

FHWA Highway Statistics VM-1 Data Procedure
FHWA-PL-11-031

Travel Monitoring and Surveys Division
Office of Highway Policy Information
Federal Highway Administration
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20590

Originally Developed - August 2011
Updated - March 2019

This document is intended to provide the procedures and steps used in the development of the FHWA Highway Statistics VM-1 publication. The document has 4 components as defined below.

Component 1: Approaches to Compute National VMT by Roadway Functional Class Group and Vehicle Types

Component 2: Reconciling National Vehicle Registration Data Based on Axle Spacing and Tire Arrangements

Component 3: Vehicle Occupancy Factor Computation

Component 4: Fuel Efficiency Modeling

Component 1

Approaches to Compute National VMT by Roadway Functional Class Groups and Vehicle Types

Background

Prior to the 2009 Highway Statistics, vehicle miles traveled (VMT) related data elements in table VM-1 were based on a modeling procedure with initial inputs from the Highway Performance and Monitoring System (HPMS) data and constrictions established from the Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS) data. It has been noticed that VMT by vehicle type and roadway functional class data under this historical procedure were drifting away from what is being reported through the HPMS system. When the original historical modeling method was developed (early 1990s), the modeling logic was necessary due to potential field traffic data quality issues and the availability of the Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS) data. However, with the advancement in traffic data collection instruments, implementation of institutionalized processes and procedures by State highway agencies in data collection, practical experience gained in traffic data collection, and the discontinuation of the VIUS (the last one was carried out in 2002), the original modeling method is deemed no longer appropriate. In addition, to reflect rapid changes in economic conditions, and goods movement and passenger travel pattern changes, the reported data from State highway agencies without being further modeled will be more logical and timely.

The proposed new procedure retires the original methodology (used for 2008 and prior years) and applies to all post-2008 VM-1s.

New Method

Step 1

Obtain both VM-4 and VM-2 data from the HPMS system (sample attached). These data should have already passed the HPMS's data quality review.

Step 2

A: Conduct independent data quality review on both datasets in areas of growth rate and percent (%) changes from past years by using growth trend data from both the HPMS and the Travel Monitoring and Analysis System (TMAS system). 5% or higher changes from past year shall serve as an indicator that more in-depth analysis shall be conducted to determine data quality concerns.

B: Both roadway centerline and lane lengths by functional classes shall be reviewed, compared, and contrasted with the VMT data at the State level geography. If issues are identified, inquiries to responsible State highway agencies shall be made in coordination through the HPMS division.

C: Attempts must be made to secure missing values from State highway agencies first. When such an attempt is determined to be not feasible for timeliness, a simple arithmetic average for the parameter from neighboring Counties or States can be used in place of the missing value. However, the actual value shall be obtained from State highway agencies within 6 months from issue discovery and appropriate modification shall be made to any published data accordingly to data release schedules.

Step 3

For a given State, once the VM-4 and VM-2 data have passed the data quality check, the VM-2 data can be split further by multiplying all corresponding cells from the corresponding VM-4.

Final VMT by the five roadway functional classes (rural interstate, other rural arterial, other rural, urban interstate and other urban) and six vehicle classes (light-duty vehicle - Short Wheelbase, motorcycles, buses, light-duty vehicle - Long Wheelbase, single unit truck, and combination truck) can be computed by simply aggregating the multiplication results.

Step 4

Once data from all States and the District of Columbia are processed through Step 3, a simple addition of all corresponding VMT categories for all States will deliver the national VMT by roadway functional class and vehicle types

Step 5

Before publishing the VM-1 VMT data, coordinate with the HPMS division ensuring VM-1 is consistent with VM-1 and VM-3.

