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Foreword

Cable-stayed bridges have become the form of choice over the past several decades for bridges in the medium- to long-span range. In some cases, serviceability problems involving large amplitude vibrations of stay cables under certain wind and rain conditions have been observed. This study was conducted to develop a set of consistent design guidelines for mitigation of excessive cable vibrations on cable-stayed bridges.

The project team started with a thorough review of existing literature; this review indicated that while the rain/wind problem is known in sufficient detail, galloping of dry inclined cables was the most critical wind-induced vibration mechanism in need of further experimental research. A series of wind tunnel tests was performed to study this mechanism. Analytical and experimental research was performed to study mitigation methods, covering a range of linear and nonlinear dampers and crossties. The study also included brief studies on live load-induced vibrations and establishing driver/pedestrian comfort criteria.

Based on the above, design guidelines for the mitigation of wind-induced vibrations of stay cables were developed. As a precautionary note, the state of the art in stay cable vibration mitigation is not an exact science. These new guidelines are only intended for use by professionals with experience in cable-stayed bridge design, analysis, and wind engineering, and should only be applied with engineering judgment and due consideration of special conditions surrounding each project.
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Cable-stayed bridges have become the form of choice over the past several decades for bridges in the medium- to long-span range. In some cases, serviceability problems involving large amplitude vibrations of stay cables under certain wind and rain conditions have been observed. This study was conducted to develop a set of consistent design guidelines for mitigation of excessive cable vibrations on cable-stayed bridges.

To accomplish this objective, the project team started with a thorough review of existing literature to determine the state of knowledge and identify any gaps that must be filled to enable the formation of a consistent set of design recommendations. This review indicated that while the rain/wind problem is known in sufficient detail, galloping of dry inclined cables was the most critical wind-induced vibration mechanism in need of further experimental research. A series of wind tunnel tests was performed to study this mechanism. Analytical and experimental research was performed to study mitigation methods, covering a range of linear and nonlinear dampers and crossties. The study also included brief studies on live load-induced vibrations and establishing driver/pedestrian comfort criteria.

Based on the above, design guidelines for mitigation of wind-induced vibrations of stay cables were developed.
### APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>When You Know</th>
<th>Multiply By</th>
<th>To Find</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LENGTH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in</td>
<td>inches</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>millimeters</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft</td>
<td>feet</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>meters</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yd</td>
<td>yards</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>meters</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mi</td>
<td>miles</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>kilometers</td>
<td>km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AREA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mm</strong>&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>square inches</td>
<td>645.2</td>
<td>square millimeters</td>
<td>mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>square feet</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>square meters</td>
<td>m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yd&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>square yard</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>square meters</td>
<td>m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac</td>
<td>acres</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>hectares</td>
<td>ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mi&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>square miles</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>square kilometers</td>
<td>km&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VOLUME</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fl oz</td>
<td>fluid ounces</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>milliliters</td>
<td>mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gal</td>
<td>gallons</td>
<td>3.785</td>
<td>liters</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ft&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>cubic feet</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>cubic meters</td>
<td>m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yd&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>cubic yards</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>cubic meters</td>
<td>m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MASS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oz</td>
<td>ounces</td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td>grams</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lb</td>
<td>pounds</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>kilograms</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>short tons (2000 lb)</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>megagrams (or &quot;metric ton&quot;)</td>
<td>Mg (or &quot;t&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEMPERATURE (exact degrees)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°F</td>
<td>Fahrenheit</td>
<td>5 (F-32)/9</td>
<td>Celsius</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ILLUMINATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fc</td>
<td>foot-candles</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>lux</td>
<td>lx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fl</td>
<td>foot-Lamberts</td>
<td>3.426</td>
<td>candela/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>cd/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lbf</td>
<td>poundforce</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>newtons</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lbf/in&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>poundforce per square inch</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>kilopascals</td>
<td>kPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>When You Know</th>
<th>Multiply By</th>
<th>To Find</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LENGTH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>millimeters</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>inches</td>
<td>in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>meters</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>feet</td>
<td>ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>meters</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>yards</td>
<td>yd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>km</td>
<td>kilometers</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>miles</td>
<td>mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AREA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>square millimeters</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>square inches</td>
<td>in&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>square meters</td>
<td>10.764</td>
<td>square feet</td>
<td>ft&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>square meters</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>square yards</td>
<td>yd&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ha</td>
<td>hectares</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>acres</td>
<td>ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>km&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>square kilometers</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>square miles</td>
<td>mi&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VOLUME</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL</td>
<td>milliliters</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>fluid ounces</td>
<td>fl oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>liters</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>gallons</td>
<td>gal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>cubic meters</td>
<td>35.314</td>
<td>cubic feet</td>
<td>ft&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>cubic meters</td>
<td>1.307</td>
<td>cubic yards</td>
<td>yd&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MASS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>grams</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>ounces</td>
<td>oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg</td>
<td>kilograms</td>
<td>2.202</td>
<td>pounds</td>
<td>lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (or &quot;t&quot;)</td>
<td>megagrams (or &quot;metric ton&quot;)</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>short tons (2000 lb)</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEMPERATURE (exact degrees)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Celsius</td>
<td>1.8C+32</td>
<td>Fahrenheit</td>
<td>°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ILLUMINATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lx</td>
<td>lux</td>
<td>0.0929</td>
<td>foot-candles</td>
<td>fc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cd/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>candela/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.2919</td>
<td>foot-Lamberts</td>
<td>fl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>newtons</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>poundforce</td>
<td>lbf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kPa</td>
<td>kilopascals</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>poundforce per square inch</td>
<td>lbf/in&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380. (Revised March 2003)*
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Cable-stayed bridges have become the structural form of choice for medium- to long-span bridges over the past several decades. Increasingly widespread use has resulted in some cases of serviceability problems associated with stay cable large amplitude vibrations because of environmental conditions. A significant correlation had been observed between the occurrence of these large amplitude vibrations and occurrences of rain combined with wind, leading to the adoption of the term “rain/wind-induced vibrations.” However, a few instances of large amplitude vibrations without rain have also been reported in the literature.

In 1999, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) commissioned a study team to investigate wind-induced vibration of stay cables. The project team represented expertise in cable-stayed bridge design, academia, and wind engineering.

By this time, a substantial amount of research on the subject had already been conducted by researchers and cable suppliers in the United States and abroad. This work has firmly established water rivulet formation and its interaction with wind flow as the root cause of rain/wind-induced vibrations. With this understanding various surface modifications had been proposed and tested, the aim being the disruption of this water rivulet formation. Recently developed mitigation measures (such as “double-helix” surface modifications) as well as traditional measures (such as external dampers and cable crossties) have been applied to many of the newer bridges. However, the lack of a uniform criteria or a consensus in some of the other key areas, such as large amplitude galloping of dry cables, has made the practical and consistent application of the known mitigation methods difficult.

The objective of this FHWA-sponsored study was to develop a set of uniform design guidelines for vibration mitigation for stay cables on cable-stayed bridges. The project was subdivided into the following distinct tasks:

- Task C: Analyze, evaluate, and test.
- Task D: Assess mitigation.
- Task E: Formulate recommendations for future research.
- Task F: Document the project.

