

1999 Status of the Nation's Highways, Bridges and Transit: Conditions and Performance

Report to Congress



U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
Federal Transit Administration



THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590

May 2, 2000

The Honorable Albert Gore, Jr.
President of the Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. President:

The enclosed report to Congress entitled Status of the Nation's Highways, Bridges, and Transit: Conditions and Performance Report is submitted in accordance with the requirements of Section 502(g) of 23 United States Code (U.S.C.) and Section 308(e) of 49 U.S.C., for the highway and transit portions, respectively. This report also incorporates as Appendix A, the Interstate Needs Study required by Section 1107(c) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century. The analyses contain condition, performance, and investment information on the Nation's highway, bridge, and transit systems.

This report provides the Congress with an objective appraisal of highway, bridge, and transit physical conditions, operational performance, finance, and future investment requirements. It highlights the need to maintain our commitment to infrastructure investment to keep our highway and transit systems functioning effectively. Recognizing the close relationship between an efficient transportation system and economic productivity, this Administration has increased our emphasis on maintaining and improving our transportation infrastructure over the past several years. In light of the Nation's growing transportation needs, the Department has moved aggressively to find ways to stretch the Federal dollar. These include streamlining Federal programs, using innovative financing techniques to attract private investment to transportation, and adopting new technologies.

The unique contribution of this report is its analysis of future national investment requirements to meet the anticipated demand in both highway travel and transit ridership. An average annual highway capital investment by all units of government over the next 20 years of \$56.6 billion could maintain the 1997 physical conditions and make worthwhile expansion and enhancement improvements. To make all beneficial highway improvements would require an average annual investment of \$94.0 billion. The average annual investment required to maintain the same physical conditions and operating performance of our Nation's transit systems is \$10.8 billion. The average annual cost to improve transit conditions and performance is estimated to be \$16.0 billion.

The physical condition of our Nation's highway system continues to improve, while congestion, particularly in the largest urban areas, is still a concern. The condition of the urban bus fleet remains adequate, while the condition of the heavy rail fleet has declined.

All levels of government spent \$101.3 billion for highways and bridges in 1997, an 8.4 percent increase over 1995. Of this total, \$48.7 billion was for capital improvements. Governments also spent \$25.1 billion for transit, of which \$7.6 billion was for capital improvements.

In keeping with the principles of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, this report is evidence of the Department's commitment to an intermodal view of the Nation's transportation system. Combining information about our highways, bridges, and transit provides decision makers with a valuable intermodal perspective as we seek to make the best use of each mode in satisfying our Nation's growing transport requirements. We look forward to continuing the intermodal perspective in this report series so that the Department can provide the breadth of information needed to deal with our ever increasing and complex transportation requirements.

An identical letter has been sent to the Speaker of the House, the Chairmen and Ranking Minority Members of the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works, the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, and the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

Sincerely,


Rodney E. Slater

Enclosure

Table of Contents

Introduction	xxi
Executive Summary	
Personal Mobility	ES-1
System and Usage Characteristics: Highway and Bridge	ES-2
System Characteristics: Transit	ES-3
System Conditions: Highway and Bridge	ES-4
System Conditions: Transit	ES-5
Operational Performance: Highway and Bridge	ES-6
Operational Performance: Transit	ES-7
Safety	ES-8
Finance: Highway and Bridge	ES-9
Finance: Transit	ES-10
Capital Investment Requirements: Highway and Bridge	ES-11
Capital Investment Requirements: Transit	ES-12
Comparison of Spending and Investment Requirements: Highway and Bridge	ES-13
Comparison of Spending and Investment Requirements: Transit	ES-14
Impacts of Investment: Highway and Bridge	ES-15
Impacts of Investment and Sensitivity Analysis: Transit	ES-16
Sensitivity Analysis: Highway and Bridge	ES-17
Chapter 1: Personal Mobility	
Introduction	1-1
Measuring Mobility	1-2
The Role of Income	1-3
Role of Age	1-5
Role of Gender	1-6
Role of Race and Hispanic Status	1-8
Summary	1-9
Chapter 2: System and Use Characteristics	
Introduction	2-1
Summary	2-2
Highway and Bridge System and Use Characteristics	2-4
Ownership and Extent	2-4
Functional Classification	2-5
Bridges	2-9
Use Characteristics	2-9
Transit System Characteristics	2-12
The Role of Mass Transit	2-12
System Operations and Infrastructure	2-14
Route Miles	2-14
System Capacity	2-14
Passenger Travel	2-16
Vehicle Occupancy	2-17
Chapter 3: System Conditions	
Introduction	3-1
Summary	3-2
Road Conditions	3-4
Pavement Terminology & Measurements	3-4
Overall Pavement Condition	3-5

Rural and Urban Pavement Conditions	3-6
Pavement Condition by Functional System	3-7
Roadway Alignment	3-9
Lane Width	3-10
Bridge Conditions	3-13
Bridge Component Ratings	3-13
Bridge Deficiencies	3-14
Bridge Deficiencies by Jurisdiction	3-15
Rural and Urban Bridges	3-16
Bridges by Functional System	3-16
Transit System Conditions	3-23
Urban Bus Fleet	3-23
Urban Bus Maintenance Facilities	3-25
Rail Vehicles	3-26
Rail Infrastructure and Maintenance Facilities	3-27
Rural and Specialized Transit Vehicles and Facilities	3-28

Chapter 4: Operational Performance

Introduction	4-1
Summary	4-2
Highway Operational Performance	4-4
Measuring Traffic Congestion	4-5
DVMT per Lane-Mile	4-5
V/SF Ratio	4-6
Delay	4-8
Congestion in Metropolitan Areas	4-8
Reducing Congestion	4-12
Future Research	4-12
Transit Operational Performance	4-13
Operating Speeds	4-13
Vehicle Utilization	4-13
Waiting Times and Reliability	4-14
Seating Conditions	4-15

Chapter 5: Safety

Introduction	5-1
Summary	5-2
Highway Safety	5-3
Safety Belt Use	5-7
Alcohol Involvement in Crashes	5-8
Conclusion	5-8
Transit Safety	5-9

Chapter 6: Highway, Bridge and Transit Finance

Introduction	6-1
Summary	6-2
Highway and Bridge Finance	6-4
Public Sector Highway Funding - 1997	6-4
Public Sector Highway Funding - Trends	6-5
Total Highway Expenditures - 1997	6-9
Types of Highway Expenditures	6-10
Total Highway Expenditures - Trends	6-10
Constant Dollar Expenditures	6-12
Constant Dollar Expenditures per VMT	6-13
Highway Capital Outlay Expenditures - 1997	6-14

Capital Outlay by Improvement Type	6-16
Capital Outlay by Functional Class and Improvement Type	6-18
Highway Capital Outlay Expenditures - Trends	6-18
Improvement Type Trends	6-19
Transit Finance	6-21
Public Funding	6-21
Revenue Sources	6-23
Capital Funding and Expenditures	6-24
Operations Expenditures	6-25
Chapter 7: Future Capital Investment Requirements	
Introduction	7-1
Highway Investment Requirements	7-2
Bridge Investment Requirements	7-3
Combined Highway and Bridge Investment Requirements	7-4
Transit Investment Requirements	7-4
Summary	7-5
Transit	7-5
Bridges	7-5
Highways	7-6
Highways and Bridges	7-6
Economics-Based Approach to Transportation Investments	7-7
Background	7-7
Economic Focus Versus Engineering Focus	7-7
Multimodal Analysis	7-8
Highway Investment Requirements	7-10
Highway Economic Requirements System	7-10
Travel Demand Elasticity	7-12
Highway Investment Backlog	7-14
Highway Investment Requirement Scenarios and Benchmarks	7-15
Maximum Economic Investment Scenario	7-17
Maintain Conditions Scenario	7-17
Maintain User Costs Benchmark	7-18
Maintain Travel Time Benchmark	7-19
Comparison with Previous Reports	7-19
Comparison with 1995 Data Used in the 1997 C&P Report	7-20
Comparison with 1993 Data Used in the 1995 C&P Report	7-21
Bridge Investment Requirements	7-22
Bridge Investment Backlog	7-22
Bridge Investment Requirement Scenarios	7-22
Eliminate Deficiencies Scenario	7-22
Maintain Backlog Scenario	7-23
Comparison with Previous Reports	7-23
Combined Highway and Bridge Investment Requirements	7-26
Backlog	7-26
Cost to Maintain Highways and Bridges	7-26
System Preservation	7-27
System Expansion	7-28
System Enhancements	7-28
Cost to Improve Highways and Bridges	7-28
Transit Investment Requirements	7-30
Investment Requirements	7-30
Existing Deficiencies	7-33