Sample VM-2 Data Table from HPMS

STATE	INTERSTATE	OTHER	RURAL										URBAN										TOTAL	TOTAL					
			EXPRESSWAYS	ARTERIAL	PRINCIPAL	ARTERIAL	MINOR	ARTERIAL	MAJOR	COLLECTOR	MINOR	COLLECTOR	LOCAL	TOTAL	INTERSTATE	OTHER	EXPRESSWAYS	ARTERIAL	PRINCIPAL	ARTERIAL	MINOR	ARTERIAL			MAJOR	COLLECTOR	MINOR	COLLECTOR	LOCAL
Alabama 2/	5,382		6,271	4,522	3,944	1,695	3,868	1,750	6,419	8,449	28,567	7,109	663	900	6,634	3,932	684	444	834	832	1,832	343	343	7,377	27,444	56,261			
Alabama 3/	8,969		2,785	1,271	2,323	161	5,460	418	3,066	27,091	5,820	6,820	7,404	8,241	12,899	8,076	3,109	8,076	10,462	10,462	3,109	4,326	4,326	6,371	43,871	101,028			
Arizona	4,244	528	18,822	2,313	4,237	2,822	2,032	2,822	2,032	18,533	48,578	6,820	54,234	6,820	8,241	8,123	10,462	10,462	10,462	10,462	17,562	2,582	2,582	17,562	53,239	114,478			
California	1,287		1,382	2,469	1,702	2,729	1,458	2,729	1,458	14,658	7,280	14,658	3,162	3,162	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	40,272		
Colorado	4,287		1,382	2,469	1,702	2,729	1,458	2,729	1,458	14,658	7,280	14,658	3,162	3,162	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	2,719	40,272		
Connecticut	718		811	1,025	1,025	154	1,025	154	1,025	3,971	9,952	9,952	3,971	3,971	1,290	1,290	443	1,431	1,431	1,431	1,431	1,431	1,431	1,431	1,431	1,431	31,420		
Delaware			1,300	270	614	188	614	188	400	2,727	2,727	431	363	363	1,633	747	28,622	28,622	28,622	28,622	28,622	28,622	28,622	28,622	28,622	28,622	8,080		
District of Columbia		1,902																									14,669		
Florida	9,505		8,113	4,191	3,668	1,750	6,419	8,449	28,567	58,612	24,269	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	12,290	14,669		
Georgia	9,671		6,067	6,067	6,531	5,950	6,531	5,950	6,531	5,950	10,203	1,785	2,018	2,018	1,877	1,877	12,426	12,426	12,426	12,426	12,426	12,426	12,426	12,426	12,426	12,426	100,258		
Idaho	2,183		113	525	524	34	524	34	842	2,423	1,281	913	913	613	2,033	1,307	15,561	15,561	15,561	15,561	15,561	15,561	15,561	15,561	15,561	15,561	18,531		
Illinois	8,044		3,021	4,832	5,460	418	3,066	27,091	5,820	6,820	22,670	22,670	1,962	1,962	21,040	15,561	6,574	6,574	6,574	6,574	6,574	6,574	6,574	6,574	6,574	6,574	108,946		
Indiana 4/	7,014		4,654	3,354	6,731	1,915	4,826	28,574	9,712	28,574	9,712	1,904	1,904	1,904	10,626	7,673	6,037	6,037	6,037	6,037	6,037	6,037	6,037	6,037	6,037	6,037	76,029		
Iowa	4,079		5,017	2,919	3,407	871	1,591	18,754	2,529	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	3,593	31,005	
Kansas	3,167		4,800	2,247	2,716	203	2,716	203	1,880	14,454	3,613	1,775	1,775	3,613	3,613	2,627	1,844	1,844	1,844	1,844	1,844	1,844	1,844	1,844	1,844	1,844	29,469		
Kentucky	8,582		7,006	2,867	4,941	2,468	3,488	27,330	5,851	7,82	7,82	7,82	7,82	7,82	5,679	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	6,024	1,948	
Louisiana	5,416		2,903	2,903	4,326	1,484	2,689	19,389	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	7,187	2,306	
Maine	2,171		1,800	1,745	2,851	865	1,400	10,442	805	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	
Maryland	3,539		3,484	2,208	1,504	1,245	1,541	14,001	13,426	13,426	13,426	3,407	1,759	1,759	3,604	6,026	3,308	3,308	3,308	3,308	3,308	3,308	3,308	3,308	3,308	3,308	3,308	2,979	
Massachusetts	1,373		766	589	690	165	691	4,284	5,687	18,038	6,687	6,687	6,687	6,687	18,616	8,678	2,695	2,695	2,695	2,695	2,695	2,695	2,695	2,695	2,695	2,695	41,202		
Michigan	5,276		6,760	7,034	9,042	957	31,444	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	15,389	50,628		
Minnesota	4,165		7,282	4,931	4,296	1,345	2,933	24,578	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	8,411	54,812	
Mississippi	3,938		5,670	3,953	4,035	479	6,469	24,198	3,386	469	469	469	469	469	5,142	2,355	1,039	1,039	1,039	1,039	1,039	1,039	1,039	1,039	1,039	1,039	1,039	6,892	
Missouri	5,951		8,206	3,959	5,029	678	5,957	29,014	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	12,126	66,303	
Montana	2,427		1,137	1,137	1,137	374	863	8334	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	11,071	
Nebraska	2,976		3,553	2,429	1,537	241	1,999	10,098	1,964	303	303	303	303	303	2,144	562	2,688	2,688	2,688	2,688	2,688	2,688	2,688	2,688	2,688	2,688	2,688	1,083	
Nevada	1,989		1,468	512	468	165	522	5,092	3,407	3,407	3,407	3,407	3,407	3,407	2,579	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	1,104	2,983	
New Hampshire	1,261		1,102	944	1,102	575	309	5,701	1,660	940	940	940	940	940	1,206	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	1,694	15,962	
New Jersey	1,989		1,819	795	1,152	289	289	574	6,328	13,506	11,830	11,830	11,830	11,830	18,242	6,024	5,248	5,248	5,248	5,248	5,248	5,248	5,248	5,248	5,248	5,248	5,248	9,266	
New Mexico	4,383		3,154	1,822	1,822	597	3,870	14,783	2,682	2,682	2,682	2,682	2,682	2,682	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,229	1,917	
New York	6,088		3,907	4,800	4,273	9,424	4,631	32,953	20,440	16,822	16,822	16,822	16,822	16,822	19,071	20,699	8,617	8,617	8,617	8,617	8,617	8,617	8,617	8,617	8,617	8,617	8,617	14,669	
North Carolina 5/	5,133		8,542	3,906	7,597	3,459	7,597	3,459	7,597	3,459	7,597	3,459	7,597	3,459	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	12,579	14,669	
North Dakota	1,465		1,797	1,797	1,797	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	834	14,669
Ohio	5,082		4,522	4,522	8,566	1,884	5,918	25,432	22,100	22,100	22,100	22,100	22,100	22,100	14,840	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	13,848	100,526	
Oklahoma	4,039		4,867	2,988	2,988	599	4,447	15,106	4,447	4,447	4,447	4,447	4,447	4,447	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	1,937	14,669	
Oregon	10,373		4,831	7,933	4,831	2,166	7,342	37,790	14,004	14,004	14,004	14,004	14,004	14,004	6,246	17,083	13,071	13,071	13,071	13,071	13,071	13,071	13,071	13,071	13,071	13,071	13,071	14,669	
Rhode Island	404		123	148	148	28	148	28	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	
South Carolina	7,411		3,482	6,200	5,745	295	2,321	24,282	5,899	638	638	638	638	638	7,294	5,245	3,482	3,482	3,482	3,482	3,482	3,482	3,482	3,482	3,482	3,482	3,482	14,669	
South Dakota	1,990		1,960	1,960	1,960	122	868	6,382	616	616	616	616	616	616	38	592	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	2,078	
Tennessee	6,733		5,366	6,113	2,977	2,744	3,																						

