The Asphalt Pavement Technology Program is an integrated national effort to improve the long-term performance and cost effectiveness of asphalt pavements. Managed by the Federal Highway Administration through partnerships with state highway agencies, industry and academia, the program’s primary goals are to reduce congestion, improve safety, and foster technology innovation. The program was established to develop and implement guidelines, methods, procedures and other tools for use in asphalt pavement materials selection, mixture design, testing, construction and quality control.

Porous Asphalt Pavements with Stone Reservoirs

This Technical Brief provides an overview of the benefits, limitations and applications of porous asphalt pavements with stone reservoirs. Considerations for design and construction, as well as maintenance, are discussed.

Introduction

Porous asphalt pavements with stone reservoirs are a multifunctional low impact development (LID) technology, which integrates ecological and environmental goals for a site with land development goals, reducing the net environmental impact for a project. Not only do they provide a strong pavement surface for parking, walkways, trails, and roads; they are designed to manage and treat stormwater runoff. With proper design and installation, porous asphalt pavements can provide a cost-effective solution for stormwater management in an environmentally friendly way. As a result, they are recognized as a best practice by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and many state agencies (EPA n.d.; PDEP 2006; NJDEP 2004).

Figure 1: Typical porous asphalt pavement with stone reservoir cross section
Unlike conventional pavements, porous asphalt pavements are typically built over an uncompacted subgrade to maximize infiltration through the soil. Above the uncompacted subgrade is a geotextile fabric, which prevents the migration of fines from the subgrade into the stone recharge bed while still allowing for water to pass through. The next layer is a stone reservoir consisting of uniformly graded, clean crushed stone with 40% voids serving as a structural layer and to temporarily store water as it infiltrates into the soil below. Then, to stabilize the surface for paving, a thin (about 1-inch thick) layer of clean, smaller, single-size crushed stones is often placed on top; this is called the stabilizing course or choker course. The last layer consists of one or more layers of open-graded asphalt mixes with interconnected voids, allowing water to flow through the pavement into the stone reservoir. These open-graded asphalt layers consist of asphalt binder, stone aggregates, and other additives. By excluding fines, the open-graded mixture allows for more air voids (typically between 16% and 22% voids).

**OGFC VS. POROUS PAVEMENT**

There are two common uses for open-graded asphalt mixes for pavements.

An open-graded friction course (OGFC) is a thin, open-graded asphalt mix placed atop a dense-graded pavement. Rainwater drains into the OGFC and then out the side of the pavement.

Porous asphalt pavements use open-graded mixes placed atop a stone reservoir. Rainwater flows down through the open-graded mix layers into the stone reservoir where it can then infiltrate into the subgrade.

**Benefits and Limitations**

Table 1 summarizes many benefits and limitations of porous asphalt pavements. One of the greatest benefits of porous asphalt pavements is its effectiveness for stormwater management, improving water runoff quality, reducing stormwater runoff, and restoring groundwater supplies. Stormwater drains through the open-graded asphalt surface, is temporarily held in the voids of the stone reservoir reducing stormwater runoff, and then slowly drains into the underlying, uncompacted subgrade to eventually restore groundwater supplies. As it drains, contaminants are filtered and microbial activity decomposes pollutants, improving water quality.