Chapter 8: Comparison of Spending and Investment Requirements

Introduction	8-1
Summary	8-2
Highway and Bridge Spending Versus Investment Requirements	8-4
Average Annual Investment Requirements Versus 1997 Spending	8-4
Types of Improvements	8-6
Investment Requirements Versus Projected 1998-2003 Spending	8-6
State and Local Funding	8-6
Projected Federal, State and Local Capital Expenditures	8-7
Comparison of Investment Requirements and Projected 1998-2003 Spending	8-7
Timing of the Investment Requirements	8-9
Effect of Backlog on Early Year Investment Requirements	8-9
Investment Requirements by Funding Period	8-9
Comparison with Previous Reports	8-10
Transit Capital Spending Versus Investment Requirements	8-11
Average Annual Investment Requirements Versus 1997 Capital Spending	8-11
Investment Requirements Versus Projected 1998-2003 Spending	8-12
The Timing of Investment	8-13
Comparison with Previous Reports	8-14

Chapter 9: Impacts of Investment

Introduction	9-1
Impact of Highway and Bridge Investment on Conditions and Performance	9-2
Impact of Investment Levels on Future Travel Growth	9-2
Projected Average Annual Travel Growth	9-3
Projected Average Annual Travel Growth in Large Urbanized Areas	9-4
Historic Travel Growth	9-5
Overall Projected Travel, Year-by-Year	9-5
Impact of Investment Levels on Different Types of Highway User Costs	9-7
Recent Condition and Performance Trends Versus Spending Trends	9-8
Conditions	9-8
Operational Performance	9-8
Transit Investment Impacts	9-10
Transit Investment and Historical Trends	9-10
Future Analyses of Spending Impacts	9-10
Methods for Increasing Future Investment for Transportation Projects	9-12

Chapter 10: Sensitivity Analysis

Introduction	10-1
Highway Sensitivity Analysis	10-2
Alternative Travel Growth Assumptions	10-2
Increasing VMT Growth Projections	10-3
Reducing VMT Growth Projections in Large Urbanized Areas	10-3
Other Alternative Assumptions	10-4
High Cost Lanes	10-5
Elasticity Values	10-5
Emissions Module	10-5
Value of Time	10-6
Value of Life	10-6
Transit Sensitivity Analysis	10-7

Chapter 11: Afterward - A View to the Future

Introduction	11-1
Safety	11-2

Mobility	11-3
Personal Mobility	11-3
Congestion	11-4
Life Cycle Cost Analysis	11-4
Productivity	11-5
Human and Natural Environment	11-5
National Security	11-6
Conclusion	11-6
Appendix A: Interstate Needs	
Introduction	A-1
Background	A-2
Current Conditions and Performance	A-4
Highway Conditions	A-4
Lane Widths, Curves, Grades, and Access Control	A-4
Highway Operational Performance	A-5
Bridge Conditions	A-5
Projected Conditions and Performance in 2007	A-7
Projected 10-Year Funding Levels for Interstate Highways and Bridges	A-7
Current Expenditure Patterns	A-8
Projected Interstate Funding	A-8
Expected Rural Interstate Pavement Condition in 2007	A-9
Projected Pavement Conditions at Forecast Funding Levels for 1998-2007	A-9
Expected Rural Interstate Pavement Condition and Performance in 2007	A-11
Effects of Investing at 1997 Spending Levels	A-11
Investment Required to Achieve Certain Performance Targets	A-13
Projected Pavement Condition and Operational Performance at Forecast Funding Levels for 1998-2007	A-13
Expected Urban Interstate Pavement Condition in 2007	A-13
Projected Pavement Conditions at Forecast Funding Levels for 1998-2007	A-15
Expected Urban Interstate Pavement Condition and Performance in 2007	A-15
Effects of Investing at 1997 Spending Levels	A-15
Investment Required to Achieve Certain Performance Targets	A-17
Projected Pavement Condition and Operational Performance at Forecast Funding Levels for 1998-2007	A-17
Expected Rural and Urban Interstate Pavement Condition in 2007	A-18
Rural/Urban Tradeoffs	A-18
Projected Pavement Conditions at Forecast Funding Levels for 1998-2007	A-20
Expected Rural and Urban Interstate Pavement Condition and Performance in 2007	A-20
Effects of Investing at 1997 Spending Levels	A-20
Investment Required to Achieve Certain Performance Targets	A-20
Projected Pavement Condition and Operational Performance at Forecast Funding Levels for 1998-2007	A-22
Expected Rural Interstate Bridge Conditions in 2007	A-22
Effects of Investing at 1997 Spending Levels	A-22
Investment Required to Eliminate or Maintain the Bridge Investment Backlog	A-24
Projected Bridge Investment Backlog at Forecast Funding Levels for 1998-2007	A-24
Expected Urban Interstate Bridge Conditions in 2007	A-24
Investment Required to Eliminate or Maintain the Bridge Investment Backlog	A-26
Projected Bridge Investment Backlog at Forecast Funding Levels for 1998-2007	A-26
Expected Rural and Urban Interstate Highway and Bridge Conditions and Performance in 2007	A-26

Resources Needed to Maintain and Improve the Interstate System	A-29
Cost to Maintain and Improve the Interstate System	A-29
Cost to Maintain Conditions Compared to Projected Spending	A-30
Cost to Improve Compared to Projected Spending	A-31
Implications	A-32
Addressing Interstate System Needs	A-33
FAHP Funds Available for Interstate by Category	A-33
System Preservation	A-33
Widening	A-34
Bridge	A-34
Summary	A-35

Appendix B: National Highway System

Introduction	B-1
System and Use Characteristics	B-2
System Conditions	B-2
Bridge Conditions	B-5
Operational Performance	B-6
Finance	B-7
Investment Requirements	B-8
Comparison of Spending and Investment Requirements	B-9

Appendix C: National Highway System Freight Intermodal Connectors

Background	C-1
Data Collection	C-1
Connector Condition	C-2
Pavement Condition	C-2
Geometric and Physical	C-3
Railroad Crossings	C-4
Traffic Operations and Safety	C-4
Investment Information	C-6

Appendix D: Asset Management and Investment Strategies: An Update

Introduction	D-1
Current Practice (What Do We Have?)	D-2
Assessment of Current Practices (How Is It Working?)	D-3
Technical Considerations	D-3
Practical Realities	D-4
Integration	D-4
Improving the Process (What Do We Need?)	D-5
Organizational Goals, Policies, and Budgets	D-7
Integration	D-8
Technical Information	D-9
Information Management	D-9
Analytical Tools	D-10
Strategies for Implementation (How Do We Get There?)	D-12
Recent Federal and State Initiatives	D-12
American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials Initiatives	D-13
Task Force	D-13
Strategic Plan	D-13
Federal Highway Administration Initiatives	D-14
Conclusion	D-16

Appendix E: Condition and Performance of the Transportation System Serving Federal and Indian Lands