Sample VM-4 Data

MAY 2011

TABLE VM-4
SHEET 1 OF 2

STATE	INTERSTATE SYSTEM										OTHER ARTERIALS										OTHER									
	MOTOR- CYCLES	PASSENGER CARS	LIGHT TRUCKS	BUSES	SINGLE-UNIT TRUCKS	COMBINATION TRUCKS	TOTAL	MOTOR- CYCLES	PASSENGER CARS	LIGHT TRUCKS	BUSES	SINGLE-UNIT TRUCKS	COMBINATION TRUCKS	TOTAL	MOTOR- CYCLES	PASSENGER CARS	LIGHT TRUCKS	BUSES	SINGLE-UNIT TRUCKS	COMBINATION TRUCKS	TOTAL									
Alabama 2/	0.6	58.1	17.5	0.4	7.4	15.9	99.5	0.6	54.5	28.1	2.4	5.7	8.5	100.0	1.5	67.2	21.2	1.9	4.1	4.0	99.9									
Alaska	0.3	55.3	30.8	0.2	0.7	2.8	100.0	0.2	57.2	32.8	0.1	8.9	0.8	100.0	0.1	61.1	32.2	0.1	6.3	0.3	100.1									
Arizona 2/	0.7	51.3	17.9	0.4	6.1	23.6	100.0	3.0	55.3	27.1	0.8	6.7	7.1	100.0	2.3	54.0	29.3	0.8	8.2	5.4	100.0									
Arkansas	0.0	43.0	20.0	1.0	3.0	33.0	100.0	1.0	50.0	28.0	1.0	2.0	13.0	100.0	1.0	58.0	31.0	0.0	2.0	7.0	99.0									
California	0.4	68.3	19.0	0.2	3.8	10.3	100.0	1.4	64.8	23.7	0.1	4.2	5.8	100.0	2.2	42.1	32.8	3.3	6.6	13.2	100.0									
Colorado	0.0	70.0	19.0	0.0	2.0	9.0	100.0	1.0	67.0	28.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	100.0	2.0	51.0	38.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	101.0									
Connecticut	0.0	73.1	14.5	0.3	3.7	8.4	100.0	1.0	73.0	20.1	0.1	3.1	2.7	100.0	1.4	74.8	20.9	0.0	2.1	0.8	100.0									
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	73.9	15.7	0.7	4.0	5.1	100.0	0.9	70.5	24.2	0.3	2.6	1.5	100.0									
Dist. of Columbia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
Florida	0.3	60.8	20.9	0.6	4.1	13.4	100.0	0.6	61.3	25.5	0.4	5.0	7.1	100.0	0.7	60.9	28.0	0.6	4.5	5.5	100.0									
Georgia	0.4	57.1	15.4	0.7	3.0	16.1	100.0	0.9	58.3	22.5	0.5	5.0	5.3	100.0	0.8	59.8	28.2	0.5	4.1	5.5	100.0									
Idaho	0.2	38.5	28.2	0.3	4.8	15.1	100.0	1.0	48.3	46.2	0.4	7.2	5.8	100.0	0.9	44.3	41.0	0.2	6.7	7.0	100.0									
Illinois	0.7	61.7	8.5	1.0	3.2	22.8	100.0	0.7	79.1	7.9	0.8	3.6	7.9	100.0	0.8	82.5	8.2	1.0	4.0	3.3	100.0									
Indiana	0.4	49.6	15.3	1.0	1.0	30.6	100.0	0.6	59.4	22.7	0.6	4.2	12.3	100.0	1.0	66.8	25.2	0.4	3.6	3.8	100.0									
Iowa	0.5	49.4	10.7	0.7	2.2	36.5	100.0	1.0	56.8	21.1	1.0	6.1	14.0	100.0	1.1	64.3	23.8	0.8	4.6	5.3	100.1									
Kansas	1.0	55.0	19.0	0.0	3.0	22.0	100.0	1.0	50.0	28.0	0.0	3.0	12.0	100.0	1.0	57.0	31.0	0.0	4.0	7.0	100.0									
Kentucky	0.5	21.5	11.4	0.5	2.5	21.5	100.0	1.1	64.2	21.2	0.5	5.6	7.0	100.0	1.0	65.6	23.7	0.9	5.4	3.3	99.9									
Louisiana	0.2	56.3	20.2	0.4	6.0	16.8	100.0	0.2	54.2	28.5	0.5	7.8	10.8	100.0	0.2	56.9	28.5	0.8	6.9	6.7	100.0									
Maine	0.3	65.1	19.7	0.2	4.2	9.5	100.0	0.7	70.1	18.4	0.6	4.8	4.4	100.0	0.8	69.2	21.0	0.6	5.1	3.3	100.0									
Maryland	0.3	69.1	14.8	1.0	5.0	9.8	100.0	0.4	72.0	17.7	0.6	3.7	5.6	100.0	0.4	68.1	22.3	0.8	5.9	2.5	100.0									
Massachusetts	1.7	82.6	6.9	4.1	1.8	2.9	100.0	1.1	79.6	15.4	0.5	2.1	1.7	100.0	1.5	78.7	17.0	0.6	3.2	1.0	100.0									
Michigan	0.9	66.8	18.8	0.2	2.5	10.8	100.0	1.1	65.9	23.1	0.3	2.5	7.1	100.0	1.3	68.5	25.8	0.0	1.4	2.4	100.0									
Minnesota	1.0	62.0	20.9	0.0	3.0	3.0	100.0	1.0	65.0	22.0	0.0	3.0	5.0	100.0	1.0	67.0	28.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	100.0									
Mississippi	0.3	52.5	21.8	0.7	4.4	20.3	100.0	0.2	60.7	24.3	0.7	4.4	8.9	100.0	0.4	64.1	26.4	0.6	4.7	3.4	100.0									
Missouri	0.6	47.6	29.6	0.7	2.9	19.7	100.0	1.6	47.5	38.6	0.8	3.2	8.2	100.0	1.8	46.1	44.5	0.8	4.2	3.8	100.0									
Montana	0.5	47.6	29.6	0.7	2.9	19.7	100.0	1.6	47.5	38.6	0.8	3.2	8.2	100.0	1.8	46.1	44.5	0.8	4.2	3.8	100.0									
Nebraska	0.2	45.8	18.9	0.1	2.2	32.8	100.0	0.6	56.4	30.1	0.1	2.6	8.9	100.0	0.5	48.5	41.1	0.2	3.9	5.7	100.0									
Nevada	0.1	67.7	7.2	0.5	2.7	21.8	100.0	0.1	65.3	5.0	0.5	3.6	7.3	100.0	0.4	69.3	2.8	0.4	2.3	4.8	100.0									
New Hampshire	1.0	60.0	51.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	100.0	2.0	68.0	21.0	2.0	5.0	3.0	100.0	2.0	66.0	23.0	1.0	5.0	2.0	99.0									
New Jersey	0.1	72.1	16.2	0.4	3.1	8.1	100.1	0.2	79.6	14.9	0.3	2.6	2.4	100.0	0.3	69.7	25.3	0.1	2.9	1.7	100.0									
New Mexico	6.0	38.6	15.7	5.9	9.5	24.3	100.0	1.5	48.9	28.6	2.7	12.6	8.7	100.0	1.2	54.3	30.8	1.5	8.4	3.8	100.0									
New York	0.5	70.3	13.6	0.5	2.1	13.0	100.0	0.7	70.6	20.3	0.5	2.9	5.0	100.0	0.8	70.6	22.7	0.4	3.6	3.6	100.0									
North Carolina	0.0	65.0	13.0	1.0	1.7	6.8	100.0	1.0	69.0	18.0	1.0	5.0	8.0	100.0	1.0	67.0	22.0	1.0	6.0	3.0	100.0									
North Dakota	3.0	45.7	25.4	1.7	4.4	6.8	100.0	1.9	44.0	31.6	1.4	6.8	14.1	100.0	1.4	44.3	37.3	0.9	6.7	9.4	100.0									
Ohio	0.0	57.6	12.3	1.0	3.2	20.3	100.0	0.6	66.5	18.4	0.1	2.7	11.7	100.0	0.8	72.4	20.7	0.1	2.1	3.9	100.0									
Oklahoma 3/	0.0	44.0	26.0	1.0	5.0	24.0	100.0	1.0	46.0	32.0	0.0	3.0	13.0	100.0	1.0	50.0	32.0	1.0	2.0	8.0	100.0									
Oregon	0.5	45.9	23.9	0.2	5.1	3.7	100.0	1.0	51.4	32.0	0.8	3.6	8.0	100.0	0.9	50.7	37.8	0.7	3.8	0.7	100.0									
Pennsylvania 2/	0.1	45.1	25.4	0.0	1.9	20.8	100.0	0.9	58.9	15.7	0.1	1.6	5.0	100.0	0.8	58.3	28.9	0.0	1.3	2.9	100.0									
Rhode Island	0.1	48.1	25.2	0.0	1.5	20.8	100.0	0.9	58.9	15.7	0.1	1.6	5.0	100.0	0.8	58.3	28.9	0.0	1.3	2.9	100.0									
South Carolina	0.3	63.0	13.9	0.7	2.8	13.3	100.0	0.6	72.0	17.8	0.0	3.8	5.2	100.0	0.6	67.3	21.4	0.0	4.8	4.8	100.0									
South Dakota	2.0	59.0	22.0	0.0	13.0	3.0	100.0	1.0	53.0	25.0	0.0	14.0	2.0	100.0	2.0	40.0	48.0	0.0	9.0	2.0	101.0									
Tennessee	1.1	58.0	16.2	0.6	3.7	20.4	100.0	1.6	64.0	26.3	0.1	6.0	5.1	100.0	0.4	72.1	24.2	0.1	1.9	1.3	100.0									
Texas 3/	0.3	50.7	20.0	0.6	4.0	23.4	99.0	0.6	55.4	25.0	0.4	6.0	10.6	100.0	0.7	49.3	35.0	0.6	7.0	7.5	100.0									
Utah	0.8	48.4	21.4	0.8	5.2	23.4	100.0	0.7	46.5	22.3	0.8	12.3	15.4	100.0	0.6	50.1	22.4	1.2	14.0	11.7	100.0									
Vermont	0.8	68.3	15.3	1.4	4.4	9.8	100.0	1.7	66.4	22.2	0.8	5.2	3.5	100.0	1.4	68.1	26.6	0.7	4.9	1.3	100.0									
Virginia	0.3	65.2	15.4	0.7	1.4	17.0	100.0	0.5	70.7	21.3	0.8	1.8	5.1	100.0	0.6	70.7	24.2	0.7	2.1	1.7	100.0									
Washington	0.3	57.3	24.1	0.3	5.6	12.5	100.0	0.5	57.9	28.3	0.3	3.3	5.8	100.0	0.3	56.7	31.9	0.2	2.8	2.3	100.0									
West Virginia	0.3	14.4	65.7	14.9	0.7	14.4	100.0	1.0	69.3	22.5	0.8	5.0	4.4	100.0	1.0	67.2	24.5	0.8	4.6	1.8	100.0									
Wisconsin	0.4	63.3	13.2	1.0	6.4	16.8	100.0	0.9	64.0	20.5	1.0	6.0	7.7	100.0	1.0	69.2	30.6	0.9	6.9	2.6	100.1									
Wyoming	0.3	34.3	29.9	0.5	1.8	34.3	100.0	0.4	46.5	42.6	0.5	2.3	8.7	100.0	0.3	36.9	50.0	0.5	3.1	6.2	100.0									
Puerto Rico	0.3	79.6	10.2	1.6	2.6	5.9	100.0	0.4	75.9	15.7	1.2	4.4	2.9	100.0	0.5	63.4	12.3	0.9	2.5	0.9	100.0									