Several studies have quantified high removal rates of total suspended solids (TSS), metals, oil and grease, as well as moderate removal rates for phosphorous, from using porous asphalt pavements (Cahill et al. 2005; Roseen et al. 2012). During the winter, porous asphalt pavements have excellent performance since water drains quickly through the surface. They are a potential strategy for minimizing use of deicing chemicals. The University of New Hampshire Stormwater Center reports a 75% or greater reduction of deicing salts. While the system does not remove chloride, the drastic reduction of deicing chemicals required is an effective method for reducing chloride pollution (Roseen et al. 2014).
Table 1. Benefits and limitations of porous asphalt pavements with stone reservoirs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits/Advantages</th>
<th>Limitations/Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Snow and ice melts faster, reduction in deicing salts (Lebens 2012)</td>
<td>• Pavement structure initial costs are often higher; however, this may be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cools stormwater temperature during summertime before discharge and mitigates heat island effects</td>
<td>offset by cost reductions realized from stormwater infrastructure (Houle et al. 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Lebens 2012).</td>
<td>• Sloped pavements require extra design considerations such as terraced parking,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduction in contamination in water runoff and sediment loading (Lebens 2012; Houle et al. 2013)</td>
<td>underground berms, and drainage pipes at low points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recharging of groundwater supplies (UNHSC 2012)</td>
<td>• Potential clogging with dirt and organic debris requiring specialized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Low impact development and cost effective technology for stormwater management, by reducing need for</td>
<td>maintenance such as vacuuming or other cleaning mechanisms (UNHSC 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drainage structures and rights of way (Houle et al. 2013; UNHSC 2011; EPA 2014)</td>
<td>• Limited use for heavy loading areas where sharp turns are probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improved wet-weather visibility, tire spray, and hydroplaning (Lebens 2012)</td>
<td>• Porous pavements should not be constructed where there is a high risk of toxic spills;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Absorption of noise from tires and engines (Lebens 2012)</td>
<td>however, porous pavements have been noted to contain spills from uncontrolled runoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduction in Stormwater runoff volume (Lebens 2012)</td>
<td>(Lebens 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improves water and oxygen transfer to nearby plant roots (CTC &amp; Associates 2012)</td>
<td>• Some variation from standard construction practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Credits in green construction rating systems (i.e., LEED; Greenroads; IgCC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For roads, other major benefits include reduced noise, increased wet-weather friction and visibility, and reduced stormwater temperatures before discharge (Lebens 2012). Recent research has identified permeable pavements as a “cool pavement technology.” Due to their high air-void structure, porous asphalt can mitigate urban heat island (UHI) effect by reducing stored pavement energy and allowing for rapid cooling via evaporation (Li et al. 2013; Stempihar et al. 2012; EPA 2008).

Along with its many benefits, porous asphalt pavements also have limitations. The vast majority of projects constructed to date were designed to carry light automobile traffic only. Application of porous pavements for highways is challenging due to variability in soil conditions, utilities, fills, and slope. However, with diligent engineering the use of porous asphalt pavements for highways is possible for some situations. There have been some porous asphalt pavements used for some road and highway pavements such as the Arizona Avenue/SR 87 in Chandler, Ariz., and Maine Mall Road in South Portland, Maine (Palmer 2012; Peabody 2010).

Applications

Porous asphalt pavements are typically recommended for parking areas and low-volume roadways (Roseen et al. 2012). Additional applications of porous asphalt are for pedestrian walkways, sidewalks, driveways, bike lanes, and shoulders (Hein et al. 2013). Also, porous asphalt pavements have been used successfully for residential and urban streets, as well as highways. Porous asphalt pavements can be installed as whole or in part with traditional impervious asphalt pavements. When installed in combination with impervious pavements or adjacent to building roofs, porous asphalt can sufficiently contain and treat the additional runoff generated.

Design of Porous Asphalt Pavements

There are three considerations required when determining the thickness of the layers of porous pavements: 1) site considerations to ensure that the site is acceptable; 2) hydrological design to ensure the porous pavement meets the potential stormwater runoff demands; and, 3) structural design to ensure that the porous pavement withstands the anticipated traffic loading. Most often, the thickness of the stone recharge bed will be controlled by the water quantity (hydrological design) and soil infiltration rates (site considerations), rather than structural requirements, while the porous asphalt surface layer will be determined by the traffic loads (structural design).

1. Site Considerations

The location of porous pavements should be considered early during the design process. Contrary to conventional construction pavement siting, porous pavements perform best on upland soils (Cahill et al. 2005). Additional site considerations include soil types, depth of bedrock, pavement slope, and additional sources of runoff. General site guidelines include:
Soil infiltration rates of 0.1 to 10 inches/hour (0.5 inches/hour is recommended by EPA). Do not place over known sinkholes.

- Minimum depth to bedrock or seasonal high water should be greater than 2 feet.
- Frost depth should be considered. The University of New Hampshire recommends the bottom of the stone reservoir be 60% of the frost depth. However, many projects in cold regions have been constructed at lesser depths with no problems from freezing noticed.
- The bottom of the infiltration bed should be flat. For roads it may be necessary to construct berms under the pavement surface to retain water on slopes and install drains/overflows at low points (Roseen et al. 2011).
- For parking areas, the slope of the porous pavement surface should be less than 5%. For slopes greater than 5% the parking areas should be terraced with berms in between.
- Opportunities to route runoff from nearby impervious areas to infiltration bed. Impervious to pervious areas should be less than a 5:1 ratio for most conditions or 3:1 for sinkhole-susceptible areas (karst formations).