The initial phase of the study consisted of a collection of available literature on stay cable vibration. Because of the large volume of existing literature, the information was entered into two electronic databases. These databases were developed to be user friendly, have search capabilities, and facilitate the entering of new information as it becomes available. The databases have been turned over to FHWA for future maintenance. It is expected that these will be deployed on the Internet for use by the engineering community.

The project team conducted a thorough review of the existing literature to determine the state of knowledge and identify any gaps that must be filled to enable the formation of a consistent set of
design recommendations. This review indicated that while the rain/wind problem is known in sufficient detail, galloping of dry inclined cables was the most critical wind-induced vibration mechanism in need of further experimental research. A series of wind tunnel tests was conducted at the University of Ottawa propulsion wind tunnel to study this mechanism. This tunnel had a test section 3 meters (m) (10 feet (ft)) wide, 6 m (20 ft) high, and 12 m (39 ft) long, and could reach a maximum wind speed of 39 m/s (87 mi/h). With a removable roof section, this tunnel was ideal for the high-speed galloping tests of inclined full-scale cable segments.

The results of the project team’s dry inclined cable testing have significant implications for the design criteria of cable-stayed bridges. The 2001 Post-Tensioning Institute (PTI) Recommendations for Stay Cable Design, Testing, and Installation indicates that the level of damping required for each cable is controlled by the inclined galloping provision, which is more stringent than the provision to suppress rain/wind-induced vibrations. The testing suggests, however, that even if a low amount of structural damping is provided to the cable system, inclined cable galloping vibrations are not significant. This damping corresponds to a Scruton number of 3, which is less than the minimum of 10 established for the suppression of rain/wind-induced vibrations. Therefore, if enough damping is provided to mitigate rain/wind-induced vibrations, then dry cable instability should also be suppressed.

The project team obtained matching funds from Canada’s Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council for the testing at the University of Ottawa, effectively doubling FHWA funding for the wind tunnel testing task. The project team also supplemented the study by incorporating the work of its key team members on other ongoing, related projects at no cost to FHWA.

Analytical research covering a wide spectrum of related issues, such as the behavior of linear and nonlinear dampers and cable crossties, was performed. The research included brief studies on parametric excitation and establishing driver/pedestrian comfort criteria with respect to stay cable oscillation.

Based on the above, design guidelines for the mitigation of wind-induced vibrations of stay cables were developed. These are presented with two worked examples that illustrate their application. This is the first time such design guidelines have been proposed. They are meant to provide a level of satisfactory performance for stay cables with respect to recurring large amplitude stay oscillations due to common causes that have been identified to date, and are not intended to eliminate stay cable oscillations altogether (as this would be impractical).

It is expected that these guidelines can be refined suitably based on future observations of the actual performance of stay cables in bridges around the world as well as developments in stay cable technology. With the widespread recognition of mitigation of stay cable vibration as an important issue among long-span bridge designers, all new cable-stayed bridges are more likely than not to incorporate some form of mitigation discussed in this document. Such would provide ample future opportunities to measure the real-life performance of bridges against the design guidelines contained here.
As a precautionary note, the state of the art in mitigation of stay cable vibration is not an exact science. These new guidelines are only intended for use by professionals with experience in cable-stayed bridge design, analysis, and wind engineering, and should only be applied with engineering judgment and due consideration of special conditions surrounding each project.
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

Cable-stayed bridges are a relatively new structural form made feasible with the combination of advances in manufacturing of materials, construction technology, and analytical capabilities that took place largely within the past few decades.

The first modern cable-stayed bridge was the Stromsund Bridge built in the 1950s in Sweden. Its main span measures 183 m (600 ft), and its two symmetrical back spans measure 75 m (245 ft) each. There are only two cables on each side of the tower, anchored to steel I-edge girders.

Today, cable-stayed bridges have firmly established their unrivalled position as the most efficient and cost effective structural form in the 150-m (500-ft) to 460-m (1,500-ft) span range. The cost efficiency and general satisfaction with aesthetic aspects has propelled this span range in either direction as both increasingly shorter and longer spans are being designed and constructed. The record span built to date is the Tatara Bridge connecting the islands of Honshu and Shikoku in Japan; its main span measures 890 m (2,920 ft). In Hong Kong, the planned Stonecutters Bridge will have a 1,000-m (3,280-ft)-long main span. The early engineering approach to stay cables essentially was derived and hybridized from already established engineering experience with suspension cables and posttensioning technology.

Stay cables are laterally flexible structural members with very low fundamental frequency (first natural mode). Because of the range of different cable lengths (and thus the range of frequencies), the collection of stay cables on a cable-stayed bridge has a practical continuum of fundamental and higher mode frequencies. Thus, any excitation mechanism with any arbitrary frequency is likely to find one or more cables with either a fundamental or higher mode frequency sympathetic to the excitation. Cables also have very little inherent damping and are therefore not able to dissipate much of the excitation energy, making them susceptible to large amplitude build-up. For this reason, stay cables can be somewhat lively by nature and have been known to be susceptible to excitations, especially during construction, wind, and rain/wind conditions.

Recognition of this susceptibility of stay cables has led to the incorporation of some mitigation measures on several of the earlier structures. These included cable crossties that effectively reduce the free length of cables (increasing their frequency) and external dampers that increase cable damping. Perhaps because of the lack of widespread recognition of stay cable issues by the engineering community and supplier organizations, the application of these mitigation measures on early bridges appears to have been fairly sporadic. However, those bridges incorporating cable crossties or external dampers generally have performed well.

Field observation programs have provided the basis for characterization of stay cable vibrations and the environmental factors that induce them.\(^{(2,3,4)}\) Peak-to-peak amplitudes of up to 2 m (6 ft) have been reported, with typical values of around 60 cm (2 ft). Vibrations have been observed
primarily in the lower cable modes, with frequencies ranging approximately from 1 to 3 Hz. Early reports described the vibrations simply as transverse in the vertical plane, but detailed observations suggest more complicated elliptical loci.

High-amplitude vibrations have been observed over a limited range of wind speeds. At several bridges in Japan, the observed vibrations were restricted to a wind velocity range of 6 to 17 m/s (13 to 38 mi/h). More recent field measurements revealed large-amplitude vibrations at around 40 m/s (90 mi/h). The wind speed did not reach values high enough to determine whether these vibrations were also velocity restricted.

The stays of the Brotonne Bridge in France were observed to vibrate only when the wind direction was 20–30° relative to the bridge longitudinal axis. On the Meiko-Nishi Bridge in Japan, vibrations were observed with wind direction greater than 45° from the deck only on cables that declined in the direction of the wind. However, instances have also been reported subsequently of simultaneous vibration of stays with opposite inclinations to the wind.

From field observations it became evident that these large oscillation episodes occurred under moderate rain combined with moderate wind conditions, and hence were referred to as “rain/wind-induced vibrations.” Extensive research studies at many leading institutions over the world have undoubtedly confirmed the occurrence of rain/wind-induced vibrations. Totally unknown before its manifestation on cable-stayed bridges, the mechanisms leading to rain/wind-induced vibrations have been identified. The formation of a so-called water rivulet along the upper side of the cable under moderate rain conditions and its interaction with wind flow have been solidly established as the cause through many recent studies and wind tunnel tests. (See references 3, 7, 8, and 9.)