For footnotes, see Footnotes Page

End of Component 1

Component 2

Reconciling National Vehicle Registration Data Based on Axle Spacing and Tire Arrangements

Background

HPMS VMT by vehicle type data collected by State highway agencies are based on FHWA's 13 vehicle classification system (axle spacing, tire arrangement, and the number of axles criteria). However, vehicle registration data with State motor vehicle departments vary pending State registration laws and regulations. For similar vehicles, different State motor vehicle departments may register them under different vehicle types. Prior to 2009, the reconciliation of state vehicle registration data with the FHWA's classification was primarily based on the Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS) data. However, the discontinuation of the VIUS after the 2002 edition hampered the continued use of such information.

The Policy Information Office has adopted the IHS Polk Vehicle Registration data to develop converting factors in place of the historical VIUS data. The IHS Polk data offers both the wheel-based specification (used for FHWA's 13 vehicle classification) and body type information.

Method

The IHS Polk method utilizes the Polk Vehicle Registration's axle spacing (wheelbase), body type, and gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) data to establish vehicle split percentage data for Light-Duty Vehicle – Short Wheelbase, Light-Duty Vehicle – Long Wheelbase, Single Unit Truck and Combination Truck. This Polk derived percentage data are then applied to State supplied registered vehicle data to obtain the final counts of each of the four vehicle types.

Step 1

Obtain numbers of vehicles for both the “Light-duty Vehicles – Short Wheelbase” and the “Light-duty Vehicles – Long Wheelbase” vehicles from the *Polk Car (both Domestic and Import) Database*

“Light-duty Vehicles – Short Wheelbase” are defined as all light-duty vehicles with a wheelbase (axle spacing) less than or equal to 121 inches; The “Light-Duty Vehicles – Long Wheelbase” vehicles are defined as all light-duty vehicles having an axle spacing greater than 121 inches.

Step 2

Obtain numbers of vehicles for both the “Light-duty Vehicles – Short Wheelbase” and the “Light-duty Vehicles – Long Wheelbase” vehicles from the *Polk Light Truck Database*

The *Light Truck Database* includes vehicles with GVWR up to 13,000 lbs. It covers body types ranging from the pickup, van, sport utility vehicle (SUV), to other light-duty commercial vehicles.

Vehicles contained in the *Polk Light Truck Database* with a wheel base less than or equal to 121 inches are all counted as “Light-duty Vehicles – Short Wheelbase;” Vehicles with a wheelbase greater than 121 inches are counted as “Light-duty Vehicles – long wheel” vehicles.

Step 3

Obtain “Single Unit Truck” and “Combination Truck” Counts from the *Polk Heavy Truck Database*

Polk’s Heavy Truck Database contains trucks with GVWR greater than 10,000 lbs. It is further divided into subgroups based on both body type and GVWR information (see Table below for example). The subgroup “Class 3” vehicle in the database overlaps with the *Light Truck* “Class 3”. Consequently “Class 3” in the *Light Truck* database is removed from being considered as light trucks.

Combination trucks are these registered as “Tractors” and the remaining ones are considered as “Single Unit Trucks.”

Step 4

Compute the Percentage Split Data among “Light-Duty Vehicles – Short Wheelbase”, “Light-Duty Vehicles – Long Wheelbase”, “Single Unit Truck” and “Combination Truck”

Sum up all vehicle counts data obtained from Steps 1, 2 and 3; and compute percentages of each vehicle types accordingly.

Step 5

Obtain Bus and Motorcycle Data

Bus and motorcycle data are obtained directly from MV-1.

Step 6

Obtain Final Vehicle Counts Data for All Six Vehicle

Types

Use Bus and Motorcycle data directly from Step 5

Multiply the percentage data obtained in Step 4 with the difference between MV-1 total and motorcycle and bus combined to obtain final counts for the remaining four types of vehicles.

_____End of Component 2_____

Component 3

Vehicle Occupancy Factor Computation

Background

Vehicle occupancy factors (OF) are used to convert vehicle miles traveled (VMT) to person miles traveled (PMT) through a simple equation of $VMT = OF \times PMT$. The steps described below enable the computation of vehicle occupancy factors needed for the FHWA Office of Highway Policy Information Highway Statistics Series Annual VM-1 PMT production. The procedure described here is applicable to post 2008 FHWA Highway Statistics (HS) VM-1s.

Vehicle occupancy factors used for the single unit truck and combination truck are 1.000. The bus uses an occupancy factor of 21.200.

Occupancy factors for passenger vehicle-short wheelbase, passenger vehicle-long wheelbase, and motorcycle rely on information derived from the National Household Travel Survey (NHTS). Since the NHTS data is based on vehicle body types ((Car, Van, Sport Utility Vehicle (SUV), Pickup, Other (other truck and Recreational Vehicle (RV)) vs. the axle arrangement criteria used in VM-1, conversions are needed to transform the NHTS information to a VM-1 compatible form.

For motorcycle occupancy factor, VM-1 uses the information directly from the NHTS without further adjustment given the axle arrangement and body type matches.

Occupancy factors for VM-1's passenger vehicle-short wheelbase and passenger vehicle-long wheelbase vehicles are obtained by splitting each of the NHTS Car, Van, SUV, Pickup, Other (other truck and RV) vehicle type into long wheelbase and short wheelbase by using the IHS Polk vehicle registration data for the data year.

The overall underlying principles are: (a) the latest NHTS vehicle occupancy factors by vehicle types remain constant - meaning the travel behavior per vehicle type does not change, and (b) fleet composition (short and long wheelbase %), as revealed by Polk data, changes as time changes.

Computation Steps (Using the 2009 NHTS and 2009 HIS Polk to Illustrate the Technical Steps)

Step 1: Calculate baseline vehicle occupancy factors by vehicle type from the 2009 NHTS

Table 1. Baseline Occupancy Factors Calculated Directly from the 2009 NHTS.

