366-3039 or mary.huie@dot.gov.
Seminar Challenges Engineers to Innovate

One state’s effort to harness innovation and resources available to help other states do the same were the topics of a presentation at the Federal Highway Administration Field Engineers’ Learning and Development Seminar in Dallas, Texas.

Bryan Cawley, construction and system preservation team leader in the FHWA Office of Asset Management, outlined the stages of process change needed to make an innovation happen. And Kathleen Bergeron, marketing communications coordinator for the Highways for LIFE program, summarized tools available to encourage highway agencies to innovate.

Steps to Change

Cawley described the eight stages of process change (from the book Leading Change by John Kotter):

- Establish a sense of urgency.
- Create a guiding coalition of leaders.
- Develop a strategy.
- Communicate the change vision.
- Empower employees for broad-based action.
- Generate short-term wins or successes.
- Consolidate gains and produce more change.
- Anchor new approaches in the culture.

He traced those steps through the experiences of the Utah Department of Transportation, which has implemented several innovations in construction techniques and contracting methods. He told how a group of decision-makers observed rapid bridge construction methods with self-propelled modular transporters, platform vehicles that can move bridge superstructures, in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina.

Cawley, former assistant division administrator in FHWA’s Utah Division, explained how the Utah leaders developed a vision and a strategy—that SPMTs could help accelerate bridge construction in that state. With a number of bridges nearing the end of their service life, both state and federal officials sought to get ahead of the curve and replace the bridges quickly.

A short-term win occurred when one bridge over I-215 was replaced in just 52 hours. Construction impacts were reduced from months to hours, and SPMTs are credited with saving $4 million in highway user costs.

What’s more, the Utah DOT developed standards for using SPMTs and wrote standard contract language for the construction management-general contractor process. As a result, the cost of SPMTs in Utah DOT contracts has come down, and they’re a standard way of doing business in the state.

Innovation Resources

Bergeron followed Cawley by explaining how the Highways for LIFE program has spread the message of innovation around the nation through such vehicles as demonstration showcases, Web conferences, workshops, training courses, marketing communication materials and publications.

For example, Highways for LIFE has offered Web conferences on several innovations, including accelerated project delivery, innovative contracting, use of recycled materials in roadway construction, high-performance concrete and precast concrete pavement systems.

The “Leap Not Creep: Accelerating Innovation Implementation” training course (FHWA-NHI-134073) provides transportation professionals with the tools to implement innovations quickly and successfully. The course discusses features of successful implementations, components of an implementation plan, and strategies for neutralizing challenges to using innovations.

Highways for LIFE support is helping a number of innovations achieve more widespread use. Bergeron said. Among them are accelerated bridge construction, road safety audits, performance specifications, the safety edge paving technique, self-consolidating concrete and intelligent compaction.

Bergeron ended the April presentation by challenging participants to innovate. Within 90 days, she said, they should determine a need in their state, identify an innovation to meet the need, develop an approach and select a tool for moving ahead. She quoted FHWA Administrator Victor Mendez, who said: “Together, we can find ways to shorten delivery time frames and develop new techniques to benefit the highway community and users.”

For more information on innovation resources available from Highways for LIFE, visit www.fhwa.dot.gov/hfl.


Highways for LIFE/Coreslab Structures (Omaha) Open House on Ultra High-Performance Concrete Waffle Bridge Panels, July 29, 2010, Bellevue, Neb. Contact Julie Zirlin at (202) 366-9105 or julie.zirlin@dot.gov.


Innovator, published by the FHWA Highways for LIFE program, advances implementation of innovative technologies and processes in the highway industry. Its audience is transportation professionals in highway agencies, trade and research groups, academia and the private sector, and the driving public.

Ray H. LaHood, Secretary, U.S. DOT
Victor M. Mendez, Administrator, FHWA

Innovator Team
Byron Lord, Team Leader
Kathleen Bergeron, Program Coordinator
Mary Huie, Program Coordinator
Julie Zirlin, Technology Partnerships Coordinator
Ellen Schweppe, Managing Editor
Charles Churilla, Technical Editor
Sonya Darter, Designer

Send subscription and reprint requests and comments to HILT@dot.gov or call (202) 366-0131.

Are you reading a pass-along copy of Innovator? Want to make sure you don’t miss a future issue? Keep Innovator coming by sending your name, mailing address and e-mail address to HILT@dot.gov. Subscriptions are free.