Based on this understanding, exterior cable surface modifications that interfere with water rivulet formation have been tried and proven to be very effective in the mitigation of rain/wind-induced vibrations. Particularly popular (and shown to be effective through experimental studies) are the double-spiral bead formations affixed to the outer surface of the cable pipes. Cable exterior pipes with such surface modifications are available from all major cable suppliers with test data applicable to the particular system. This type of spiral bead surface modification has been applied on many cable-stayed bridges both with and without other mitigation measures such as external dampers and cable ties. From the observations available to date, the bridges incorporating stay cables with effective surface modifications appear to be generally free of rain/wind-induced vibrations.

At the time of the present investigation, it was evident that the rain/wind problem essentially had been solved, at least for practical provisions for its mitigation. The Scruton number, identified later in the report, is generally accepted as the key parameter describing susceptibility of a given cable to rain/wind-induced vibrations. Raising the Scruton number by increasing damping or, alternatively, the use of cable crossties has been recognized as the standard solution for the mitigation of rain/wind-induced vibrations. Generally, these are applied in combination with a proven surface modification.
However, there was no such clarity with respect to other potential sources of cable vibration. High-speed galloping of inclined cables (discussed later) was the foremost issue that limited the designer’s options. The only effective method available for satisfying the existing criteria on galloping was to raise the natural frequency of cables through the use of cable crossties. However, the inclined dry cable galloping criteria being used was postulated on such a limited set of data that its application was frequently brought into question.

Thus, to meet the project objective of formulating design guidelines, some further experimental and analytical work was needed to supplement the existing knowledge base on stay cable vibration issues.

**PROJECT OBJECTIVES AND TASKS**

The charter of the project team, established early in the development of the program, consisted of the following objectives:

- Identify gaps in current knowledge base.
- Conduct analytical and experimental research in critical areas.
- Study performance of existing cable-stayed bridges.
- Study current mitigation methods.
- Develop procedures for aerodynamic performance assessment.
- Develop design and retrofit guidelines for stay cable vibration mitigation.

Overall project goals were translated into tasks A through F:

- Task A: Synthesize available information—reference database (appendix A, chapter 2); descriptions of wind-induced cable vibrations (appendix C, chapter 3).
- Task B: Take inventory of U.S. cable-stayed bridges—inventory database (appendix B, chapter 2).
- Task C: Perform analysis/evaluation/testing—wind tunnel testing of dry inclined cables (appendix D, chapter 3); study of mitigation methods (appendix E, appendix F, chapter 3); study of other excitation mechanisms (appendix H, chapter 3); field measurements of stay cable damping (chapter 3); study of user comfort (appendix I); calculations on mechanics of inclined cables (appendix G).
- Task D: Develop guidelines for design and retrofit (chapter 4).
- Task E: Formulate recommendations for future research (chapter 5).
- Task F: Document the project.
CHAPTER 2. COMPILATION OF EXISTING INFORMATION

REFERENCE MATERIALS

An extensive literature survey was initially performed to create a baseline for the current study. An online database of references was produced so that all members of the project team could add or extract information as necessary. The database has 198 references; includes the article titles, authors, reference information, and abstracts (when attainable); and has built-in search capabilities. Examples of search pages and a full listing of the references in this database are included in appendix A.

INVENTORY OF U.S. CABLE-STAYED BRIDGES

An inventory of cable-stayed bridges in the United States was created to organize and share existing records with the entire project team. This database includes information on geometry, cable properties, cable anchorages, aerodynamic detailing, site conditions, and observed responses to wind for 26 cable-stayed bridges. The inventory is stored in Microsoft® Access (Microsoft Office) database format, which allows for easy data entry and retrieval. Complete descriptions, examples of data forms, and a full list of bridges in the database are given in appendix B.

This electronic database of U.S. cable-stayed bridges, along with the reference database, has been given to FHWA. The databases are expected to be launched on the Internet for use by the engineering community.
CHAPTER 3. ANALYSIS, EVALUATION, AND TESTING

MECHANICS OF WIND-INDUCED VIBRATIONS

There are a number of mechanisms that can potentially lead to vibrations of stay cables. Some of these types of excitation are more critical or probable than others, but all are listed here for completeness:

- Vortex excitation of an isolated cable or groups of cables.
- Rain/wind-induced vibrations of cables.
- Wake galloping of groups of cables.
- Galloping of single cables inclined to the wind.
- Galloping of cables with ice accumulations.
- Aerodynamic excitation of overall bridge modes of vibration involving cable motion.
- Motions caused by wind turbulence buffeting.
- Motions caused by fluctuating cable tensions.

All of these mechanisms are discussed in detail in appendix C. Vortex excitation, rain/wind, wake galloping of groups of cables, and galloping of single dry inclined cables all require careful consideration by the designer and are summarized later in this section.

The following parameters are relevant to these wind-induced vibrations.

**Reynolds Number**

A key parameter in the description of compressible fluid flow around objects (such as wind around stay cables) is the Reynolds number. The Reynolds number is a measure of the ratio of the inertial forces of wind to the viscous forces and is given by equation 1.\(^{(10)}\)

\[
Re = \frac{\rho V D}{\mu}
\]

where:
- \(\rho\) = air density (kg/m\(^3\) (lbf/ft\(^3\))),
- \(V\) = wind velocity (m/s (ft/s)),
- \(D\) = cable diameter (m (ft)), and
- \(\mu\) = viscosity of air (g/m-s (lbf/ft-s)).

**Strouhal Number**

The Strouhal number is a dimensionless parameter relevant to vortex excitation (equation 2):
\[ S = \frac{N_s D}{V} \]  

(2)

where:

\( N_s \) = frequency of vortex excitation.

The Strouhal number remains constant over extended ranges of wind velocity. For circular cross section cables in the Reynolds number range \( 1 \times 10^4 \) to \( 3 \times 10^5 \), \( S \) is about 0.2.

**Scruton Number**

The Scruton number is an important parameter when considering vortex excitation, rain/wind-induced vibrations, wake galloping, and dry inclined cable galloping (equation 3):

\[ S_c = \frac{m \zeta}{\rho D^2} \]  

(3)

where:

\( m \) = mass of cable per unit length (kg/m (lbf/ft)),

\( \zeta \) = damping as ratio of critical damping,

\( \rho \) = air density (kg/m³ (lbf/ft³)), and

\( D \) = cable diameter (m (ft)).

This relationship shows that increasing the mass density and damping of the cables increases the Scruton number. Most types of wind-induced oscillation tend to be mitigated by increasing the Scruton number.