Vehicle Type	PMT	VMT	VOF (Vehicle Occupancy Factor)
Car	1,828,613,444,953	1,182,999,145,905	1.546
OTH	46,927,873,966	38,541,943,102	1.218
PCP	511,775,053,212	344,427,266,543	1.486
SUV	886,541,396,186	467,216,433,196	1.897
Van	472,120,490,878	200,498,165,969	2.355

Motorcycle	13,261,841,833	11,428,498,249	1.160
------------	----------------	----------------	-------

The results in Table 1 match the NHTS vehicle occupancy publication at: https://nhts.ornl.gov/tables09/fatcat/2009/avo_TRPTRANS_WHYTRP1S.html

Step 2: Compute Short WB and Long WB percentages for each vehicle type categorized in Step 1 (Motorcycle excluded)

In this step, registered vehicles in IHS Polk data are divided into Long WB and Short WB for each of the 5 vehicle groups per the 2009 NHTS vehicle types (Car, Van, SUV, Pickup, Other (other truck and RV)). Vehicle types are determined first by the variable “Body_Style”. If this variable cannot clarify, then variables “Make” and “Model” are further checked with the help of Google search (images).

To control the IHS Polk data quality, entries where “wheelbase” is missing or unknown, or “Body Style” is missing or unknown are excluded.

The results of this step (Using the IHS 2009 Polk Vehicle Registration Data as an example) are listed in Table 3 below.

Table 2. Percentage of Short WB and Long WB Vehicles from Polk Data (2009).

Vehicle Type	Polk Data					
	Short WB	Long WB	Total	% Short	% Long	Total
Car	120676503	996111	121672614	99.18%	0.82%	1
OTH	76704	386626	463330	16.55%	83.45%	1
PCP	7940287	41452109	49392396	16.08%	83.92%	1
SUV	47774099	4696709	52470808	91.05%	8.95%	1
Van	16338392	5032936	21371328	76.45%	23.55%	1

Step 3: Use the Short WB and Long WB percentages in Step 2 to split NHTS-based PMT and VMT

Table 3. Split NHTS PMT and VMT into Short WB and Long WB based on Polk Data.

Vehicle Type	Allocate NHTS PMT and VMT to Short WB and Long WB			
	PMT*% Short	PMT*% Long	VMT*% Short	VMT*% Long
Car	1,813,642,927,698.77	14,970,517,254.32	1,173,314,152,515.48	9,684,993,389.11
OTH	7,768,881,023.59	39,158,992,942.02	6,380,595,264.08	32,161,347,838.07
PCP	82,272,599,246.66	429,502,453,964.94	55,369,886,226.57	289,057,380,316.54
SUV	807,186,282,112.63	79,355,114,072.90	425,395,471,972.24	41,820,961,223.60
Van	360,936,374,716.21	111,184,116,161.41	153,280,958,061.54	47,217,207,907.75

Step 4: Calculate Occupancy Factors for both SWB and LWB VM-1 Vehicle Groups (Using 2009 data as an example to illustrate the process)

Sum all PMT and VMT for Short WB in Table 3 respectively. Occupancy factors for Short WB is 1.6936.

Sum all PMT and VMT for Long WB respectively. Occupancy for Long WB is 1.6054. Table 4 summarizes of final occupancy factors used to update 2009-2016 VM-1.

Table 4. Final Vehicle Occupancy Factor to Update VM-1.

Final Vehicle Occupancy Factor to Update VM-1			
Year	Light-duty Short WB	Light-duty Long WB	Motorcycle (directly from NHTS 2009 by PMT/VMT)
2009	1.69363043363849	1.60539162528379	1.160418590841
2010	1.69320244137584	1.60821716025690	1.160418590841
2011	1.69302398147565	1.60943714233317	1.160418590841
2012	1.69206481503303	1.61446758967126	1.160418590841
2013	1.69093911313107	1.62019820493870	1.160418590841
2014	1.69009653620133	1.62436146200579	1.160418590841
2015	1.68931488184394	1.62818660049146	1.160418590841
2016	1.68804406157679	1.63420751661132	1.160418590841

2017 VM-1 Light-duty Short WB, Light-duty Long WB, Motorcycle Occupancy Factors

Table 5. 2017 Baseline Occupancy Factors Calculated from the 2017 NHTS.

Veh_Type	PMT	VMT	VOF (Vehicle Occupancy Factor)
Car	1,695,490,736,997	1,103,124,117,266	1.53699
OTH	33,208,049,522	15,589,389,355	2.13017
PCP	438,002,024,459	293,659,547,216	1.49153
SUV	990,874,499,471	540,469,138,342	1.83336
Van	350,189,051,335	143,666,713,710	2.43751
zMC			1.20449

Table 6. 2017A Percentage of Short WB and Long WB Vehicles from Polk Data (2009).

Vehicle Type	Polk Data					
	Short WB	Long WB	Total	% Short	% Long	Total
Car	113,934,361	961,863	114,896,224	99.16%	0.84%	1
OTH	48,785	343,046	391,831	12.45%	87.55%	1
PCP	4,724,871	45,891,713	50,616,584	9.33%	90.67%	1
SUV	70,980,796	4,998,840	75,979,636	93.42%	6.58%	1
Van	10,667,358	6,648,424	17,315,782	61.60%	38.40%	1

Table 7. 2017B Split NHTS PMT and VMT into Short WB and Long WB based on Polk Data.

Vehicle Type	Allocate NHTS PMT and VMT to Short WB and Long WB			
	PMT*% Short	PMT*% Long	VMT*% Short	VMT*% Long
Car	1,681,296,799,633	14,193,937,364	1,093,889,224,805	9,234,892,461
OTH	4,134,575,100	29,073,474,422	1,940,960,158	13,648,429,197

PCP	40,885,869,803	397,116,154,656	27,412,033,149	266,247,514,067
SUV	925,683,043,658	65,191,455,812	504,910,679,658	35,558,458,684
Van	215,733,368,454	134,455,682,881	88,505,634,214	55,161,079,496

Table 8. 2017c Split NHTS PMT and VMT into Short WB and Long WB based on Polk Data.

Vehicle Type	Σ (PMT)	Σ (VMT)	Σ (PMT)/ Σ (VMT) (Occupancy Factor)
Short Wheelbase	3,661,412,954,110	<i>2,191,764,138,284</i>	1.6705
Long Wheelbase	1,108,622,877,627	<i>657,954,081,275</i>	1.6850
MC (directly from 2017 NHTS)			1.2044

Vehicle Occupancy Factors Used in VM-1

The above computed 1.6705, 1.6850, and 1.2944 values are used to convert the 2017 VMT to 2017 PMT (personal miles traveled) for the Short Wheelbase, Long Wheelbase, and Motorcycle vehicle groups.

Note:

The assumption is that VMTs generated by a given vehicle type (Car, Van, SUV, Pickup, Other (other truck and RV) for its two subcategories – long wheeled based and short wheelbase vehicles are the same. For example, a long wheelbase car and a short wheelbase car would travel the same distance because both are under the vehicle type “car.”

End of Component 3

Component 4

Fuel Efficiency Modeling - Vehicle Stock Model and Reconciliation Model for Fuel Economy (MPG)

Background

Vehicle Stock Models utilize historical data to establish fuel economy of different vehicle categories. The Reconciliation Model utilizes optimization techniques to further enhance the stock models and ensures that fuel consumptions match VMT, total fuel consumed, and continuity from previous years in VM-1 table. The sensitivity analysis shows that the sensitivities of the model are within reasonable ranges and solutions are stable.