Introduction	E-1
Characteristics of Federal Roads and Lands	E-2
Resources Served Within Federal and Indian Lands	E-2
Role of Federal Lands in the U.S. Economy	E-2
Role of Transportation in the Use of Federal and Tribal Lands	E-5
Condition and Performance of Roads by Federal Agency	E-5
Forest Service	E-5
National Park Service	E-6
Bureau of Indian Affairs	E-7
Fish and Wildlife Service	E-8
Bureau of Land Management	E-9
Bureau of Reclamation	E-10
Department of Defense	E-10
United States Army Corps of Engineers	E-11
Funding of Roads Serving Federal and Indian Lands	E-12
Future Challenges	E-13

Appendix F: Federal Highway Safety Planning and Improvement Programs

Motor Carrier and Highway Safety Action Plan	F-2
Rail-Highway Crossings Program and Hazard Elimination Program	F-3
State and Community Highway Safety Grants	F-3

Appendix G: Changes in Highway Investment Requirement Methodology

Introduction	G-1
Summary	G-2
Effect of Inflation	G-2
Expanding the Scope of the Investment Requirements	G-2
Data Corrections, Changes in Analytical Procedures and Model Enhancements	G-3
High Cost Lanes	G-4
External Adjustment Procedure Changes	G-4
Metropolitan Expansion Adjustment	G-5
TSM/ITS Adjustment	G-5
Local Roads and Rural Minor Collectors Adjustments	G-5
Emissions Costs Module	G-5
Travel Demand Elasticity	G-6
Travel Growth in Large Urbanized Areas	G-7
Deficiency Levels	G-9
Revised Safety Module	G-9
Other HERS Enhancements	G-9

Appendix H: The Costs and Benefits of Transit

Operating Costs by Policy Function	H-1
Benefits by Policy Function	H-2

Appendix I: Transit Investment Condition and Investment Requirements Methodology

Transit Economic Requirements Model	I-1
TERM's Structure	I-1
Asset Rehabilitation and Replacement Module	I-1
National Transit Asset Inventory	I-2
Modeling Transit Asset Conditions	I-2
Asset Expansion Module	I-3
Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Forecasts	I-4
Performance Enhancement Module	I-4
Benefit-Cost Tests	I-4
Rural and Specialized Transit Service Investments	I-5

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1-1.	Factors Affecting U.S. Travel Patterns: Economic, Social, Technological, Land Use and Housing, Demographic, Transportation Policy	1-1
Exhibit 1-2.	Demographics, Personal Travel, Safety and Air Quality, Percent Change from 1969 to 1995	1-2
Exhibit 1-3.	Average Daily Miles Traveled per Person in Different Groups	1-3
Exhibit 1-4.	Per Capita Trips and Miles by Income.....	1-4
Exhibit 1-5.	Annual Person Miles of Travel per Person by Public Transit and Walking	1-5
Exhibit 1-6.	Average Annual Miles by Driver Age, 1969-1995	1-6
Exhibit 1-7.	Percent of Working Men and Women Who Trip Chain on the Way to or from Work	1-7
Exhibit 1-8.	Travel Differences by African-Americans and Whites in the Same Income Class	1-8
Exhibit 1-9.	Percent of Households Without a Vehicle	1-9
Exhibit 2-1.	Comparison of System and Use Characteristics with Those in the 1997 C&P Report	2-2
Exhibit 2-2.	Highway Mileage by Owner, 1987 and 1997	2-4
Exhibit 2-3.	Highway Mileage by Owner, Selected Years 1987-1997	2-5
Exhibit 2-4.	Highway Functional Classification System	2-5
Exhibit 2-5.	Percentage of Highway Miles, Lane-Miles, and Vehicle-Miles Traveled by Functional System, 1997	2-7
Exhibit 2-6.	Highway Route Miles by Functional System, Selected Years 1987-1997	2-8
Exhibit 2-7.	Highway Lane-Miles by Functional System, Selected Years 1987-1997	2-8
Exhibit 2-8.	Bridges by Owner, 1996 and 1998	2-9
Exhibit 2-9.	Bridges by Functional System, 1996 and 1998	2-9
Exhibit 2-10.	Distribution of Bridges by Function, 1998	2-9
Exhibit 2-11.	Highway Vehicle (VMT) and Passenger Miles of Travel (PMT), 1987-1997	2-10
Exhibit 2-12.	Highway Travel by Vehicle Type, 1987-1997	2-10
Exhibit 2-13.	Highway Travel by System and Vehicle Type, 1987-1997	2-11
Exhibit 2-14.	Classification of Transit Trips by Public Policy Function	2-12
Exhibit 2-15.	Passenger Trips by Public Policy Function	2-12
Exhibit 2-16.	Trip Characteristics of Transit's Primary Market Niches	2-13
Exhibit 2-17.	Transit Trips by Function and Time of Day, 1995	2-13
Exhibit 2-18.	Urban Mass Transit Active Fleet and Infrastructure, 1997	2-15
Exhibit 2-19.	Urban Transit Route Miles, 1987-1997	2-16
Exhibit 2-20.	Transit Capacity, 1987-1997	2-16
Exhibit 2-21.	Urban Transit Passenger Miles, 1987-1997	2-16
Exhibit 2-22.	Transit Vehicle Occupancy	2-17
Exhibit 3-1.	Comparison of the System Conditions Statistics with Those in the 1997 C&P Report	3-2
Exhibit 3-2.	Present Serviceability Rating (PSR)	3-4
Exhibit 3-3.	Relationship Between IRI [International Roughness Index] and PSR	3-5
Exhibit 3-4.	Pavement Condition, by Total Percent Miles, 1995 and 1997	3-6
Exhibit 3-5.	Rural and Urban Pavement Conditions, 1997	3-6
Exhibit 3-6.	Poor Pavement - Percent Miles, 1993-1997	3-6
Exhibit 3-7.	Rural Pavement Condition, by Functional System, 1997	3-7
Exhibit 3-8.	Urban Pavement Condition, by Functional System, 1997	3-7
Exhibit 3-9.	Rural Pavement Condition by Functional System, 1993-1997	3-8
Exhibit 3-10.	Urban Pavement Condition by Functional System, 1993-1997	3-8

