It’s a Great Day in South Carolina
Proposed 2013 Funding Plan

$755 Million Federal Funding Including Match
(Dollars Rounded to Nearest Million)
($608 Million Federal/$147 Million State/Local Match)

- RURAL SYSTEM PROGRAM $49
- COMBINED PROGRAM DEBT SERVICE $36
- URBAN SYSTEM PROGRAM $53
- BRIDGE REPLACEMENT $125
- INTERSTATE PROGRAM $144
- SAFETY $44
- SIB PAYMENTS $36
- CMAQ $14
- TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES $9
- RECREATIONAL TRAILS $2
- PLANNING $18
- PAVEMENT & RECONSTRUCTION (Includes $48 of Federal Match Program) $140 + $48 = $188
**MAP–21 Federal Allocation Funding Distribution by Population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>ALLOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5,000</td>
<td>$ 2.50 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000 to 200,000</td>
<td>$ 1.77 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 200,000</td>
<td>$ 2.89 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TMA Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>% of TMA Population</th>
<th>Federal Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Augusta</td>
<td>5.55%</td>
<td>$160,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston</td>
<td>29.39%</td>
<td>$851,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>29.46%</td>
<td>$853,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Strand (Myrtle Beach)</td>
<td>10.45%</td>
<td>$302,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville</td>
<td>21.46%</td>
<td>$621,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Hill</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>$107,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA Total</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>$2,897,466</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCDOT Commission Action

- Funds are to be used exclusively for pedestrian facilities, bicycle facilities, and pedestrian streetscape projects
- The funding cap for the Non-TMA Program was established at $400,000 per project
Changes Impacting SC

- SC elected to transfer 50% of TAP funds to STP
- State DOTs and MPOs as entities are no longer eligible entities for TAP funds and not eligible project sponsors
- Competitive Process
- Local Public Agency (LPA) Process
Developing a Competitive Process

• Reviewed other state’s processes
• Reviewed “Synthesis of Practices for Prioritizing Enhancement Projects & Non-Motorized Projects” (NCHRP 08-36, Task 106)
• Developed 10 project evaluation criteria with a ranking scale of 0-5 points per each one
# Project Evaluation Criteria

- Technical merit
- Level of support
- Addresses non-motorized safety
- Project readiness
- Provides connectivity
- Completes Planned corridors
- Leverage/amount of financial match
- Evidence of a long-term plan
- Total population served
- Number and scale of previously awarded projects

**2013 Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)**
2013 Application Status

• Applications are received throughout the year
• Received 20 applications
• Approved funding for 7 projects ($2,747,770)
Lessons Learned

• Work closely with FHWA on all program aspects
• Work closely with the Commission subcommittee for TAP
• Communicate with other states for ideas
• Publish guidance on website in concise form
• Communicate with MPOs and COGs
• Limit the number of eligible activities
• Project quality has improved with no specific submittal timeframe