The vehicle stock models (Sheets: "Light-duty Vehicle – Short Wheelbase", "Light-duty Vehicle – Long Wheelbase", "Motorcycle", "Bus", and "Truck") are used to estimate preliminary fuel consumption and fuel efficiency by vehicle type. Vehicle stock models use various data sources of different agencies and organizations to estimate the fleet fuel efficiency. Organizations and agencies publish their data once every 1 to 5 years. Here is a summary of updating procedures of vehicle stock models.

Light-duty Vehicle – Short Wheelbase and Light-duty Vehicle – Long Wheelbase share the same data source. EPA annually publishes MPG data by model year for cars and light trucks in *Light-duty Automotive Technology, Carbon Dioxide Emissions, and Fuel Economy Trends: 1975-2009*¹. The vehicle population data is from Polk's National Vehicle Population Profile, and this data is available annually. The VMT data is from the NHTS (National Household Travel Survey) program²,

¹ More information and data can be found at <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/fetrends.htm>.

² More information on National Household Transportation Survey can be found at <http://nhts.ornl.gov/> or http://www.bts.gov/programs/national_household_travel_survey/ or <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policy/ohpi/nhts/index.cfm>.

and it is only available for 2001 and 2009. The VMT data for years other than 2001 and 2009 is estimated using linear interpolation of 2001 and 2009. This method will be used for future updating when the NHTS is not available.

The stock model for buses is divided into three categories: transit bus, school bus, and motor coach. The data for transit buses is obtained from the *American Public Transit Association's Transit Fact Book, Appendix A*³. Specifically, the sources are as follows: VMT - from Table 6, population - from Table 17, Fuel Type % - from Table 26, Fuel consumed - from Table 32. VMT and population data for school buses is available from the School Bus Fleet website⁴ for 1999, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2007. Missing VMT and population data is estimated using linear interpolation. MPG data for school buses is from the DOE report *Economic Analysis of Alternative Fuel School Buses*. This report gives MPG by type of school bus: type A, type C, type D. The School Bus Fleet website also gives data on the total number of school buses by type. This population data is used to find a weighted average of MPG for all school buses using the MPG data from the DOE report. Motor coach data is from the *Motorcoach Census*⁵ published in 2009, 2008, 2006, and 2005. For years, during which the Motorcoach Census is not published, the VMT, population, and fuel consumption data is estimated using linear interpolation of available years. All calculation methods are in excel files, and further explanation of the data estimating procedure is given in these excel files.

Motorcycles are divided into 5 categories based on the engine size. These engine size categories are defined as: 0-124cc, 125-349cc, 350-449cc, 450-749cc, and 750cc or greater. The MPG data comes from the Total Motorcycle Fuel Economy Guide. VMT and population are from the NHTS. The motorcycle data from the NHTS should be handled the same as the Light-duty Vehicle – Short Wheelbase and Light-duty Vehicle – Long Wheelbase data from the NHTS.

All heavy truck data is from VIUS (Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey)⁶⁷. The file includes both 2002 and 2007 data. The missing data is estimated using linear interpolation of these two years. For data by fuel type, fuel type 01 is gasoline and fuel types 02-15 are included in special fuels.

Some of data sources require a fee or membership to download. Updating the stock model requires approximately 40 FTE (full time equivalent). All models can be updated every year if new data is available.

The Reconciliation Model

³ An electronic copy of the annual Transit Fact Book can be found at <http://www.apta.com/resources/statistics/Pages/transitstats.aspx>.

⁴ More information can be found at <http://www.schoolbusfleet.com/>.

⁵ More information can be found at <http://www.buses.org/foundationresearch>.

⁶ Electronic copies of the Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey results by survey year can be found at <http://www.census.gov/svsd/www/vius/products.html>.

⁷ Estimation of 2007 VIUS Variables, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Ohio, October 2009.

The VMT and MPG reconciliation model (Sheet "VM-1") uses the results of the Vehicle Stock Model and data from Table VM-1 of the previous year, and VMT data from HPMS for the current year to provide fuel efficiency estimates for the current year. The VMT and MPG reconciliation model is implemented using the Excel Solver. The output is fuel efficiency estimates for the current year. The fuel consumed is calculated using VMT data of VM-1 for the current year and the fuel efficiency estimates (MPG, output of this model) for the current year.

The Excel Solver is set up to minimize the deviations of fuel efficiency from the previous year's estimates (published in Table VM-1) and from the results of the vehicle stock model. The model is subject to the constraint that fuel consumption estimates must sum to the current year's fuel consumption. The model comes with current year as 2008 and previous year 2007.

The input parameters include results of vehicle stock model (green cells), light green represents output from stock model, and is considered as recommended value. The total fuel consumed from table MF-21 (orange cells) is also an important parameter. The reconciliation model (MPG estimates) is highly sensitive to stock model results. Therefore, it is important to have a set of well-estimated fuel efficiency data from stock model for each vehicle category. Another set of important parameters are MPG from the previous year from MV-1 table.

Other data in VM-1 table may not have effects on MPG, however, they affect the total fuel consumed. These data are total VMT for each vehicle category.

The optima solver is programmed into two buttons: solve and reset.

The solve button will start optima procedure, a message pops up to show if a solution has been found. It is possible that a solution cannot be achieved after thousands of iterations. This indicates that the model is not set up properly. The reset button turns the numbers back to its original values.

Sample of Stock Model for 2008

Vehicle Stock Model

Light-duty Vehicle – Short Wheelbase

Gasoline					
Model year	Pop_yf	VMT_yf total (miles)	VMT_y per vehicle (miles)	MPG_yf	Fuel consumption_y f total (gallons)
2008/2009	8,771,846	100,861,110,872	11,498	21.8	4,634,581,102
2007	11,148,222	143,287,030,261	12,853	24.0	5,958,919,031
2006	11,206,791	146,351,496,759	13,059	23.2	6,299,539,012
2005	11,460,983	136,591,662,040	11,918	23.3	5,863,562,291
2004	10,829,564	120,437,203,952	11,121	22.7	5,296,929,122
2003	10,642,021	117,111,550,267	11,005	22.8	5,135,299,290

2002	10,958,497	117,957,872,865	10,764	22.6	5,227,127,200
2001	10,450,060	109,938,511,016	10,520	23.0	4,770,475,801
2000	11,337,902	109,536,437,535	9,661	22.7	4,816,660,305
1999	10,202,527	94,423,734,106	9,255	22.5	4,191,970,766
1998	9,429,798	84,397,020,771	8,950	22.9	3,679,269,375
1997	8,929,375	74,913,181,283	8,390	23.0	3,252,677,671
1996	7,877,145	67,037,047,046	8,510	23.2	2,889,667,779
1995	8,427,365	63,782,928,635	7,569	23.1	2,762,952,029
1994	7,117,556	50,626,719,126	7,113	22.6	2,235,731,649
1993	6,344,347	45,573,192,604	7,183	23.3	1,958,798,935
1992	5,362,405	31,770,922,693	5,925	22.8	1,395,601,874
1991	4,845,331	32,265,308,218	6,659	23.0	1,402,289,920
1990	4,213,227	26,340,879,765	6,252	23.0	1,144,716,898
1989	3,852,916	21,236,197,413	5,512	22.8	930,897,789
1988	3,149,104	15,313,679,693	4,863	23.0	666,085,854
1987	2,589,966	13,360,375,059	5,159	22.4	595,175,924
1986	2,074,771	9,585,970,873	4,620	22.6	423,345,683
1985	1,424,693	6,263,573,316	4,396	22.1	283,552,890
1984 and older	4,495,767	16,256,082,987	3,616	19.4	837,266,157