Exhibit 3-11.	Percentage of Interstate Miles with Acceptable Ride Quality, 1997	3-9
Exhibit 3-12.	Alignment Rating	3-9
Exhibit 3-13.	Rural Horizontal Alignment Adequacy, 1997	3-10
Exhibit 3-14.	Rural Vertical Alignment Adequacy, 1997	3-10
Exhibit 3-15.	Rural Lane Width, by Functional System, 1997	3-11
Exhibit 3-16.	Urban Lane Width, by Functional System, 1997	3-11
Exhibit 3-17.	12+ Foot Lanes, Rural and Urban, 1993 and 1997	3-12
Exhibit 3-18.	Bridge Component Ratings	3-13
Exhibit 3-19.	Bridge Component Conditions, 1998	3-14
Exhibit 3-20.	Deficiencies, All Bridges, 1998	3-14
Exhibit 3-21.	Percentage of Deficient Bridges, 1992-1998	3-15
Exhibit 3-22.	Bridges: Percent Deficient, by Ownership, 1998	3-15
Exhibit 3-23.	Ownership of Structurally Deficient Bridges, 1998	3-16
Exhibit 3-24.	Ownership of Functionally Obsolete Bridges, 1998.....	3-16
Exhibit 3-25.	Rural and Urban Bridge Deficiencies, 1992-1998	3-17
Exhibit 3-26.	Bridges: Percent Deficient by Functional System, 1998	3-18
Exhibit 3-27.	Interstate Bridge Deficiencies, 1992-1998	3-19
Exhibit 3-28.	Other Arterial Bridge Deficiencies, 1992-1998.....	3-20
Exhibit 3-29.	Collector Bridge Deficiencies, 1992-1998	3-21
Exhibit 3-30.	Local Bridge Deficiencies, 1992-1998	3-22
Exhibit 3-31.	Bus Fleet Condition Ratings Description	3-23
Exhibit 3-32.	FTA Minimum-Useful Life Guidelines	3-23
Exhibit 3-33.	Urban Transit Bus Fleet Count, Age and Condition, 1987-1997	3-24
Exhibit 3-34.	Age of Urban Bus Facilities, 1997	3-25
Exhibit 3-35.	Condition of Urban Bus Maintenance Facilities, 1997	3-25
Exhibit 3-36.	Definitions of Urban Bus Maintenance Facility Conditions	3-25
Exhibit 3-37.	Rail Transit Vehicle Fleet Count, Age and Condition, 1987-1997	3-26
Exhibit 3-38.	Definitions of Rail Vehicle Condition	3-27
Exhibit 3-39.	Physical Condition of U.S. Rail Infrastructure, Selected Years, 1984-1997	3-27
Exhibit 3-40.	Number of Overage Vehicles and Average Vehicle Age in Rural and Special Service Transit, 1994.....	3-28
Exhibit 3-41.	Condition of Rural Bus Maintenance Facilities, 1992	3-28
Exhibit 4-1.	Comparison of Operational Performance Statistics with those in the 1997 C&P Report	4-2
Exhibit 4-2.	Total Congestion Costs by Urban Area, 1997	4-4
Exhibit 4-3.	DVMT per Lane-Mile, 1987-1997	4-6
Exhibit 4-4.	Description of Levels of Service.....	4-7
Exhibit 4-5.	Percent of Congested Travel on Urban Principal Arterial Highways, 1993-1997	4-8
Exhibit 4-6.	Daily Delay, 1993-1997	4-9
Exhibit 4-7.	Daily Delay on Interstate Highways in 1997	4-10
Exhibit 4-8.	Daily Delay on Other Principal Arterials in 1997	4-10
Exhibit 4-9.	Growth of Congested Travel, 1982-1997	4-10
Exhibit 4-10.	Cost of Congestion 1982-1997 in Constant 1997 Dollars	4-11
Exhibit 4-11.	Passenger-Mile Weighted Average Speed by Transit Mode, 1987-1997	4-13
Exhibit 4-12.	Vehicle Utilization: Annual Passenger Miles Per Capacity-Equivalent Vehicle by Mode	4-14
Exhibit 4-13.	Waiting Times and Reliability	4-14
Exhibit 4-14.	Seating Conditions	4-15
Exhibit 5-1.	Comparison of Safety Statistics with Those in the 1997 C&P Report	5-2
Exhibit 5-2.	Summary of Fatality and Injury Rates, 1966-1997	5-4

Exhibit 5-3.	Fatalities, 1977-1997	5-5
Exhibit 5-4.	Fatality Rate, 1977-1997	5-5
Exhibit 5-5.	Single Vehicle Run-Off-The Road Fatalities, 1977-1997	5-5
Exhibit 5-6.	Pedestrian Fatalities, 1977-1997	5-6
Exhibit 5-7.	Frequency of Safety Belt Use by Selected Variables, 1995	5-7
Exhibit 5-8.	Fatalities Attributed to Alcohol, 1982-1997	5-8
Exhibit 5-9.	Annual Transit-Related Incidents, Injuries, and Fatalities, 1990-1997, Directly Operated Service	5-9
Exhibit 5-10.	Transit Incidents, Injuries, and Fatalities, Annual Rates Per 100 Million Passenger Miles by Mode, 1990-1997, Directly Operated Service	5-10
Exhibit 6-1.	Comparison of Highway and Transit Finance Statistics with those in the 1997 C&P Report	6-2
Exhibit 6-2.	Revenue Sources for Highways, 1997	6-4
Exhibit 6-3.	Disposition of Highway-User Revenue by Level of Government, 1997	6-5
Exhibit 6-4.	Funding for Highways by Level of Government, 1957-1997	6-6
Exhibit 6-5.	Percent of Highway Revenue Derived from User Charges, for Each Level of Government, 1977-1997	6-7
Exhibit 6-6.	Ratio: Total Highway User Revenue Collected Compared to Total Highway Expenditures for Each Level of Government, 1977-1997	6-8
Exhibit 6-7.	Direct Expenditures for Highways, by Expending Agencies and by Type, 1997	6-9
Exhibit 6-8.	Expenditures for Highways by Type, All Units of Government 1957-1997	6-11
Exhibit 6-9.	Total Highway Expenditures in Current and Constant 1997 Dollars, All Units of Government 1957-1997	6-13
Exhibit 6-10.	Highway Capital, Maintenance, and Other Non-Capital Expenditures in Current and Constant 1997 Dollars, All Units of Government 1957-1997	6-14
Exhibit 6-11.	Highway Expenditures per Vehicle Mile of Travel, All Units of Government 1957-1997	6-15
Exhibit 6-12.	Highway Capital Outlay by Functional System, 1997	6-15
Exhibit 6-13.	Highway Capital Outlay by Improvement Type, 1997	6-17
Exhibit 6-14.	Distribution of Capital Outlay by Improvement Type and Functional Class, 1997	6-18
Exhibit 6-15.	Federal Share of Highway Capital Outlay, 1957-1997	6-19
Exhibit 6-16.	Distribution of Highway Capital Outlay by Improvement Type: 1993, 1995, and 1997	6-19
Exhibit 6-17.	Public Transit Funding: Current and Constant (1997) Dollars, 1961-1997	6-21
Exhibit 6-18.	Average Annual Growth Rate in Public Funding for Transit	6-21
Exhibit 6-19.	Public Funding for Transit by Government Jurisdiction, 1961-1997	6-22
Exhibit 6-20.	Public Funding for Transit by Government Jurisdiction Selected Years 1956-1997	6-22
Exhibit 6-21.	Federal Share of Public Funding for Transit, 1961-1997	6-23
Exhibit 6-22.	Revenue Sources for Transit Financing, 1997	6-23
Exhibit 6-23.	Sources of Transit Capital Funds, 1990-1997	6-24
Exhibit 6-24.	Transit Capital Expenditures by Type of Expenditure, 1997	6-24
Exhibit 6-25.	Mass Transit Operating Expenses by Mode, 1988-1997	6-25
Exhibit 6-26.	Disbursements for Transit Operations - All Modes by Function, 1997	6-25
Exhibit 7-1.	Comparison of Highway, Bridge and Transit Investment Requirement Projections with those in the 1997 C&P Report	7-5
Exhibit 7-2.	Economic-Based Approach to Transportation Investments Schematic	7-8
Exhibit 7-3.	Investment Requirements at Different Minimum BCRs	7-16
Exhibit 7-4.	Highway Investment Requirements 1998-2017, Maximum Economic Investment Scenario	7-17