**Vortex Excitation of an Isolated Cable and Groups of Cables**

Vortex excitation is probably the most classical type of wind-induced vibration. It is characterized by limited-amplitude vibrations at relatively low wind speeds. Vortex excitation of a single isolated cable is caused by the alternate shedding of vortices from the two sides of the cable when the wind is approximately perpendicular to the cable axis. The wind velocity at which the vortex excitation frequency matches the natural frequency \( (N_r) \) is found in equation 4 by using the Strouhal number \( S \):

\[ V = \frac{N_r D}{S} \]  

(4)

The amplitude of the cable oscillations is inversely proportional to the Scruton number \( S_c \). Increasing the mass and damping of the cables increases the Scruton number and therefore reduces oscillation amplitudes.
Inherent cable damping ratios can range anywhere from 0.0005 to 0.01, and an accurate value is difficult to predict. The lower end of this range is typical of very long cable stays without any grout infill, while the upper end of this range is more typical of shorter cable stays with grouting and perhaps some external damping. A realistic estimate of inherent cable damping ratios on inservice bridges is in the range from 0.001 to 0.005. (See chapter 3 for field measurements.)

For example, a cable consisting of steel strands grouted inside the cable pipe and with a damping ratio ($\zeta$) of 0.005 has a Scruton number of about 12, and the amplitude of oscillation is only about 0.5 of a percent of the cable diameter. During construction and before grouting, the damping ratio of stay cables can be extremely low (e.g., 0.001), and the amplitude could conceivably increase to about 4 percent of the cable diameter, which is still small. Therefore, vortex shedding from the cables is unlikely to be a major vibration problem for cable-stayed bridges. By adding a small amount of damping, vortex excitation will be suppressed effectively.

**Rain/Wind-Induced Vibrations**

The combination of rain and moderate wind speeds can cause high-amplitude cable vibrations at low frequencies. This phenomenon has been observed on many cable-stayed bridges and has been researched in detail.

Rain/wind-induced vibrations were first identified by Hikami and Shiraishi on the Meiko-Nishi cable-stayed bridge.\(^{(11)}\) Since then, these vibrations have been observed on other cable-stayed bridges, including the Fred Hartman Bridge in Texas, the Sidney Lanier Bridge in Georgia, the Cochrane Bridge in Alabama, the Talmadge Memorial Bridge in Georgia, the Faroe Bridge in Denmark, the Aratsu Bridge in Japan, the Tempozan Bridge in Japan, the Erasmus Bridge in Holland, and the Nanpu and Yangpu Bridges in China. These vibrations occurred typically when there was rain and moderate wind speeds (8–15 m/s (18–34 mi/h)) in the direction angled 20° to 60° to the cable plane, with the cable declined in the direction of the wind. The frequencies were low, typically less than 3 Hz. The peak amplitudes were very high, in the range of 0.25 to 1.0 m (10 inches to 3 ft), violent movements resulting in the clashing of adjacent cables observed in several cases.

Wind tunnel tests have shown that rivulets of water running down the upper and lower surfaces of the cable in rainy weather were the essential component of this aeroelastic instability.\(^{(11,12)}\) The water rivulets changed the effective shape of the cable and moved as the cable oscillated, causing cyclical changes in the aerodynamic forces which led to the wind feeding energy into oscillations. The wind direction causing the excitation was approximately 45° to the cable plane. The particular range of wind velocities that caused the oscillations appears to be that which maintained the upper rivulet within a critical zone on the upper surface of the cable.

Some of the rain/wind-induced vibrations that have been observed on cable-stayed bridges have occurred during construction when both the damping and mass of the cable system are likely to have been lower than in the completed state, resulting in a low Scruton number. For the Meiko-Nishi Bridge, the Scruton number was estimated at 1.7. The grouting of the cables adds both mass and damping, and often sleeves of visco-elastic material are added to the cable end regions,
which further raises the damping. The available circumstantial evidence indicates that the rain/wind type of vibration primarily arises as a result of some cables having exceptionally low damping, down in the $\zeta = 0.001$ range.

Since some bridges have been built without experiencing problems from rain/wind-induced vibration of cables, it appears probable that, in some cases, the level of damping naturally present is sufficient to avoid the problem. The rig test data of Saito et al., obtained using realistic cable mass and damping values, are useful in helping to define the boundary of instability for rain/wind oscillations.\(^{(13)}\) Based on their results it appears that rain wind oscillations can be reduced to a harmless level using the following criteria in equation 5 for the Scruton number:\(^{(1)}\)

\[
\frac{m\zeta}{\rho D^2} > 10
\]  

This criterion can be used to specify the amount of damping that must be added to the cable to mitigate rain/wind-induced vibrations.

Since the rain/wind oscillations are due to the formation of rivulets on the cable surface, it is probable that the instability is sensitive to the surface roughness. Several researchers have tried using small protrusions on the cable surface to solve the problem. Flamand has used helical fillets 1.5 mm (0.06 inch) high on the cables of the Normandie Bridge.\(^{(8)}\) The technique has proven successful, with a minimal increase in drag coefficient. This type of cable surface treatment is becoming a popular design feature for new cable-stayed bridges, including the Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge (Massachusetts), U.S. Grant Bridge (Ohio), Greenville Bridge (Mississippi), William Natcher Bridge (Kentucky), Maysville-Aberdeen Bridge (Kentucky), and the Cape Girardeau Bridge (Missouri).

### Wake Galloping for Groups of Cables

Wake galloping is the elliptical movement caused by variations in drag and across-wind forces for cables in the wake of other elements, such as towers or other cables. This occurs at high wind speeds and leads to large amplitude oscillations. These oscillations have been found to cause fatigue of the outer strands of bridge hangers at end clamps on suspension and arch bridges. Similar fatigue problems are a theoretical possibility on cable-stayed bridges, but to date none have been documented.

The Scruton number is an important parameter with regard to wake galloping effects. An approximate equation for the minimum wind velocity $U_{CRIT}$ above which instability can be expected due to wake galloping effects has been proposed.\(^{(1,14,15)}\) It is given by equation 6:

\[
U_{crit} = cfD\sqrt{S_c}
\]  

where:

$c$ = constant,

$f$ = natural frequency,
\[ D = \text{cable diameter, and} \]
\[ S_c = \text{Scruton number.} \]

For circular sections, the constant \( c \) has an approximate median value of 40. For cable-stayed bridges, this constant depends on the clear spacing between cables, and the following range of values based on the cable spacing is commonly used:

- \( c = 25 \) for closely spaced cables (2D to 6D spacing).
- \( c = 80 \) for normally spaced cables (generally 10D and higher).

Due to the level of uncertainty associated with practical applications, it is recommended that these values be applied conservatively, exercising engineering judgment.

The critical wind velocity may be low enough to occur commonly during the life of the bridge. Wake galloping therefore has the potential to cause serviceability problems. The equation for \( U_{CRIT} \) suggests several possibilities for mitigation. By increasing the Scruton number or natural frequency, the cables will be stable up to a higher wind velocity. However, increasing the frequency is far more effective in raising \( U_{CRIT} \) due to the square root manifestation of \( S_c \) in equation 6. The Scruton number increases with additional damping. The natural frequency may be increased by installing spacers or crossties along the cables to shorten the effective length of cable for the vibration mode of concern.

It should be noted that wake galloping is not a major design concern for normal, well-separated cable arrangements. For unusual cases, however, it is recommended that some attention be paid to the possibility of wake galloping.

**Galloping of Dry Inclined Cables**

Galloping of single dry inclined cables is a theoretical possibility. Results from one experimental study seem to suggest that this could be a concern for cable-stayed bridges.\(^{(13)}\) Theoretical formulations predict that this galloping may occur at high wind speeds with possible large-amplitude vibrations and that many existing cable-stayed bridges are susceptible, but there is no evidence of their occurrence in the field.