Gasoline

Total VMT 1,755,219,689,15
(miles) 4
Total MPG 22.9
Total fuel
consumed
(gallons) 76,653,094,347

Passenger Car Avg MPG 23.1
Total VMT (miles) 1,874,151,754,974
Total fuel consumed (gallons) 81,045,200,237

Motorcycle:

Vehicle Stock Model

under 125 cc					
Model year	Pop_ye	VMT_ye total (miles)	VMT_ye per vehicle (miles)	MPG_ye	Fuel consumption_yf total (gallons)
2008	7,018	15,232,744	2,171	96.7	157,526
2007	11,670	22,478,517	1,926	96.7	232,456
2006	27,224	54,126,100	1,988	90.0	601,401
2005	11,679	22,757,072	1,949	118.0	192,857
2004	15,103	22,603,839	1,497	118.0	191,558
2003	4,962	7,124,068	1,436	118.0	60,373
2002	3,383	4,685,579	1,385	118.0	39,708

2001	4,633	35,822,283	7,732	118.0	303,579
2000	6,065	7,599,873	1,253	118.0	64,406
1999	6,363	12,303,852	1,934	118.0	104,270
1998 and older	91,445	209,884,285	2,295	118.0	1,778,680

Total VMT
(miles) 414,618,213
Total MPG 111.3
Total fuel
consumed
(gallons) 3,726,814

Bus
Vehicle Stock Model

Gasoline				
Bus Type	Pop_f	VMT_f	Fuel consumption_f	MPG_f
School	18,748	186,186,656	--	6.36
Transit	333	11,882,500	3,800,000	3.13
Motorcoach	0	--	--	--

Gasoline
Total VMT 198,069,156
Total MPG 6.4
Total fuel consumed 31,162,927

Bus Avg MPG 7.228834388
Total VMT (miles) 8,161,851,889
Total fuel consumed (gallons) 1,129,068,872

Light-duty Vehicle – Long Wheelbase

Vehicle Stock Model

Light Duty Vehicle - Long Wheelbase

Gasoline					
Model year	Pop_yf	VMT_y total	VMT_y per vehicle	MPG_yf	Fuel consumption_yf total (gallons)
2009/2010	490,823	8,302,028,293	16,915	16.4	505,327,709
2008	1,511,046	26,278,102,301	17,391	15.7	1,672,092,713
2007	1,761,843	25,542,058,580	14,497	17.2	1,484,628,535
2006	2,016,145	26,364,317,770	13,077	17.1	1,544,572,231
2005	2,237,680	29,096,652,482	13,003	16.4	1,779,342,429
2004	2,538,051	30,195,311,434	11,897	16.4	1,844,410,599

2003	2,393,927	29,936,950,005	12,505	16.5	1,814,131,970
2002	2,182,686	22,721,579,335	10,410	16.6	1,370,538,837
2001	2,350,926	23,971,717,596	10,197	16.9	1,422,135,543
2000	2,089,451	21,203,154,187	10,148	17.1	1,241,054,159
1999	1,980,056	17,447,818,511	8,812	16.3	1,072,052,576
1998	1,643,877	12,522,781,257	7,618	16.8	743,199,765
1997	1,766,682	13,565,469,463	7,679	17.0	798,195,697
1996	1,266,492	8,586,436,595	6,780	16.9	508,184,509
1995	1,397,928	9,559,176,040	6,838	16.6	575,274,294
1994	1,306,538	7,731,254,647	5,917	16.7	463,079,121
1993	918,145	6,576,242,724	7,163	16.6	396,209,297
1992	757,934	3,722,535,200	4,911	16.5	226,081,455
1991	599,113	3,496,336,014	5,836	16.8	207,824,583
1990	695,995	4,127,957,751	5,931	16.5	250,774,030
1989	709,058	3,055,978,447	4,310	16.3	187,776,510
1988	622,462	2,329,741,301	3,743	17.1	136,406,666
1987	372,340	1,222,623,990	3,284	16.5	74,185,195
1986	454,184	1,555,326,044	3,424	16.7	93,405,649
1985 and older	2,047,007	5,829,435,940	2,848	15.4	379,410,149

Gasoline

Total VMT 344,940,985,907

Total MPG 16.6

Total fuel consumed 20,790,294,221

Light Truck Avg MPG

17.2

Total VMT (miles) 433,434,710,727

Total fuel consumed (gallons) 25,246,547,879

Vehicle Stock Model						
Heavy Truck						
Single-unit 2-axle 6-tire or more						
Gasoline						
Model year	Pop_yf	VMT_y pe	VMT_yf total (MPG_yf	Fuel Consume	
2007/2008	2,764	36,928	102,085,200	6.3	16,286,520	
2006	6,177	40,487	250,080,230	6.4	39,306,469	
2005	8,385	37,195	311,871,413	6.3	49,340,173	
2004	9,560	36,025	344,405,971	6.5	52,919,404	
2003	4,498	33,464	150,536,243	6.1	24,514,714	
2002	6,841	23,318	159,517,266	6.1	25,947,254	
2001	4,661	22,026	102,664,600	5.8	17,854,110	
2000	5,797	19,970	115,754,544	6.4	18,046,486	
1999	6,503	15,287	99,410,777	6.5	15,212,964	
1998	2,731	15,522	42,397,690	6.5	6,538,403	
1997	1,432	12,809	18,343,310	6.7	2,755,583	
1996	1,607	13,864	22,276,582	6.2	3,583,907	
1995	1,152	12,811	14,763,692	6.3	2,334,666	
1994	2,089	10,850	22,662,040	5.9	3,865,289	
1993	1,309	10,551	13,812,309	5.6	2,452,773	
1992	1,678	9,549	16,025,371	5.6	2,859,634	
1991 and older	126,140	4,748	598,907,187	5.8	103,819,094	
Gasoline						
Total VMT	2,385,514,425					
Total MPG	6.15					
Total fuel consumed	387,637,442					
Single-unit truck Avg MPG		7.369322				
Combination						
Gasoline						
Model year	Pop_yf	VMT_y pe	VMT_yf total (MPG_yf	Fuel Consume	
2007/2008	0	54,365	0	5.1	0	
2006	2	57,661	124,933	4.9	25,248	
2005	29	52,652	1,507,563	5.0	302,473	
2004	29	42,875	1,227,602	5.0	246,695	
2003	0	37,609	0	4.9	0	
2002	409	32,816	13,406,138	4.9	2,722,992	
2001	261	27,451	7,173,932	4.9	1,468,632	
2000	29	25,305	724,550	5.0	145,859	
1999	235	20,699	4,865,452	5.0	982,686	
1998	0	19,079	0	4.9	0	
1997	29	16,108	461,204	4.9	94,925	
1996	59	13,486	801,503	5.0	159,413	
1995	29	11,046	316,279	4.9	63,978	
1994	200	11,263	2,252,369	4.9	458,004	
1993	888	9,735	8,641,055	4.9	1,768,137	
1992	109	10,396	1,135,546	4.7	239,231	
1991 and older	8,884	6,134	54,492,812	4.9	11,046,741	
Gasoline						
Total VMT	97,130,939					
Total MPG	4.92					
Total fuel consumed	19,725,013					
Combination truck Avg MPG		5.955711				