Exhibit 7-5.	Highway Investment Requirements 1998-2017, Maintain Current Conditions Scenario	7-18
Exhibit 7-6.	Comparison of Highway Investment Requirements 1995, 1997 and 1999 C&P Reports	7-20
Exhibit 7-7.	Bridge Investment Requirements 1998-2017, Eliminate Deficiencies Scenario	7-23
Exhibit 7-8.	Bridge Investment Requirements 1998-2017, Maintain Backlog Scenario	7-24
Exhibit 7-9.	Comparison of Bridge Investment Requirements 1993, 1995, 1997 and 1999 C&P Reports	7-24
Exhibit 7-10.	Average Annual Investment Required to Maintain Highways and Bridges	7-27
Exhibit 7-11.	1998–2017 Cost to Maintain Highways and Bridges, Distribution by Improvement Type	7-28
Exhibit 7-12.	Average Annual Investment Required to Improve Highways and Bridges	7-29
Exhibit 7-13.	1998-2017 Cost to Improve Highways and Bridges, Distribution by Improvement Type	7-29
Exhibit 7-14.	Summary of Transit Average Annual Investment Requirements 1998-2017	7-30
Exhibit 7-15.	Annual Transit Investment Requirements by Type of Improvement	7-31
Exhibit 7-16.	Annual Average Cost to Maintain and Improve Transit Conditions and Performance 1998-2017	7-31
Exhibit 7-17.	Annual Average Cost to Maintain and Improve Transit Conditions and Performance 1998-2017	7-32
Exhibit 7-18.	Average Annual Investment Requirements by Asset Type and Type of Improvement	7-33
Exhibit 8-1.	Highway, Bridge and Transit Spending Versus Investment Requirements, Compared with Data from the 1997 C&P Report	8-2
Exhibit 8-2.	Average Annual Investment Required to Maintain Highways and Bridges Versus 1997 Capital Outlay	8-5
Exhibit 8-3.	Average Annual Investment Required to Improve Highways and Bridges Versus 1997 Capital Outlay	8-5
Exhibit 8-4.	Highway and Bridge Investment Requirements and 1997 Capital Outlay, Percentage by Improvement Type	8-6
Exhibit 8-5.	Projected Highway Capital Expenditures 1998-2003, All Levels of Government	8-7
Exhibit 8-6.	Average Annual Investment Required to Maintain and Improve Highways and Bridges Versus Projected 1998-2003 Capital Outlay	8-8
Exhibit 8-7.	Distribution of Investment Requirements by Five-Year Periods	8-9
Exhibit 8-8.	Average Annual Investment Requirements Versus Current Spending: 1995, 1997 and 1999 C&P Reports	8-10
Exhibit 8-9.	Average Annual Transit Investment Requirements Versus 1997 Capital Expenditures	8-11
Exhibit 8-10.	Average Annual Transit Investment Requirements Versus 1997 Capital Spending by Asset Type	8-12
Exhibit 8-11.	Projected Transit Capital Expenditures 1998-2003, All Levels of Government	8-12
Exhibit 8-12.	Projected Capital Expenditures Versus Investment Requirements, 1998–2003	8-13
Exhibit 8-13.	Distribution of Transit Investment Requirements by Five-Year Periods	8-14
Exhibit 8-14.	Average Annual Transit Investment Requirements versus Current Spending: 1995, 1997, and 1999 Conditions and Performance Reports	8-14
Exhibit 9-1.	Projected Average Annual VMT Growth Rates, 1998-2017, for Different Possible Funding Levels	9-3
Exhibit 9-2.	VMT Growth Rates, 1977-1997	9-5
Exhibit 9-3.	Annual Projected Highway VMT at Different Funding Levels	9-6

Exhibit 9-4.	Projected Changes in Highway User Costs Compared to 1997 Levels for Different Possible Funding Levels	9-7
Exhibit 9-5.	Conditions and Performance of Interstate Routes with Heavy Truck Traffic	9-9
Exhibit 9-6.	Current Capital Spending Levels versus Rehabilitation and Replacement Needs, 1993-1997	9-10
Exhibit 10-1.	Impact of Alternate VMT Growth Assumptions on Investment Requirements	10-3
Exhibit 10-2.	Impact of Other Alternate Assumptions on Investment Requirements	10-4
Exhibit 10-3.	Impact of Alternative PMT Growth Rates on Transit Investment Requirements	10-7
Exhibit A-1.	Interstate Pavement Condition, by Percent Total Miles, 1997	A-4
Exhibit A-2.	Rural and Urban Interstate Lane Width, 1997	A-4
Exhibit A-3.	Rural Interstate Horizontal and Vertical Alignment, 1997	A-5
Exhibit A-4.	Interstate Bridge Condition, 1998	A-6
Exhibit A-5.	Interstate Capital Expenditures, 1997	A-8
Exhibit A-6.	Projected 10-Year Capital Expenditures on Interstates	A-8
Exhibit A-7.	Projected Rural Interstate Pavement Condition in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-10
Exhibit A-8.	Projected Rural Interstate Pavement Condition and Operational Performance in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-12
Exhibit A-9.	Projected Urban Interstate Pavement Condition in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-14
Exhibit A-10.	Projected Urban Interstate Pavement Condition and Operational Performance in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-16
Exhibit A-11.	Projected Rural and Urban Interstate Pavement Condition in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-19
Exhibit A-12.	Projected Rural and Urban Interstate Pavement Condition and Operational Performance in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-21
Exhibit A-13.	Projected Rural Interstate Bridge Investment Backlog in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-23
Exhibit A-14.	Projected Urban Interstate Bridge Investment Backlog in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-25
Exhibit A-15.	Projected Interstate Highway and Bridge Conditions and Performance in 2007, for Different Possible Funding Levels	A-27
Exhibit A-16.	1998-2007 Cost to Maintain and Cost to Improve the Interstate System	A-29
Exhibit A-17.	1998-2007 Cost to Maintain Interstates Compared to Projected Interstate Spending	A-30
Exhibit A-18.	1998-2007 Cost to Improve Interstates Compared to Projected Interstate Spending	A-31
Exhibit A-19.	NHS Funding Shortfall under Interstate Cost to Maintain Scenario	A-34
Exhibit B-1.	Highway Mileage, Lane Mileage, and Vehicle-Miles Traveled on the National Highway System Compared to All Roads, by Functional System, 1997	B-2
Exhibit B-2.	Rural and Urban NHS Mileage, Lane Miles, and VMT, 1997	B-3
Exhibit B-3.	Percentage of NHS Miles with Acceptable Ride Quality, 1993-1997	B-3
Exhibit B-4.	1997 National Highway System Percent Miles by Pavement Roughness Category	B-4
Exhibit B-5.	Comparison of 1995 and 1997 Pavement Condition on the NHS	B-5
Exhibit B-6.	Interim NHS Bridge Deficiencies	B-5
Exhibit B-7.	NHS Bridges: Percent Deficient, 1994-1998	B-6
Exhibit B-8.	Conditions and Performance of NHS Routes With Heavy Truck Traffic	B-7
Exhibit B-9.	NHS Component of Cost to Improve Highways and Bridges	B-8

Exhibit B-10.	NHS Component of Cost to Maintain Highway and Bridges	B-8
Exhibit B-11.	Average Annual Investment Required to Maintain and Improve Highways and Bridges Versus 1997 Capital Outlay on and off the NHS	B-9
Exhibit C-1.	Pavement Rating Categories	C-2
Exhibit C-2.	Pavement Conditions	C-2
Exhibit C-3.	Geometric/Physical Problems	C-3
Exhibit C-4.	Geometric Deficiencies	C-3
Exhibit C-5.	Railroad Crossing Problems	C-4
Exhibit C-6.	Operational Problems	C-5
Exhibit C-7.	Funding by Source	C-6
Exhibit C-8.	Funding by Terminal Type	C-6
Exhibit C-9.	Annual Investment Levels per Mile	C-6
Exhibit C-10.	Freight Connector Mileage by Jurisdiction	C-7
Exhibit D-1.	Idealized Transportation Investment Decision-Making Process	D-2
Exhibit D-2.	A Generic Asset Management System	D-6
Exhibit D-3.	Strategic Asset Management Framework Requirements	D-7
Exhibit E-1.	Types of Lands Managed by Federal Land Management Agencies	E-2
Exhibit E-2.	Summary of Roads Serving Federal and Indian Lands	E-3
Exhibit E-3.	Federal and Indian Land Use	E-4
Exhibit E-4.	Economic Benefits of Federal and Indian Lands	E-4
Exhibit E-5.	Roads Serving National Forests	E-6
Exhibit E-6.	Forest Highways Pavement Condition	E-6
Exhibit E-7.	Park Roads and Parkways	E-7
Exhibit E-8.	Park Roads and Parkways Pavement Condition	E-7
Exhibit E-9.	Indian Reservation Roads	E-8
Exhibit E-10.	Indian Reservation Roads Pavement Condition	E-8
Exhibit E-11.	Fish and Wildlife Service Roads	E-8
Exhibit E-12.	Wildlife Refuge Roads Pavement Condition	E-8
Exhibit E-13.	Bureau of Land Management Roads	E-9
Exhibit E-14.	Public Lands Development Roads Pavement Condition	E-9
Exhibit E-15.	Bureau of Reclamation Roads	E-10
Exhibit E-16.	Roads on Military Installations	E-11
Exhibit E-17.	Military Installation Roads Pavement Condition	E-11
Exhibit E-18.	Roads Serving COE Lakes	E-11
Exhibit E-19.	FLHP Annual Authorization	E-12
Exhibit E-20.	Federal Roads Not Funded Under the Federal Lands Highway Program	E-13
Exhibit E-21.	Construction and Maintenance Funds - Roads Serving Federal Lands	E-14
Exhibit G-1.	Impact of Analytical Changes on Amounts Reported in the 1997 C&P Report	G-2
Exhibit H-1.	Transit Function Costs by Time of Day, 1995	H-1
Exhibit H-2.	Transit Subsidies by Function and Time of Day, 1995	H-2
Exhibit H-3.	Transit's Estimated Benefits by Market Niche, 1995	H-2
Exhibit H-4.	Per-Trip Summary of Transit's Economic Performance, 1995	H-3
Exhibit I-1.	Asset Decay Curves	I-3