Single cables of circular cross section do not gallop when they are aligned normal to the wind. However, when the wind velocity has a component that is not normal to the cable axis, an instability with the same characteristics as galloping has been observed. For a single inclined cable the wind acts on an elliptical cross section of cable. An ellipticity of 2.5, corresponding to an angle of inclination of the cable of approximately 25°, can occur in the outermost cables of long-span bridges. (Ellipticity is defined as the maximum width divided by the minimum width; for example, a circle has an ellipticity of 1.0.) There is the potential for galloping instability if the level of structural damping in these cables is very low.

Saito et al. conducted a series of wind tunnel experiments on a section of bridge cable mounted on a spring suspension system.\(^{(13)}\) Their data suggest an instability criterion given approximately...
by the following (this general relation was given in a different form in equation 6 for wake galloping, with $c = 40$):

$$U / \frac{f D_{\text{crit}}}{c} = 40 \sqrt{S_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

This data was for cases where the angle between the cable axis and wind direction was 30° to 60°. The above criterion is a difficult condition to satisfy, particularly for the longer cables of cable-stayed bridges with a typical diameter of 150 to 200 mm (6 to 8 inches). Further experimental research was necessary to confirm the results of Saito et al. and to extend the range of conditions studied.\(^\text{(13)}\) All of their experiments used low levels of damping, so it was important to investigate whether galloping of an inclined cable is possible at damping ratios of 0.005 and higher.

Based on existing information, it was apparent that galloping of dry inclined cables presented the biggest concern and biggest unknown for wind-induced vibration mitigation. The project team therefore focused the wind tunnel test program on this subject, as described in chapter 3 of this report.

**WIND TUNNEL TESTING OF DRY INCLINED CABLES**

**Introduction**

From the information reported on the various types of cable vibrations due to wind loads, it was determined that galloping of dry inclined cables was the most critical issue requiring further experimental research. The wind tunnel data of Saito et al. showed evidence of dry inclined cable oscillations with some of the characteristics of galloping, and stability criteria were suggested in their paper.\(^\text{(13)}\) However, based on their criteria, many existing cable-stayed bridges would have shown more evidence of dry cable galloping than has actually been observed. To clarify the dry cable galloping phenomenon and evaluate the stability criteria proposed by Saito et al., the research team conducted a series of wind tunnel tests of a full-size 2D sectional model of an inclined cable in the propulsion wind tunnel at the Montreal Road campus of the National Research Council Canada Institute for Aerospace Research (NRC-IAR).\(^\text{(13)}\) A full description of the testing is included in appendix D.

The objectives of this study were to:

- Investigate the existence of dry inclined cable galloping.
- Clarify the mechanisms of this type of vibration.
- Determine the effects of the following parameter—wind speed, structural damping, surface roughness, and wind direction.
- Refine the stability criterion proposed by Saito et al.\(^\text{(13)}\)

The following section summarizes the test program and its results.
Testing

The model was developed to be similar to that used in the test carried out by Saito et al.\textsuperscript{(13)} A 6.7-m (22-ft)-long cable consisted of an inner steel pipe covered with a smooth polyethylene (PE) tube with an outside diameter of 160 mm (6.3 inches). The effective mass per cable length was 60.8 kg/m (40.9 lb/ft). The end supports at the upwind end were maintained out of the wind flow above the wind tunnel, and at least 5.9 m (19.2 ft) of the 6.7-m (22-ft) length of the cable was directly exposed to the wind tunnel flow.

Testing was performed for various levels of structural damping, cable frequency ratios, and surface roughness, and at various angles of wind flow. The cable model orientation was changed against the mean wind flow direction for several configurations. The model was supported in the wind tunnel with the angles $\Phi$ and $\alpha$ being adjustable to represent different $\theta$ and $\beta$ combinations. Figure 38 in appendix D shows the relationship of these angles to the cable and wind direction. Similar to the Japanese studies, $\theta$ and $\beta$ represent the angle between the horizontal plane and the cable, and the yaw angle between the wind direction and the longitudinal bridge axis, respectively.\textsuperscript{(13)} The orientation of the 2-degree-of-freedom springs (perpendicular to the cable longitudinal axis) could be rotated about the cable axis through an angle $\alpha$. $\Phi$ is the angle between the wind tunnel floor and the cable. The angle is only important when the vertical and horizontal frequencies of the cable are tuned to different frequencies. In the wind tunnel, this led to testing with an adjustable virtual ground plane. The relationship between the cable and the mean wind direction is represented by equations 8 and 9:

$$
\cos \Phi = \cos \beta \cos \theta 
$$

(8)

$$
\tan \alpha = \tan \beta / \sin \theta
$$

(9)

The aerodynamic behavior of the inclined cable model was investigated with different combinations of model setup, damping level, and surface roughness as described in tables 1, 2, and 3.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Dry inclined cable testing: Model setup.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Full-Scale Cable Angles Tested} & \textbf{Model Test Cable/Pipe Angles} \\
\textbf{Model Setup} & $\theta^\circ$ & $\beta^\circ$ & $\Phi^\circ$ & $\alpha^\circ$ \\
\hline
1B & 45 & 0 & 45 & 0 \\
1C & 30 & 35.3 & 45 & 54.7 \\
2A & 60 & 0 & 60 & 0 \\
2C & 45 & 45 & 60 & 54.7 \\
3A & 35 & 0 & 35 & 0 \\
3B & 20 & 29.4 & 35 & 58.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
### Table 2. Dry inclined cable testing: Damping levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damping Description</th>
<th>Dampers Used</th>
<th>Approximate Damping Range (percent of critical)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low damping</td>
<td>No damper added</td>
<td>0.03 to 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate damping</td>
<td>16 elastic bands per sway spring</td>
<td>0.05 to 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High damping</td>
<td>28 elastic bands per sway spring</td>
<td>0.15 to 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high damping</td>
<td>Airpot damper with 1.25 dial turns</td>
<td>0.30 to 1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Dry inclined cable testing: Surface condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Condition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smooth surface</td>
<td>PE pipe with clean surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough surface</td>
<td>PE pipe with glue sprayed on the windward side of the cable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results Summary

Limited-amplitude oscillations were observed under a variety of conditions. The limited-amplitude vibrations occurred within narrow wind speed ranges only, which is characteristic of vortex excitation of the high-speed type described by Matsumoto.\(^{(16)}\) For the typical cable diameters and wind speeds of concern on cable-stayed bridges, the Reynolds number (defined in chapter 3) is in the critical range where large changes in the airflow patterns around the cables occur for relatively small changes in Reynolds number. The excitation mechanism is thus likely to be linked with these changes. The maximum amplitude of the response depended on the orientation angle of the cable. For wind blowing along the cable, for cables with a vertical inclination angle \(\theta \approx 45^\circ\), the increase of surface roughness made the unstable range shift to lower wind speeds.

