



There are literally hundreds of specifications, recommendations and guidelines for avoiding ASR in new construction or managing it in existing structures. There are national specifications from many countries including the U.K. and many other European nations – Australia – Canada and the U.S.A. Within the United States there are guidelines from ACI, specifications from ASTM, guide specifications from PCA and then individual specs from state DOT's, national highway authorities and many other government bodies.

This makes a general discussion about specifications very difficult - however ...



... there are basically two approaches to a specification for preventing damaging expansion due to ASR – the spec maybe prescription based or performance based

In a prescriptive specification the method of mitigation is spelled out exactly for the contractor or producer – for example – either limit the alkali content of the concrete to a specific level (such as 3 pounds per cubic yard) or use a minimum level of certain SCMs (for example 25% Class F fly ash or 50% slag)

In a performance spec – the level of prevention is NOT specified but has to be tested to prove that it works – so, for exampl, if the contractor or producer wants to use 40% slag with a reactive aggregate – that combination of materials has to be tested using a suitable test method to demonstrate that it does not produce an unacceptable level of expansion.



In this presentation we are going to focus on the recently developed AASHTO standard practice for determining the reactivity of aggregates and selecting preventive measures – AASHTO PP 65



The background to the development of this practice is document in a federal highway report that can be downloaded (for free) – just google the report number shown



[no notes required – read the slide]



As the title suggests there are two steps in the practice

The first step is to determine if you have either an alkali-silica reactive or alkalicarbonate reactive aggregate.

The second is to select preventive measures if after the first step you find you have an alkali-SILICA reactive aggregate.

The stages in the first step are shown in this flow chart. This approach was discussed in the presentation on test methods.

By considering field history, petrography and/or data from expansion testing – there are three possible outcomes – these are

- 1. The aggregate is non-reactive so no precautionary measures are required
- 2. The aggregate is potentially alkali-CARBONATE reactive and should be rejected for use in concrete OR
- 3. The aggregate is potentially alkali-SILICA reactive and may be used with appropriate preventive measures

The second step in the practice concerns the selection of preventive measures in the event of this third outcome. The second step is not required if the outcome is either "non-reactive" or "alkali-carbonate-reactive"



So having discussed the first step in the presentation on test methods – we will focus on the selection of preventive measures.

As mentioned earlier we have a choice of either prescription or performance testing.

The minimum level of SCM can be determined by using either approach.

The dose of lithium has to be determined by performance testing because – as we have soon – the dose is strongly aggregate dependent and lithium doesn't work with some reactive aggregates.

On the other hand – if we want to control ASR by limiting the alkali content of the concrete – we have to follow the prescriptive approach as there is no test method for determining the safe level of alkali.



The performance approach utilizes both the concrete prism test and the accelerated mortar bar test, and the use of these tests was covered by the presentation on test methods.



Just a reminder that the AASHTO practice recommends that the accelerated test be calibrated for the aggregate in question by comparing data from this test with results from the concrete prism test.



[No notes – summarize the text in the slide]

<i>Step 1 – Det</i> Tab	termine Aggregate Ro	eactivity (PP65: Aggregate Reac	<i>Section 8.2)</i> tivity	
Aggregate- Reactivity Class	Description of aggregate reactivity	One-Year Expansion in CPT (%)	14-day Expansion ir AMBT (%)	
R0	Non-reactive	< 0.040	≤ 0.10	
R1	Moderately reactive	reactive 0.040 - 0.120	0.10 - 0.30	
R2	Highly reactive	0.120 – 0.240	0.30 – 0.45	
R3	Very highly reactive	> 0.240	> 0.45	

Aggregates are classes in one of four classes from R-zero for non-reactive to R-3 for very highly reactive. The classification is based on the expansion results from either the one-year prism test or the 14-day accelerated mortar bar test. If data are available from both tests – the results from the concrete prism test prevail.

If coarse and fine aggregates are classed differently – the most reactive classification is used.



The 2nd step is to determine the RISK of ASR. This is a function of the aggregate reactivity determined in the 1st step and the exposure condition of the structure.

The risk ranges from Level 1 – which essentially means "NO risk" – to level 6 – the highest risk.

There is "no risk" or level 1 when either the aggregate is classed as non-reactive – that is R-zero – or a moderately reactive, R-1, aggregate is used in concrete that is in a dry environment.