2. Hydrology Design

Hydrological design determines what layer thicknesses are required to sufficiently infiltrate, store, and release the expected inflow of water, which includes both rainfall and excess stormwater runoff from any adjacent impervious surfaces. This requires information regarding the layer thicknesses and subgrade permeability along with precipitation intensity levels.

The hydrologic design of porous pavements should be performed by a licensed engineer. The two most common methods for modeling stormwater runoff are the SCS/NCRS Curve Number method and the Rational method. The Rational method is not recommended for evaluation of porous pavement systems. Specific details on hydrological design are beyond the scope of this TechBrief.

Porous pavements are often not designed to store and infiltrate the maximum precipitation at the site. Therefore overflow should be included in the design to prevent stored stormwater from reaching the surface layers. This typically will involve perforated pipes in the stone reservoir that are connected to discharge pipe as shown in Figure 2. It is also recommended that an alternate path for stormwater to enter the stone reservoir be provided in case the surface should become plugged. Figure 2 shows examples of designs using a stone edge or drop inlet to manage overflows.
3. Structural Design

While limited structural information is available, porous pavements have lasted for more than 20 years. For porous pavements carrying light automobile traffic only, the structural requirements are not significant, and the material thicknesses are determined by the hydrological design and minimum thicknesses required for porous asphalts. For porous asphalt pavements expected to carry truck loads, the structural design procedures should follow standard AASHTO 93 design procedures. Recommended layer coefficients for porous asphalt pavements are found in Table 2. Recommended minimum thickness of the compacted porous asphalt layer for different truck loadings are found in Figure 3.

Table 2. Recommended layer coefficients (Hansen, 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Layer</th>
<th>Structural Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porous Asphalt</td>
<td>0.40 – 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt-Treated Permeable Base (ATPB)</td>
<td>0.30 – 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous Aggregate Base (Stone Recharge Bed)</td>
<td>0.10 – 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Porous Asphalt Mixture Properties and Characteristics

Porous asphalt mixtures are designed using the Superpave (50 gyrations) or Marshall (35 blows per side) methods with requirements for higher air voids and low draindown to assure...
permeability and performance. To reduce draindown and provide resistance to scuffing, mixes are typically designed with polymer-modified binders. Fibers are often added to the mix to reduce draindown. Many states have specification for open-graded friction courses and asphalt-treated permeable bases that may be used in specifying mixes for porous asphalt pavements. State specifications should be checked to see if they have the key properties shown in Table 3.

**Table 3. Typical properties and characteristics for asphalt surface layer (Hansen, 2008)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix Properties</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Voids (AASHTO T 269-11/ASTM D3203M-11)</td>
<td>&gt; 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draindown (AASHTO T 305-09/ASTM 6390-11)</td>
<td>≤ 0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt Content (by weight of total mix)</td>
<td>Note 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Aggregate Size</td>
<td>Note 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: For 9.5 mm nominal aggregate size porous asphalt mixes, the recommended minimum asphalt content is 5.75% by weight of mix. In rare cases this may not be possible. In these cases the Cantabro test (ASTM D7064M-08) should be run to assure durability. For larger stone mixes, the asphalt content will decrease. The asphalt content should be the highest possible without exceeding draindown requirements.

Note 2: The maximum recommend aggregate size for surfaces is 12.5 NMAS. Larger NMAS mixes are recommended for base courses.

**Porous Asphalt Mixture Test Methods**

There are a number of guides and specifications available for porous asphalt mixes, including Hansen 2008, UNHSC 2014, and Mallick et al. 2000, as well as guidance from state DOTs, AASHTO, ASTM, and state asphalt pavement associations. Test methods for porous asphalt are as follows:

- AASHTO T 269-11/ASTM D3203M-11 Standard Test Method for Percent Air Voids in Compacted Dense and Open Bituminous Paving Mixtures

Because porous asphalt surfaces do not hold water, they have a very low risk of moisture-related damage. Despite this, it is still recommended to add an anti-stripping agent to the mix if it would be required for dense-graded mixtures using the same materials. If there is no history determining whether an anti-stripping agent would be required, then a moisture susceptibility test may be run on a dense-graded mix with the same aggregate and binder (ASTM D7064M-08).
Construction
One of the most important concerns during the construction of porous asphalt pavements is the clogging of the surface or filling of the voids in the stone reservoir. As a result, protecting the pavement during construction from uncontrolled runoff from adjacent areas and the surrounding soil from compaction is critical. This includes having temporary stormwater controls in place until the site is stabilized and clear, specific guidance for construction procedures.