Heavy Truck

Vehicle Stock Model

Heavy

Truck

Single-unit 2-axle 6-tire or more					
Gasoline					
Model year	Pop_yf	VMT_y per truck (miles)	VMT_yf total (miles)	MPG_yf	Fuel Consumed_yf total (gallons)
2007/2008	2,764	36,928	102,085,200	6.3	16,286,520
2006	6,177	40,487	250,080,230	6.4	39,306,469
2005	8,385	37,195	311,871,413	6.3	49,340,173
2004	9,560	36,025	344,405,971	6.5	52,919,404
2003	4,498	33,464	150,536,243	6.1	24,514,714
2002	6,841	23,318	159,517,266	6.1	25,947,254
2001	4,661	22,026	102,664,600	5.8	17,854,110
2000	5,797	19,970	115,754,544	6.4	18,046,486
1999	6,503	15,287	99,410,777	6.5	15,212,964
1998	2,731	15,522	42,397,690	6.5	6,538,403
1997	1,432	12,809	18,343,310	6.7	2,755,583
1996	1,607	13,864	22,276,582	6.2	3,583,907
1995	1,152	12,811	14,763,692	6.3	2,334,666
1994	2,089	10,850	22,662,040	5.9	3,865,289
1993	1,309	10,551	13,812,309	5.6	2,452,773
1992	1,678	9,549	16,025,371	5.6	2,859,634
1991 and older	126,140	4,748	598,907,187	5.8	103,819,094

Gasoline

Total VMT 2,385,514,425

Total MPG 6.15

Total fuel consumed 387,637,442

Single-unit truck Avg

MPG 7.369322

Combination					
Gasoline					
Model year	Pop_yf	VMT_y per truck (miles)	VMT_yf total (miles)	MPG_yf	Fuel Consumed_yf total (gallons)
2007/2008	0	54,365	0	5.1	0
2006	2	57,661	124,933	4.9	25,248
2005	29	52,652	1,507,563	5.0	302,473
2004	29	42,875	1,227,602	5.0	246,695

2003	0	37,609	0	4.9	0
2002	409	32,816	13,406,138	4.9	2,722,992
2001	261	27,451	7,173,932	4.9	1,468,632
2000	29	25,305	724,550	5.0	145,859
1999	235	20,699	4,865,452	5.0	982,686
1998	0	19,079	0	4.9	0
1997	29	16,108	461,204	4.9	94,925
1996	59	13,486	801,503	5.0	159,413
1995	29	11,046	316,279	4.9	63,978
1994	200	11,263	2,252,369	4.9	458,004
1993	888	9,735	8,641,055	4.9	1,768,137
1992	109	10,396	1,135,546	4.7	239,231
1991 and older	8,884	6,134	54,492,812	4.9	11,046,741

Gasoline

Total VMT 97,130,939

Total MPG 4.92

Total fuel consumed 19,725,013

Combination truck Avg MPG 5.955711

Reconciliation Model

ANNUAL VEHICLE DISTANCE TRAVELED IN MILES AND RELATED DATA - 20XX 1/										
BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY AND VEHICLE TYPE										
TABLE VM-1										
YEAR	ITEM	PASSENGER CARS	MOTOR-CYCLES	BUSES	OTHER 2-AXLE 4-TIRE VEHICLES 3/	SINGLE-UNIT 2-AXLE 6-TIRE OR MORE TRUCKS 4/	COMBINATION TRUCKS	SUBTOTALS		ALL MOTOR VEHICLES 2/
								PASSENGER CARS AND OTHER 2-AXLE 4-TIRE VEHICLES	SINGLE-UNIT 2-AXLE 6-TIRE OR MORE AND COMBINATION TRUCKS	
2009	Motor-Vehicle Travel: (millions of vehicle-miles)									
Current	Interstate Rural									
Previous	Other Arterial Rural									
2009	Other Rural									
Previous	All Rural									
2009	Interstate Urban									
Previous	Other Urban									
2009	All Urban									
Previous	Total Rural and Urban	2,032,374	20,504	13,534	620,986	121,088	169,105	2,653,360	290,193	2,977,591
2009	Number of motor vehicles registered 5/	193,977,122	7,929,724	841,993	42,644,831	6,202,891	2,616,049	238,621,953	8,818,940	254,212,610
Previous	Average miles traveled per vehicle	196,817,650	7,752,926	843,308	41,734,136	6,185,018	2,584,627	238,551,786	8,769,644	255,917,664
2009	Person-miles of travel 6/ (millions)	10,477	2,586	16,073	14,562	19,521	64,641	11,213	32,906	11,713
Previous	Fuel consumed (thousand gallons)	10,219	2,519	17,548	14,790	20,490	71,126	11,019	35,413	11,619
2009	Average fuel consumption per vehicle (gallons) 7/	3,217,285	23,301	286,915	898,451	121,088	169,105	4,115,737	290,193	4,716,146
Previous	Average miles traveled per gallon of fuel consumed 7/	3,177,954	24,799	313,749	1,070,127	126,729	183,834	4,248,081	310,563	4,897,191
2009	Fuel consumed (thousand gallons)	85,559,036	474,909	1,868,786	35,766,843	16,341,600	28,128,858	121,325,879	44,470,458	168,140,031
Previous	Average fuel consumption per vehicle (gallons) 7/	84,742,620	459,371	2,059,292	35,781,330	17,145,119	30,577,571	120,523,950	47,722,090	170,765,303
2009	Average miles traveled per gallon of fuel consumed 7/	441	61	2,237	844	2,641	10,752	514	5,049	661
Previous	Average miles traveled per gallon of fuel consumed 7/	431	59	2,442	850	2,772	11,831	505	5,442	667
2009	Average miles traveled per gallon of fuel consumed 7/	23.8	43.2	7.2	17.4	7.4	6.0	21.9	6.5	17.7
Previous	Average miles traveled per gallon of fuel consumed 7/	23.7	42.5	7.2	17.3	7.4	6.0	21.8	6.5	17.4

SOLVE

RESET

1/ The 50 states and the District of Columbia report travel by highway category, number of motor vehicles registered, and total fuel consumed. The travel and fuel data by vehicle type and stratification of trucks are estimated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Estimation procedures include use of State supplied data, the 2002 Census of Transportation Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS), and other sources.

2/ Totals by highway category are from table VM-2. Some changes between rural and urban roadways can be attributed to 2002 census boundary changes.

3/ Other 2-Axle 4-Tire Vehicles which are not passenger cars. These include vans, pickup trucks, and sport/utility vehicles.

4/ Single-Unit 2-Axle 6-Tire or More Trucks on a single frame with at least two axles and six tires.

5/ Truck registration figures are from tables MV-1 and MV-9 with truck distribution estimated by the FHWA.

6/ Vehicle occupancy is estimated by the FHWA from the 2001 National Household Travel Survey (NHTS). For heavy trucks, 1 motor vehicle miles travelled = 1 person-miles travelled.

7/ Total fuel consumption figures are from tables MF-21 and MF-27. Distribution by vehicle type is estimated by the FHWA based on miles per gallon for both diesel and gasoline powered vehicles using State-supplied data, the 2002 VIUS, and other sources with nominal inputs for motorcycles and buses.

__End of Component 4__