Level 6 is the worst case scenario – where a very-highly reactive aggregate is used in concrete exposed to moisture and alkalis in service.

The table shows how the risk varies between these two extremes.



Once the risk level is defined the next step is to use this information to determine the level of prevention required. But first we need some information of the Class of the Structure and we have to jump ahead to Table 4.

[Go to next slide and then return here]

OK – back to Table 3 – where we can see that the prevention level ranges from Level V – which means no prevention required to Level double-Z which means the strongest prevention possible.

Level V results when the risk of ASR occurring is low and the consequences should it occur are not too significant.

Level double-Z would result if there is a high risk of ASR occurring given the reactivity of the aggregate and the nature of the exposure, and the occurrence of ASR would have dire consequences for the structure

The table shows how the level varies between V and double-Z as the risk and consequences of ASR increase.



The class of structure is determine by considering the consequences of ASR and the design life of the structure. In some cases, some level of risk may be tolerated – where the consequences are not really significant to the performance of the element and/or the service life of the element is relatively short. An example could be a sidewalk in some cases. In other case – no risk of ASR is acceptable because the consequences cannot be tolerated and may shorten the life of the structure – an example might be a major bridge with an extended service life.

Note: The "Class of Structure" should really be determined by the owner.

[Go back to the previous slide the first time this slide appears]



Now the level of prevention is known – the next step is to decide the type of prevention.

If we want to prevent by controlling the alkali content we use Table 5

If we want to use SCMs we use Table 6.

Table 7 allows us to modify the level of SCM based in the cement alkalis.

Table 8 covers the case where an exceptional level of prevention is required and where it may be necessary to limit alkalis AND use SCM



The maximum alkali content permitted ranges from 5 pounds per cubic yard for a low level of prevention – level W – to 3 pounds per cubic yard for a higher level of prevention – level Y.

For level Z or double-Z it is not permitted to prevent ASR merely by controlling the alkali content of the concrete and some SCM is required as will be seen later.



Table 6 shows how the minimum level of SCM varies across the different prevention levels and with the type of SCM. The amount of SCM increase with the level of prevention – obviously. For example, for slag, only 25% is required for Level W but 65% is required for Level Z.

For silica fume the level required is a function of the alkali content in the concrete contributed by the portland cement. So if you are in Level Z and you have 5 pounds of alkali – you will need 2.4 multiplied by 5 equals 12% silica fume.



[Note not required – read slide]



The SCM levels in Table 6 are deemed to be necessary with cements of moderate to high alkali content. If low-alkali cement is used it is possible to reduce the minimum amount of SCM by one prevention level. If, however, a high-alkali cement is used, the level of SCM should be increased.



Table 8 deals with extreme levels of prevention – where combined strategies of controlling alkalis and using SCMs are required.

In Level z – there is an option to use either the amount of SCM shown for Level Z in Table 6 – or to reduce this level of SCM by one prevention level – in other words use the amount specified for Level Y BUT in this case the alkali content of the concrete (from the portland cement) but also be limited to 3 pounds per cubic yard.

For level double-Z ... the minimum level of SCM must that prescribed for this level of prevention in Table 6 PLUS the alkalis MUST ALSO be controlled to be less than or equal to 3 pounds per cubic yard.



In summary [no notes required – read slide]



The remaining slides show a worked example for using the prescriptive approach [presenters notes are not required]

<i>Step 1 – De</i> Ta	etermine Aggregat	e Reactivity	e Reactivity	
Aggregate- Reactivity Class	Description of aggregate reactivity	One-Year Expansion in CPT (%)	14-day Expansion in AMBT (%)	
R0	Non-reactive	< 0.040	≤ 0.10	
R1	Moderately reactive	0.040 - 0.120	> 0.10, ≤ 0.30	Fine
R2	Highly reactive	0.120 - 0.240	> 0.30, ≤ 0.45	
R3	Very highly reactive	> 0.240	> 0.45	Coar

Step 1 – D	etermine Aggregat	e Reactivity		
Ta	ble 1 Classification	n of Aggregate	e Reactivity	
Aggregate- Reactivity Class	Description of aggregate reactivity	One-Year Expansion in CPT (%)	14-day Expansion in AMBT (%)	
R0	Non-reactive	< 0.040	≤ 0.10	Fine
R1	Moderately reactive	0.040 - 0.120	> 0.10, ≤ 0.30	Fine
R2	Highly reactive	0.120 - 0.240	> 0.30, ≤ 0.45	Coa
R3	Very highly reactive	> 0.240	> 0.45	Coa

E.