Typical guidelines for construction procedures for porous pavement include:

- Plan to construct the porous pavement as late as possible in the construction schedule.
- Protect site area from excessive heavy equipment running on the subgrade, compacting soil, and reducing permeability.
- Excavate the subgrade soil using equipment with oversized tires or tracks to minimize compaction to soil.
- As soon as the bed has been excavated to the final grade, the fabric filter should be placed with an overlap of a minimum of 16 inches. Use the excess fabric (at least 4 feet) to fold over the stone bed to temporarily protect it from sediment.
- Install drainage pipes, if required.
- Place the aggregate stone recharge bed carefully to avoid damaging the fabric. The aggregate should be dumped at the edge of the bed and placed in layers of 8 to 12 inches using tracked equipment and compacted with a single pass of a light roller or vibratory plate compactor.
- When using a stabilizer course, it is important that the aggregate be sized properly to interlock with the aggregate in the recharge bed. The stabilizer course should be placed at a thickness of about 1 inch. Some larger stones from the stone reservoir should be visible at the surface.
- The porous asphalt should be placed in 1- to 4-inch-thick lifts following state or national guidelines for the construction of open-graded asphalt mixes. (Track pavers are recommended)
- The porous asphalt should be compacted with two to four passes of a 10-ton static roller.
- Restrict traffic for at least 24 hours after final rolling.

Maintenance
In order to maintain long-term performance of porous asphalt pavements’ stormwater management capabilities, it is recommended that the surface infiltration rates be inspected annually during rain events to observe any changes in effectiveness of infiltrating stormwater. University of New Hampshire has created a regular inspection and maintenance guide for porous pavements (UNHSC 2011). In addition, to remove any solids and debris that could lead to more permanent clogging of the pavement, it is recommended that porous asphalt pavements be vacuumed two to four times a year or power-washed (UNHSC 2012; Palmer 2012).
During winter months, there are no special requirements for plowing. Deicing chemicals may be used to melt ice and snow from the surface, but the amount of deicing chemicals will be significantly less than for impervious pavements.

**BEST PRACTICE**
In addition to visual inspections, University of New Hampshire recommends measuring the surface infiltration rates annually.

**CAUTION**
Porous asphalt pavements should never be sealcoated or crack sealed. If patching is necessary, conventional mixes may be used if less than 10% of the pavement area is affected.

**CAUTION**
Porous asphalt pavements should never be sealcoated or crack sealed. If patching is necessary, conventional mixes may be used if less than 10% of the pavement area is affected.

---

**Summary and Future Needs**
Porous asphalt pavements have been successfully used for more than 35 years in a variety of climates around the United States. They provide a pavement surface that is also part of the stormwater management system, reducing stormwater runoff and pollutants and replenishing groundwater. A number of porous asphalt parking lots have lasted more than 20 years with no maintenance other than cleaning.

Improvements have been made over the past 20 years in the design and construction of porous asphalt mixes; however, additional research should be conducted to develop improved mix-design procedures to reduce the potential for clogging and scuffing of these pavements. There is limited research on structural values for all layers of porous asphalt, therefore additional research should be conducted to determine structural values for the porous asphalt mixes and stone reservoir course. Research should be conducted on rehabilitation of porous asphalt pavements to determine what procedures (i.e., milling, cleaning, tack coat) may be used to rehabilitate these surfaces.

**References**


Porous Asphalt Pavements with Stone Reservoirs

Contact — For more information, contact:
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
Office of Asset Management, Pavements and Construction
John Bukowski — john.bukowski@dot.gov
FHWA Office of Technical Services — Resource Center
Tom Harman — tom.harman@dot.gov (Pavement & Materials Technical Service Team)

Federal Highway Administration www.fhwa.dot.gov/resources/pubstats/

Research — This TechBrief was developed by Heather L. Dylla, Ph.D., and Kent R. Hansen, P.E. (National Asphalt Pavement Association), as part of FHWA's Advancement of Innovative Asphalt Technologies cooperative agreement. The TechBrief is based on research cited within the document.

Distribution — This Technical Brief is being distributed according to a standard distribution. Direct distribution is being made to the Divisions and Resource Center.

Key Words — porous asphalt, stormwater, low impact development (LID), permeable pavement, pervious pavement

Notice — This Technical Brief is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in this document. The U.S. Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers’ names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the objective of the document.

Quality Assurance Statement — The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) provides high-quality information to serve Government, industry, and the public in a manner that promotes public understanding. Standards and policies are used to ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of its information. FHWA periodically reviews quality issues and adjusts its programs and processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.