The results of this testing showed a deviation from the criteria described in the introduction. While significant oscillations of the cable occurred (double amplitudes up to 1D), it is not conclusive that this was dry inclined cable galloping. In fact, as indicated above they had similar characteristics to Matsumoto’s high-speed vortex excitation.\(^{(16)}\) Divergent oscillations only occurred for one test setup at very low damping, and the vibrations had to be suppressed since the setup only allowed for amplitudes of 1D. Large vibrations were only found at the lowest damping ratios \((\zeta < 0.001)\). Above a damping ratio of 0.003, no significant vibrations (>10 mm (0.4 inch)) were observed.

Figure 1 shows the results of this experiment as compared with the instability line determined by Saito et al.\(^{(13)}\) The graph presents reduced wind velocity \((U_r)\) versus the Scruton number (equation 10):
where:

\[ U_{CRIT} = \frac{U_{CRIT}}{(fD)} \]

where:

\( U_{CRIT} \) = critical wind velocity at which instability occurs,
\( f \) = natural frequency, and
\( D \) = cable diameter.

The bold points indicate cable motions with amplitudes from \( \pm 10 \text{ mm} \) (\( \pm 0.4 \text{ inch} \)) to \( \pm 80 \text{ mm} \) (\( \pm 3.1 \text{ inches} \)). Note the test rig would not allow for motions greater than \( \pm 80 \text{ mm} \) (\( \pm 3.1 \text{ inches} \)). However, only one test case reached this limit and is denoted by the triangular point. One point from Miyata et al. is also shown.\(^{(17)}\)

Conditions with oscillations less than \( \pm 10 \text{ mm} \) (\( \pm 0.4 \text{ inch} \)) are denoted with an open circle. Many of these points lie in the region denoted as unstable based on the instability line of Saito et al.\(^{(13)}\) It is suggested that this line can be redefined based on the dashed line denoted as the FHWA instability line.

**Figure 1. Graph. Comparison of wind velocity-damping relation of inclined dry cable.**

This testing suggests that if even a low amount of structural damping is provided (\( \zeta > 0.003 \)), then vortex shedding and inclined cable galloping vibrations are not significant. This damping corresponds to a Scruton number of approximately 3, which is less than the minimum of 10 established for suppression of rain/wind-induced vibrations (discussed in chapter 3). Therefore dry cable instability should be suppressed by default if enough damping is provided to mitigate rain/wind-induced vibrations. A complete report of the wind tunnel testing by the project team on dry inclined cables is given in appendix D.
A second phase of testing was conducted on a static model to verify the findings of the initial study, using the same orientations where the large amplitude oscillations occurred. Pressure taps were added to record aerodynamic force measurements. The objectives of this phase were to clarify the mechanism of dry inclined cable galloping and investigate the differences between galloping and high-speed vortex shedding. The test report was not available as of the production of this document.

**OTHER EXCITATION MECHANISMS**

**Effects Due to Live Load**

This study was carried out by the project team to assess the amount of vibration caused by live loading and determine if this movement is significant as compared with wind vibration. To address this problem a computer model of a real bridge was subjected to a moving train load, and the vibrations of an individual cable were analyzed. A moving train has a greater effect on cables than passing trucks or random traffic. The cable tensions, displacements, and anchorage rotations obtained from the dynamic time history analysis were compared with an analysis ignoring all dynamic effects as well as the results obtained from influence line calculations, which are normally carried out during design. A summary of this work is given in this section, and the complete report is included in appendix H.

A 3D computer model of the Rama 8 Bridge in Bangkok, Thailand, was created for this analysis. The bridge has a single tower and a 300-m (984-ft) main span. The third longest cable (M26), with an unstressed length of 299.1 m (981 ft), was studied to determine the effects of live loading.

A static live load analysis was first conducted as a baseline using a five-car transit train, neglecting the dynamic properties of the train. Influence line analyses were performed to determine the maximum and minimum effects due to live load. For dynamic analysis, the transit train was modeled as a mass on damped springs and moved across the bridge at a speed of 80 km/h (50 mi/h), taking into account the dynamic interaction between the train and the structure. The tension in cable M26 is plotted in figure 2 to compare the dynamic effects with the static effect. Note that the increase in maximum cable tension due to dynamic effects is less than 10 percent.

![Graph. Cable M26, tension versus time (transit train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).](image)

**Figure 2.** Graph. Cable M26, tension versus time (transit train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).
The results of this study indicated the following:

- A stay cable which is discretized with 20 elements accurately predicts the free vibration characteristics of a stay cable.
- Once the cable is modeled as part of the real structure with the tower and the deck providing realistic end conditions, the cable frequencies only change slightly but the mode shapes become spatial rather than being purely in-plane or out of plane.
- The cable tensions, displacements, and end rotations are dominated by the “static” deformation response associated with the passing of the moving load. Subsequent dynamic oscillations are typically an order of magnitude smaller than the static maximum.
- It appears that the dynamic response of the cable, during the train passage and in the subsequent free vibration phase, is driven by the vibration of the bridge deck.

Deck-Stay Interaction Because of Wind

Measurements of both deck and stay movements were taken at the Fred Hartman Bridge during the passage of a storm. For this specific record, figures 3 and 4 show the time histories (first 5 minutes) and power spectral densities (PSD) of vertical deck acceleration at midspan and of the adjacent stay cable AS24, respectively. Cable AS24 has a length of 198 m (650 ft) and a natural frequency of approximately 0.59 Hz. Figure 5 shows the wind speed at deck level.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 3.** Graph. Time history and power spectral density (PSD) of the first 2 Hz for deck at midspan (vertical direction).
Figures 3 and 4 show a dominant frequency of vibration at approximately 0.58 Hz. It is important to note that this frequency corresponds quite closely to the third symmetric vertical mode of the superstructure, and is also close to the first mode of the stay cable AS24. This is an interesting and important observation since the first-mode vibrations of a cable at this level of acceleration are generally associated with large displacements. In fact, by integrating the acceleration time history, the displacement amplitude (peak to peak) was estimated to be approximately 1 m (3 ft).

Furthermore, by observing the time histories, the significant vibrations are initially observed at the deck instead of the cable. This observation, as well as the similarity of modal frequencies, suggests that the deck is driving the cable to vibrate with large amplitude in its fundamental mode. Vortex-induced vibration of the deck is thought to be the driving mechanism for this motion. Further studies are continuing to identify additional occurrences of this behavior for corroboration, and to better understand the underlying mechanisms and their consequences. These findings are not complete at the time of production of this report.
This appears to be a rare event; very few occurrences of this nature have been identified.

**STUDY OF MITIGATION METHODS**

The development of recommended design approaches was based on previous and current research focusing on cable aerodynamics, dampers, and crossties. Theories on the behavior of linear and nonlinear dampers and crosstie systems were developed and compared with field measurements on the Fred Hartman Bridge, Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge, Sunshine Skyway Bridge, and Veterans Memorial Bridge. Basic findings are discussed below, and more detailed discussions are found in appendix F and in the technical papers listed in appendix E.