AASHTO Recommended Practice - Example

Step 2 – Determine Risk of ASR

Table 2 Determining the Level of ASR Risk

Cine and averaging and distant	Aggregate-Reactivity Class					
Size and exposure conditions	R0	R1	R2	R3		
Non-massive ¹ concrete in a dry ² environment	Level 1	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Massive ¹ elements in a dry ² environment	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
All concrete exposed to humid air, buried or immersed	Level 1	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5		
All concrete exposed to alkalis in service ³	Level 1	Level 4	Level 5	Level 6		



I

Risk of ASR = Level 5



Slide 26



Step	3 – Classify	Structure		
Class	Consequences of ASR	Acceptability of ASR	Examples ^{††}	
S1	Safety, economic or environmental consequences small or negligible	Some deterioration from ASR may be tolerated	 Non-load-bearing elements inside buildings Temporary structures (e.g. < 5 years) 	
S2	Some safety, economic or environmental consequences if major deterioration	Moderate risk of ASR is acceptable	 Sidewalks, curbs and gutters Service-life < 40 years 	
\$3	Significant safety, economic or environmental consequences if minor damage	Minor risk of ASR acceptable	 Pavements Culverts Highway barriers Rural, low-volume bridges Large numbers of precast elements where economic costs of replacement are severe Service life normally 40 to 75 years 	
S4	Serious safety, economic or environmental consequences if minor damage	ASR cannot be tolerated	 Major bridges Tunnels Critical elements that are very difficult to inspect or repair Service life normally > 75 years) spartment of Transportz

Step 4 – Detern Table 3 De	<i>nine the</i> eterminin	<i>Level of Prev</i> og the Level o	f Prevention		
Level of ASR Risk		Classification	of Structure (Table	e 4)	
(Table 4)	S1 S2		S3	S4	
Risk Level 1	V	V	V	V	
Risk Level 2	V	V	w	X	
Risk Level 3	V	w	X	Y	
Risk Level 4	W	Х	Y	Z	
Risk Level 5	х	Y	Z	ZZ	
Risk Level 6	Y	Z	ZZ	t†	





Step 5 – Select Preventive Measure							
	Table 6 M	linimum l	Levels of	SCM to 1	Provide V	Various L	evels
	Preventio	Alkali level		inimum Repl	acement Lev	el (% by mas	ss)
	Type of SCM	of SCM (% Na ₂ Oe)	Level W	Level X	Level Y	Level Z	Level 2
	Fly ash	< 3.0	15	20	25	35	
	(CaO ≤ 18%)	3.0 - 4.5	20	25	30	40	
	Slag	< 1.0	25	35	50	65	Table
	Silica Fume† (SiO ₂ > 85%)	< 1.0	1.2 x LBA or 2.0 x KGA	1.5 x LBA or 2.5 x KGA	1.8 x LBA or 3.0 x KGA	2.4 x LBA or 4.0 x KGA	

of the alkali (Na₂Oe) content of the concrete contributed by the portland cement and expressed in either units of lb/yd^3 (LBA in Table 6) or kg/m³ (KGA in Table 6).

tion ration



Step 5 – Select Preventive Measure							
	Table 6 M	inimum l	Levels of	SCM to 1	Provide V	Various L	evels
	Alkali level		м	inimum Repl	acement Lev	el (% by mas	ss)
	Type of SCM	of SCM (% Na ₂ Oe)	Level W	Level X	Level Y	Level Z	Level
	Fly ash (CaO ≤ 18%)	< 3.0	15	20	25	35	
		3.0 - 4.5	20	25	30	40	
	Slag	< 1.0	25	35	50	65	Table
	Silica Fume† (SiO ₂ > 85%)	< 1.0	1.2 x LBA or 2.0 x KGA	1.5 x LBA or 2.5 x KGA	1.8 x LBA or 3.0 x KGA	2.4 x LBA or 4.0 x KGA	

of the alkali (Na₂Oe) content of the concrete contributed by the portland cement and expressed in either units of lb/yd^3 (LBA in Table 6) or kg/m³ (KGA in Table 6).

tion ration