List of Q&A's

Q: What constitutes highway jurisdiction?	2-4
Q: Are there any major changes that might explain the recent growth in rail passenger mileage?	2-17
Q: Do other measures of pavement condition exist?	3-5
Q: How are “structurally deficient” and “functionally obsolete” bridges defined?	3-14
Q: Are all deficient bridges unsafe to cross?	3-14
Q: How do recent deficient bridge data compare with the FHWA Strategic Plan target for deficient bridges in 2008?	3-15
Q: Why was the average bus condition level for 1995 and prior years revised downward?	3-24
Q: What is the Federal Highway Administration view of the reports produced by the Texas Transportation Institute on Urban Roadway Congestion?	4-5
Q: How many metropolitan areas have experienced increased congestion since 1996?	4-11
Q: Why did average rail speeds fall between 1995 and 1997?	4-13
Q: What has contributed to the decline in the fatality rate for truck drivers?	5-6
Q: Have air bags been a factor in reducing fatalities and saving lives?	5-7
Q: Were all revenues generated by motor-fuel taxes, motor vehicle taxes and fees, and tolls in 1997 used for highways?	6-5
Q: Have State governments always contributed the largest share of funding for total highway expenditures?	6-5
Q: If all “highway-user revenues” collected were used for highways, would they be sufficient to cover all highway expenditures?	6-8
Q: How are “Maintenance” and “Highway and Traffic Services” defined in this report?	6-10
Q: What basis is used for distinguishing between capital expenditures and maintenance expenditures?	6-10
Q: What indices are used to convert current dollars to constant dollars in this report?	6-13
Q: How are System Preservation, System Expansion and System Enhancement defined?	6-16
Q: Do the changes seen between 1995 and 1997 signal the start of a new trend towards system expansion and away from system preservation?	6-20
Q: What is the reliability of the highway investment requirement projections made in this report?	7-10

Q: How closely does the HERS model simulate the actual project selection processes of State and local highway agencies?	7-11
Q: Does HERS identify a single “correct” level of highway investment?	7-11
Q: What assumptions does the HERS model make about the travel forecasts in the HPMS dataset?	7-12
Q: What are some examples of the types of behavior that the travel demand elasticity features in HERS represent?	7-12
Q: How do the travel demand elasticity features in HERS reflect the effects of Transportation Demand Management (TDM) programs?	7-13
Q: Are the travel demand elasticity values used in HERS appropriate for use in other types of applications?	7-14
Q: How does the highway backlog cited in this report compare with the value included in the 1993 C&P report?	7-14
Q: Why is the investment required to Maintain User Costs treated as a “benchmark” rather than a full-fledged “scenario”?	7-18
Q: What are the strengths and weaknesses of the Maintain User Costs Benchmark?	7-19
Q: HERS is used as an economic tool for roadway investment analysis. Is there a similar tool for bridge analysis?	7-22
Q: Are any preliminary results available from the BIAS model?	7-25
Q: How were the investment requirements identified by HERS split between system preservation and system expansion?	7-26
Q: Would it be necessary to invest the full amount identified as the Cost to Maintain Highways and Bridges, in order to maintain average pavement condition and the backlog of bridge deficiencies?	7-27
Q: Can highway capacity be expanded without adding new lanes or new roads and bridges?	7-28
Q: Does this report recommend any specific level of investment?	8-4
Q: To what extent is the “gap” between current funding levels and the investment requirement scenarios the result of assumptions made about future VMT growth?	8-5
Q: What factors do the State Highway Funding Models use in their projections?	8-7
Q: How would the “gap” between current funding levels and the investment requirement scenarios be affected if spending was compared with investment requirements for the first 5-year funding period rather than the average annual investment requirements over 20 years?	8-10

Q: Why does moving from the Maintain Conditions and Performance scenario to the Improve Conditions and Performance scenario have a much greater impact on investment requirements for non-vehicle expenditures than it does on vehicle expenditures?	8-11
Q: How were the projected transit capital expenditures for the period 1998-2003 calculated?	8-13
Q: Do the travel demand elasticity features in HERS differentiate between the components of user costs based on how accurately highway users perceive them?	9-2
Q: What are the implications of the higher VMT growth rates under the Cost to Improve Highways and Bridges?	9-5
Q: If future travel growth doesn't slow as quickly as the forecasts assume, how would this affect future investment requirements?	9-6
Q: Are the recent trends in condition and performance consistent with the "gap" identified in Chapter 8 between current funding and the Cost to Maintain Highways and Bridges?	9-8
Q: How do the conditions and performance of Interstate routes with heavy truck traffic compare to those with fewer trucks?	9-9
Q: Why haven't transit conditions and performance diminished substantially if there has been a capital investment gap?	9-11
Q: Have any of these innovative funding strategies been implemented?	9-13
Q: Does the accuracy of the investment requirements projected by HERS depend on how accurately the travel forecasts in HPMS predict what future VMT growth will be?	10-2
Q: Why does reducing VMT growth rates in urbanized areas over 1 million in population have a smaller impact on investment requirements than raising the VMT growth rates for all highway sections?	10-4
Q: How does the projected split between reconstruction and 3R compare with current spending patterns on rural Interstates?	A-9
Q: Does the IRI threshold of 122 shown in Exhibit A-7 have any special significance?	A-9
Q: Does the V/SF ratio threshold of 0.80 shown in Exhibit A-8 have any special significance?	A-11
Q: How does the projected split between reconstruction and 3R compare with current spending patterns on urban Interstates?	A-13
Q: Does the IRI threshold of 101 shown in Exhibit A-9 have any special significance?	A-15
Q: Does the V/SF ratio threshold of 0.95 shown in Exhibit A-10 have any special significance?	A-17
Q: How does the pattern of investments recommended by BNIP compare with current spending patterns on Interstate bridges?	A-24

Q: Why might BNIP correct a higher percentage of functional deficiencies than structural deficiencies?	A-26
Q: Would the operational performance of the Interstate system be maintained if investment reached the Cost to Maintain level?	A-29
Q: What effect would investing at the Cost to Improve Interstate Highways and Bridges level have on conditions and performance?	A-30
Q: If we use NHS funds for the widening in the Interstate Cost to Maintain scenario, will other NHS needs be met?	A-34
Q: How do NHS pavement conditions compare with pavement conditions on other roads?	B-4
Q: How do bridge conditions on the interim NHS compare with bridge conditions on other roads?	B-5
Q: How does delay on the NHS compare with delay on all arterials and collectors?	B-6
Q: How does the percentage of urban peak-hour congestion on the NHS compare to peak-hour congestion on all urban principal arterials?	B-6
Q: How do the conditions and performance of NHS routes with heavy truck traffic compare to those with fewer trucks?	B-7
Q: How reliable is this NHS finance data?	B-7
Q: Is the NHS component of the Cost to Maintain Highways and Bridges different than the results that would be obtained if only NHS sections were analyzed?	B-9
Q: Are the pavement conditions percentages presented in this appendix [E] developed using the IRI and PSR standards discussed in Chapter 3?	E-1
Q: Are the figures cited for “backlog” in this appendix [E] fully consistent with the investment backlog for all highways identified in Chapter 7?	E-1
Q: What are the improvement priorities for the land management highway system?	E-9
Q: Since State-supplied growth forecasts are utilized for large urbanized areas in this report, does this imply that the State forecasts are more accurate than the MPO regional forecasts?	G-8
Q: Could the travel demand elasticity features in HERS be modified to be more compatible with the MPO growth forecasts?	G-8



INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth in a series of combined biennial documents prepared by the Department of Transportation which satisfy requirements for reports to Congress on the condition, performance, and future capital investment requirements of the Nation's highway and transit systems. This report incorporates highway and bridge information required in 1999 by Section 502(g) of Title 23 United States Code (U.S.C.), as well as transit system information required in 2000 by Section 308(e) of Title 49 U.S.C. This edition also includes the results of a study on Interstate Needs required by Section 1107(c) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21).