**Linear and Nonlinear Dampers**

To suppress the problematic vibrations of stay cables, dampers are often added to the stays near the anchorages (because of practical limitations of installation). Although the mechanisms that induce the observed vibrations may still not be completely understood, dampers have had relatively widespread use and their effectiveness has been demonstrated. However, criteria for damper design are not well established. Current recommendations for required damping levels to suppress rain/wind-induced vibrations were developed using relatively simplified wind tunnel models, and it is not clear whether these guidelines are adequate or appropriate for vibration suppression in the field. In addition, it is important to note that vibrations can occur in more than one mode of the cable, and little has been done to address the question of required damping levels for each mode. The anticipated widespread application of dampers for cable vibration suppression justifies further research aimed at better understanding the resulting dynamic system and refinement of design guidelines. An example of a damper provided to a cable anchorage is shown in figure 6.

![Figure 6. Photo. Damper at cable anchorage.](image)
Linear Dampers

Free vibrations of a taut cable with an attached linear viscous damper were investigated in detail. In designing a damper for cable vibration suppression, it is necessary to determine the levels of supplemental damping provided in the first several modes of vibration for different values of the damper coefficient and different damper locations. Previous investigations of linear dampers have focused on vibrations in the first few modes for damper locations near the end of the cable. However, damper performance in the higher modes is of particular interest, as full-scale measurements indicate that vibrations of moderate amplitude can occur over a wide range of cable modes. This study investigates the dynamics of a taut cable damper system in higher modes and without restriction on the damper location (see figure 7).

Figure 7. Drawing. Taut cable with linear damper.

An analytical formulation of the complex eigenvalue problem for free vibration was used to derive an equation for the eigenvalues that is independent of the damper coefficient. This “phase equation” reveals the attainable modal damping ratios $\zeta_i$ and corresponding oscillation frequencies for a given damper location $\ell/L$, affording an improved understanding of the solution characteristics and revealing the important role of damper-induced frequency shifts in characterizing the response of the system. For damper locations, such as near the end of the stay, resulting in small frequency shifts, the following relationship can be derived in equations 11 and 12:

$$\frac{\zeta_i}{\ell/L} \approx \frac{\pi^2 \kappa}{(\pi^2 \kappa)^2 + 1}$$

(11)

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{c}{mL\omega_{o1}} \frac{\ell}{L}$$

(12)

where:
- $i$ = mode of vibration,
- $c$ = damping coefficient,
- $m$ = mass per unit length,
- $\omega_{o1}$ = fundamental circular frequency, and
- $\ell/L$ = normalized damper location.
In figure 8, the normalized damping ratio $\zeta(\ell/L)$ has been plotted against the nondimensional damping parameter, $\kappa$, for the first five modes for a damper location of $\ell/L = 0.02$, and it is evident that the five curves collapse very nearly onto a single curve in good agreement with the theoretical approximation.

Because the mode number is incorporated in the nondimensional damping parameter $\kappa$, the optimal damping ratio can be achieved in only one mode of vibration. This is a potential limitation for linear dampers because it is currently unclear how to specify, a priori, the mode in which optimal performance should be achieved for effective suppression of stay cable vibration, and designing a damper for optimal performance in a particular mode may potentially leave the cable susceptible to vibrations in other modes.

![Figure 8. Graph. Normalized damping ratio versus normalized damper coefficient: Linear damper.](image)

**Nonlinear Dampers**

The dynamic behavior of a taut cable with a passive, nonlinear, power law damper attached at an intermediate point was investigated. Recent studies indicated that a nonlinear damper may potentially overcome the limitation in performance of a linear damper whereby optimal damping performance can only be achieved in one mode of vibration.

The exact formulation of the complex eigenvalue problem for a taut cable with a linear damper was extended to develop a single-mode approximation for the amplitude-dependent effective damping ratios for the power law damper. An asymptotic approximate solution revealed a nondimensional grouping of parameters $\kappa$ that was used to extend the universal estimation curve for the linear damper to the case of a nonlinear damper. For a damping exponent of $\beta = 1$ (linear damper), the expression for $\kappa$ is the same as the previous equation derived for a linear damper. The shape of the curve is slightly different for each value of damping exponent, $\beta$, but for a given damping exponent the curve is nearly invariant with damper location and mode number over the same range of parameters as the universal estimation curve for the linear case (figure 9).
Because the nondimensional damping parameter $\kappa$ depends on both the amplitude and mode number of oscillation, the optimal damping performance will be achieved, in general, at different amplitudes of vibration in each mode. An “optimal” value for the damper coefficient can be determined by specifying a design amplitude of oscillation in a given mode at which the optimal performance is desired. Therefore, a nonlinear damper has the potential to allow optimal damping performance over a wider range of modes than would a linear damper.

In the special case of $\beta = 0.5$ (a square-root damper), it was observed that the damping performance is independent of mode number and depends only on the amplitude of vibration. In designing a square-root damper it is sufficient to specify only the amplitude, $A_{opt}$, and the optimal damping performance is achieved at the same amplitude in each mode. These features suggest that nonlinear dampers may offer some advantages over linear dampers for cable vibration suppression, while retaining the advantages of economy and reliability offered by a passive mitigation strategy.

**Field Performance of Dampers**

This investigation seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of passive linear dampers installed on two stays on a cable-stayed bridge by comparing response statistics before and after the damper installation and by investigating in detail the damper performance in a few selected records corresponding to different types of excitation.

Viscous dampers (dashpot type) were installed on two stays (A16 and A23) on the main span of the Fred Hartman Bridge (figure 10), a twin-deck, cable-stayed bridge over the Houston Ship Channel, with a central span of 380 m (1,250 ft) and side spans of 147 m (482 ft). The deck is composed of precast concrete slabs on steel girders with four lanes of traffic, carried by a total of 192 cables in four inclined planes, spaced at 15-m (50-ft) intervals.
Properties of the two stays and the dampers that have been installed on these stays are given in table 4. Commercially available dampers were selected for the application.

Table 4. Stay and damper properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stay name</th>
<th>Length (m (ft))</th>
<th>Outside Diameter (mm (inch))</th>
<th>Mass (kg/m (lbf/ft))</th>
<th>Natural Frequency ($f_{o1}$) Hz</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Damping Constant ($c$) kN-s/m (lbf-s/ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS16</td>
<td>87.0 (285)</td>
<td>140 (5.5)</td>
<td>47.9 (32.2)</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>70.0 (4,800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS23</td>
<td>182.0 (599)</td>
<td>160 (6.3)</td>
<td>75.9 (50.0)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>175.1 (12,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data have been collected for almost 3 years after the damper installation. The dampers were designed for optimal performance in the fundamental mode of vibration, which should provide adequate damping in the first several modes to suppress rain/wind-induced vibration. Wind speed is reported at deck level. Wind direction is measured in degrees clockwise from the bridge axis, with zero degrees corresponding to wind approximately from the north, directly along the bridge axis. Acceleration data are reported from transducers installed on the stays usually about 6 m (20 ft) vertically above deck level.

Results before damper installation show patterns that are consistent with other field and wind tunnel observations (see appendix F for additional details):
• A high density of points is seen near the abscissa, which generally corresponds to vortex-induced vibration in a variety of modes and low-level buffeting response of the stay to random excitations.
• Multiple points over a wide range of wind speeds are of high amplitude (RMS acceleration > 0.5 g), indicating the characteristic signature of rain/wind oscillation.
• One-minute mean wind speeds at deck level reached 15 m/s (34 mi/h) before the dampers were installed, and almost 18 m/s (40 mi/h) in the period after installation. This latter value corresponds to a 1-min average wind speed of 27 m/s (60 mi/h) at the top of the tower, recorded during a thunderstorm.
• The dependence on wind direction is also clear, with A16 showing its peak responses between 90° and 160°, and A23 over a narrower range between 90° and 135°.