Beginning in 1993, the Department combined two existing report series that covered highways and transit separately to form this report series. Prior to this, eleven reports had been issued on the condition and performance of the Nation's highway systems, starting in 1968. Five separate reports on the Nation's transit systems' performance and conditions were issued beginning in 1984.

Report Purpose

This document is intended to provide Congress and other decision makers with an objective appraisal of highway, bridge and transit finance, physical conditions, operational performance, and future investment requirements. This report offers a comprehensive, factual background to support development and evaluation of legislative, program, and budget options at all levels of government. It also serves as a primary source of information for national and international news media, transportation associations, and industry.

This report consolidates conditions, performance, and finance data provided by States, local governments, and mass transit operators, to provide a national level summary. Some of these underlying data are available through the Department's regular statistical publications. The future investment requirements analyses are developed specifically for this document and provide national level projections only. The Department does not project future investment requirements for individual States or localities.

Report Changes

Section 5102 of TEA-21 designated the highway and bridge portion of this document as the "Infrastructure Investment Needs Report," and required several changes in the content. This edition of the report has responded to these requirements by adding estimates of the current backlog of cost-beneficial highway and bridge projects, and adding a table to each chapter that

directly compares the key statistics from the current report with those from the 1997 edition. An investment requirements scenario showing the costs of maintaining the physical conditions of the highway system has been added, to improve comparability of this report to the 1993 and 1995 versions and to the bridge and transit investment requirements scenarios.

Highlights of the Report

The *1999 Status of the Nation's Highways, Bridges, and Transit: Conditions and Performance* report to Congress continues in the tradition of this series, providing the American people with an important national perspective on the physical and operating characteristics of the highway, bridge, and transit portions of our Nation's intermodal transportation system. The Report draws together information on multiple aspects of the systems, which not only describes the systems but also provides indicators of their performance and contribution to our vital national interests and quality of life. Further, it characterizes the financial resources applied to these systems to date and the future investments necessary if they are to perform as designed and complement other national efforts to improve productivity.

Strikingly obvious is the immense scale of these systems: the extent to which the facilities themselves stretch across the Nation, representing the net result of technology and financial investments made over the past century; the sheer magnitude of the demands placed on these systems by a people for whom mobility is basic to their existence; the transportation services provided every day, around the clock; and the collective commitment necessary to maximize the benefits of these assets. The picture that comes through reflects achievements reached and goals still being strived for.

Key findings of the report include:

- Although most of our citizens are highly mobile, the findings of the latest National Personal Transportation Survey (NPTS) show there are disparities in transportation system usage among groups within our society. This indicates that significant barriers to mobility persist for people with disabilities, the elderly, low-income households, recent immigrants, and people of color.
- The priority of safety is reflected in the inclusion of safety statistics in the report as an indicator of system performance. The reduction in the fatality rate from 25.9 per 100,000 population in 1966 to 15.7 per 100,000 population in 1997 in an environment where licensed drivers grew by nearly 80 percent and automobile travel has grown by 177 percent is impressive. However, with 42,013 deaths and 3.35 million injuries in 1997, and rates per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT) of 1.6 deaths and 131 injuries, significant opportunities for improvement remain.
- The balance among jurisdictional ownership, functional class, and location of highways and bridges has been relatively stable, with public road mileage overwhelmingly local and rural. With VMT increasing on every functional system, usage trends reinforce the dominance of travel in urban areas. Interestingly, from 1995 to 1997, rural highway VMT growth outpaced urban highway VMT growth at 7.2 percent as opposed to 4.1 percent in contrast to the 10-year trend in favor of urban travel growth.
- Transit system route mileage shows a 10-year increase of 44.2 percent in rail service and 10.4 percent in non-rail service. Service capacity, measured in bus-equivalent vehicle revenue miles, increased 22.4 percent for rail, while non-rail capacity increased 17.1 percent over the period. After declining slightly between 1987 and 1993, passenger travel on public transit

showed renewed growth between 1993 and 1997, as rail passenger miles increased by 18.3 percent and non-rail passenger miles increased 3.8 percent. In 1997, rail transit accounted for nearly 53 percent of passenger miles while providing 50 percent of vehicle capacity operating on just 5 percent of the Nation's transit route miles.

- Overall, highway system conditions as measured by pavement condition, ride quality, alignment adequacy, and bridge ratings are improving although they fluctuate by location and functional class. The estimated average condition of the urban bus fleet is adequate, and has been relatively constant for the last decade. Rail vehicle conditions have declined since 1987, due primarily to the deterioration of the Nation's heavy rail fleet. The condition of other rail capital assets has improved since the mid-1980s, reflecting the rehabilitation and replacement of these assets and the investments in new rail systems and extensions.
- Capturing the quality of operational performance, as represented by various measures of traffic congestion, is very difficult. However, there is a strong recognition of the significance of congestion to transport safety, cost, and time as the reliability of the system decays. Measures of congestion differ in whether congestion is getting better, worse, or is continuing about the same. Measures of travel density clearly show increasing density, in travel per lane mile. However, the effect of this increase in density is less clear. A traditional measure of congestion, the volume/capacity ratio during the peak hour has remained at about the same value in urban areas for the past decade. Delay per vehicle mile of travel, which was added to the report this year, is intended to capture the effects of congestion throughout the day. This measure is available only for the past 4 years. Over these past 4 years, overall urban delay per VMT has increased. However, for the past 2 years, this measure has decreased. Whether this 2-year track is the beginning of a trend remains to be seen. More work is needed to develop a useful metric of congestion that will be consistent, credible, and feasible to collect.

Public investment in surface transport is at its highest level ever. All units of government, including Federal, State and local jurisdictions, share the responsibility of developing and maintaining our transportation systems. The private sector is also involved in certain toll roads and transit systems.

- All levels of government spent \$101.3 billion for highways and bridges in 1997, an 8.4 percent increase over 1995. Of this total \$48.7 billion was for capital improvements, a 10.2 percent increase. The Federal government contributed 41.1 percent of the capital outlay, down from 44.5 percent in 1995.
- All levels of government spent \$25.1 billion for transit, a 5.5 percent increase over 1995. Of this total \$7.6 billion was for capital improvements, an increase of 8.6 percent. Fares and other system generated revenues were 33 percent of total revenues. In 1997, contributions from the Federal government accounted for 54 percent of transit capital expenditures, 27 percent of public funding for transit, and 18 percent of total system revenues. Each of these percentages represented a slight increase in the federal share relative to 1995.

The unique contribution of this report is its analysis of future national investment requirements to meet the anticipated demands in both highway travel and transit ridership. The analysis focuses on two sets of investment requirement scenarios, and identifies the impacts of investment levels on various system performance benchmarks. These projections are developed using economics-based analysis tools described in detail for highways, transit, and bridges.

- If average annual capital investment on highways and bridges by all levels of government for the next 20 years reaches \$56.6 billion in 1997 dollars, it is projected that the physical conditions of highways and bridges would be maintained. This level of investment would not maintain the same level of operational performance. This estimate includes a mix of preservation, expansion, and enhancement improvements intended to attain the highest possible level of benefits for highway-users, while achieving the goal of maintaining pavement and bridge conditions. An additional \$3.5 billion would be required annually to maintain user costs at the current level. Maintaining travel times at current levels would require an additional \$17.1 billion. To accomplish all beneficial improvements to the highway and bridge systems is estimated to take an average annual investment of \$94.0 billion.
- The estimated average annual investment required to maintain the same physical conditions and operating performance of our Nation's transit systems as in 1997, by replacing and rehabilitating deteriorated assets and expanding capacity to accommodate expected transit passenger travel growth, is \$10.8 billion. The cost to improve conditions and performance is estimated to be \$16.0 billion.
- Capital spending on highways and bridges would need to rise 16.3 percent above 1997 levels to reach the \$56.6 billion projected as the "Cost to Maintain" the physical conditions of highways and bridges. Over the life of TEA-21, this difference is expected to decline to 5.7 percent. Capital spending on transit would need to increase 41.0 percent to reach the \$10.8 billion projected as the "Cost to Maintain" transit systems. This difference is expected to decline to 12.9 percent over the life of TEA-21. To reach the level of the investment requirements to "improve" the systems would require an increase in capital spending of 92.9 percent for highways and bridges and 110.2 percent for transit.
- If average annual highway investment remains at 1997 level in constant dollars over the next 20 years, urban VMT would be expected to grow at an average annual rate between 1.78 and 1.83 percent. Rural VMT would be expected to grow at an average annual rate of between 2.68 and 2.72 percent. Travel growth for urbanized areas over one million population would be expected to grow at an average annual rate of between 1.66 and 1.70 percent. Increased investment would be expected to result in higher travel growth rates. These projections recognize that if additional highway capacity is provided, more travel is expected to occur than if the capacity additions are not provided. If congestion on a facility increases, some travelers will respond by shifting to alternate modes or routes, or will forgo some trips entirely. In the long term, increased congestion may lead to changes in lifestyles and industrial practices. Such adjustments will affect the productivity and economy of the Nation.

Report Organization

In this edition, the four major sections contained in previous versions of the report have been divided into ten smaller chapters, each of which focuses on a narrower topic area. Most chapters begin with a combined summary of highway and transit issues, followed by separate sections discussing highways and transit in more detail. This structure is intended to accommodate report users who may only be interested in one of the two modes. Information that relates to only one of the two modes represented in this report is included in appendices.

- The Executive Summary contains one page of highlights each on the highway and transit components in each chapter;
- Chapter 1 discusses issues relating to personal mobility;

- Chapter 2 describes recent trends in highway and transit demand and system characteristics;
- Chapter 3 depicts current physical conditions of highways, bridges, and transit systems;
- Chapter 4 describes the current operational performance of highways and transit systems;
- Chapter 5 discusses issues relating to the safety performance of highways and transit systems;
- Chapter 6 outlines highway and transit revenues sources and expenditure patterns for all units of government;
- Chapter 7 projects future highway, bridge and transit capital investment requirements under certain defined scenarios;
- Chapter 8 compares current levels of capital investment for highways, bridges and transit with projected future investment requirements;
- Chapter 9 describes the impacts that past investment has had on the conditions and operational performance of highways, bridges and transit systems and predicts the impacts that different levels of future investment would have; and
- Chapter 10 discusses how the projections of future highway and transit investment requirements would be affected by changing the assumptions about travel growth and other key variables.
- Chapter 11 identifies limitations in the current analysis, and raises issues for future discussion.
- Appendix A reports the results of the Interstate System Needs Study required by Section 1107(c) of TEA-21;
- Appendix B provides information about the National Highway System that corresponds to the information provided in Chapters 2-10 for all highways and bridges;
- Appendix C provides information on the condition of NHS intermodal freight connectors;
- Appendix D discusses issues relating to asset management and investment strategies;
- Appendix E provides information on the conditions and performance of Federal Lands Highways;
- Appendix F discusses how Federal highway safety programs work to address the issues raised in Chapter 5;
- Appendix G describes changes in the highway investment requirement methodology;
- Appendix H discusses the costs and benefits of transit; and
- Appendix I includes supplementary technical information on the transit investment requirement methodology.

Highway Data Sources

Highway condition and performance data are derived from the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS), a cooperative data/analytical effort dating from the late-1970s that involves the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and State and local governments. The HPMS includes a statistically drawn sample of about 130,000 highway sections. All HPMS data and estimates of future travel demand are provided to the FHWA through State departments of transportation from existing State or local government databases or transportation plans and programs, including those of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs).

The HPMS data are collected in accordance with the “Highway Performance Monitoring System Field Manual for the Continuing Analytical and Statistical Data Base.” This document is designed to create a uniform and consistent database by providing standardized collection, coding, and reporting instructions for the various data items. The FHWA reviews the State-reported HPMS data for completeness, consistency, and adherence to reporting guidelines. Where necessary, and with close State cooperation, data may be adjusted to improve completeness, consistency, and uniformity.

State and local finance data are derived from the financial reports provided by the States to FHWA in accordance with the “Guide to Reporting Highway Statistics.” This is the same data used in compiling the annual “Highway Statistics” report. The FHWA adjusts these data to improve completeness, consistency, and uniformity.

Bridge Data Sources

Bridge condition data are obtained from the National Bridge Inventory (NBI), which includes all bridges that are covered by the National Bridge Inspection Standards and are located on a public road. Generally, each bridge is inspected at least once every 2 years, although bridges with higher risks of engineering problems are inspected more frequently, and certain low-risk bridges get less frequent inspections. All bridge information is verified for completeness, consistency and adherence to reporting guidelines.

Transit Data Sources

Transit data are derived from the National Transit Database (NTD). (This information was formerly known as Section 15 data). The NTD includes detailed summaries of financial and operating information provided to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) by the Nation’s transit agencies. The NTD program provides information needed for planning public transportation services and investment strategies. Supplementing this information on transit facilities and fleets with information collected directly from transit operators provides a complete picture of the Nation’s transit facilities and equipment.

Investment Requirement Analytical Procedures

The earlier versions of the reports in this series relied exclusively on engineering-based estimates for future investment requirements, which considered only the costs of transportation agencies. This philosophy failed to provide another critical dimension of transportation programs; that is, to provide service to users while minimizing overall costs. Executive Order 12893, *Principles for Federal Infrastructure Investments*, directs each executive department and agency with infrastructure responsibilities to base investments on “...systematic analysis of expected benefits and costs, including both quantitative and qualitative measures...”. To address the deficiencies in earlier versions of this report and to meet the challenge of this executive order, new approaches to this analysis have been developed. The analytical tools now used in this report have added an economic overlay to the projection of future investment requirements. These newer tools use benefit/cost analysis to minimize the combination of capital investment and user costs to achieve different levels of highway performance.

The highway investment requirements in this report are developed in part from the Highway Economic Requirements System (HERS) that uses marginal benefit/cost analysis to optimize highway investment. The HERS addresses highway deficiencies by quantifying the agency and user costs of various types and combinations of improvements, including vehicle operating, travel time, and safety costs.

The transit investment analysis is based on the Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM). The TERM consolidates older engineering-based evaluation tools and introduces a benefit/cost analysis to ensure that investment benefits exceed investment costs. Specifically, TERM identifies the investments needed to replace and rehabilitate existing assets, improve operating performance, and expand transit systems to address the growth in travel demand, and then evaluates these needs on the basis of costs and benefits in order to select future investments.

This report introduces the National Bridge Investment Analysis System (BIAS) which adds an economic component to the bridge analysis. However, the bridge investment requirements still rely in part on an older engineering-based model.

Plans for Future Reports

The Department intends to submit the fifth in this series of combined highway, bridge and transit reports by June 2001. This document will incorporate the highway and bridge information required in 2001 by Section 502(g) of Title 23 United States Code (U.S.C.), as well as transit system information required in 2002 by Section 308(e) of Title 49 U.S.C. This report will be developed utilizing 1999 data.