Results after installation of the dampers suggest the following:

• Amplitudes are significantly reduced across all recorded wind speeds (up to 18 m/s (40 mi/h) at deck level) with maximum RMS acceleration amplitudes of around 0.5 g.
• The dependence on wind direction has been altered significantly, the largest responses now nearer to a 90° angle of incidence.
• Based on the measured forces in the dampers, they are functioning and providing dissipative force to the stays as intended.

In conclusion, the benefits of adding dampers are evident. Dampers can potentially be attached unobtrusively near the stay anchorage at the deck or tower, and thus detract minimally from the aesthetics of the structure.

Crosstie Systems

One possible method to counteract undesired oscillations is to increase the in-plane stiffness of stays by connecting them together with a set of transverse secondary cables, defined as crossties. From a dynamic perspective, the properties of the single cables are modified by the presence of the lateral constraints that influence their oscillation characteristics. Similarly, a connection of simple suspended elements is transformed into a more complex cable network. Figure 11 shows an example of cable crosstie systems applied to a bridge.
Detailed studies of crossties and interconnected cable systems are not well reported, and most of the recommendations that are currently followed seem to be linked to practice or previous experience. A fundamental study to better understand the behavior was therefore necessary.

Such study has shown that crossties potentially work by increasing the generalized mass and therefore Scruton number in the lower modes, and by raising frequencies and localizing the vibration in higher modes. They are also likely to increase the effective damping of a cable through the friction at crosstie connections and by providing a mechanism to transfer energy from one cable to another. However, these statements are difficult to quantify reliably using analytical methods.

The Dames Point Bridge (figure 12) in Jacksonville, FL, is an example of the effective use of crossties on an older bridge designed before the discovery of rain/wind-induced vibrations. Although some wind-induced oscillations were observed during construction before grouting and crosstie installation, no problematic cable vibrations have been reported on this bridge since it was completed in 1989.
There is also the potential to combine cable crossties and dampers into a single device.\(^{(18)}\) This could provide an efficient system where a damper at one location provides damping to a system of cables through the crossties. The method could be highly effective as the damping would be provided at a point significantly into the cable length, but issues of aesthetics and serviceability need to be further addressed.

**Analysis**

An alternative analytical method was developed to examine cable crosstie networks and was used to study the Fred Hartman Bridge cable system.

Limited study of in-plane vibrations of complex cable networks has usually been performed by means of finite element methods because of the number of cable elements that are involved and the variability of the global characteristics of the system. An analytical method and efficient numerical procedure was developed that models the behavior of a set of interconnected cables. The analytical method is an alternative procedure for the derivation of the equation of motion (free-vibration problem) of a network, based on the taut cable theory. This approach offers a number of advantages over the finite element method and provides a tool that is useful in the design and optimization of such systems for practical application.

The initial problem formulation is depicted in figure 13. The example shows a simplified network, defined by a set of two taut cables connected by means of a vertical rigid rod (to be relaxed later). (See appendix F for the formulation of the analytical method for this system.)
The free-vibration analysis method was applied to the study of a cable network that was modeled after the Fred Hartman Bridge. The investigated system corresponds to the south-tower central-span portion, a set of 12 stays with a three-dimensional arrangement (figure 14). Stay 24S is assumed as a reference element; all other stay quantities are normalized with respect to this element. The transverse connectors, an “eight-loop” steel wire rope system, are located in accordance with the existing system. The three-dimensional cable network was reduced to an equivalent two-dimensional problem. The definition of the modal frequencies and mode shapes of the network (free-vibration analysis) was performed through the study of the roots of the determinant of the system matrix as a function of the reduced frequency of the structure.

Figures 15 and 16 depict the eigenfunctions for modes 1 and 5 of the model, normalized so that the resulting modal mass of the network is unitary. A careful study of the solution patterns showed two categories of roots:

- Global modes, where the whole set of cables is involved in the oscillation (e.g., mode 1).
• Local modes, where the maximum amplitudes are located in the intermediate segments of specific cables. The overall characteristics of these modal forms can be different from the solution for individual cables, and influenced by the presence and the location of the transverse connectors. The wavelength of these modes is essentially governed by the distance between two consecutive connectors (e.g., mode 5).

![Graph. Eigenfunctions of the network equivalent to Fred Hartman Bridge: Mode 1.](image1)

**Figure 15.** Graph. Eigenfunctions of the network equivalent to Fred Hartman Bridge: Mode 1.

![Graph. Eigenfunctions of the network equivalent to Fred Hartman Bridge: Mode 5.](image2)

**Figure 16.** Graph. Eigenfunctions of the network equivalent to Fred Hartman Bridge: Mode 5.

In figure 17, the natural frequencies (Hz) are plotted as a function of the mode number and compared with the individual cable behavior. Solutions are shown for three comparative cases (NET_3C, original configuration; NET_3RC perfectly rigid transverse links; NET_3CG modified nonrigid configuration with ground restrainers). For practical purposes, the connectors in the original configuration can be considered as effectively rigid since the two graphics (NET_3C, NET_3RC) essentially overlap.
From figure 17, it can be seen that the sequence of fundamental global modes is followed by a high-density solution pattern, corresponding to the localized modes. An upper and lower limit frequency can be detected, in this case 1.9 and 2.7 Hz, respectively. Both limits are connected by the frequency of antisymmetric second modes in the individual stays. The upper value is directly related to the frequency of shorter cables, while the lower value is influenced by a combination of the stays in the central part of the structure (presence of pseudosymmetric components). The high density of frequencies suggests a potential sensitivity to forced oscillations, exciting the network within this range, in which the dynamics can be influenced by a combination of these modal forms. Beyond this upper limit the situation reverts to a set of higher network modes and thereafter, a second plateau appears in the frequency range coincident with high-order antisymmetric individual segment modes. This pattern of consecutive “steps” defines a typical pattern for the behavior.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 17.** Graph. Comparative analysis of network vibration characteristics and individual cable behavior: Fred Hartman Bridge.

**Field Performance**

Field measurements of the Fred Hartman Bridge were compared with analysis results to validate the analysis approach for crosstied systems. A long-term ambient vibration survey was conducted to monitor stay cable vibration and to better understand the overall performance of the structure and its modal characteristics. More than 10,000 trigger files were recorded during a period of 3 years and continued thereafter. An algorithm was designed for the automatic processing of the records.

The methodology was applied to the study of the side-span unit of the south tower of the bridge (see figure 18). The cable network is configured by means of three transverse restrainers. The
data set was extracted from the records of four in-plane and out-of-plane accelerometers, placed along stays AS1, AS3, AS5, and AS9 at a height of approximately 7 m (22 ft) from the deck level. The correspondence between the predicted modal characteristics and the real behavior was carried out by simultaneous spectral analysis of the in-plane acceleration record database of the four locations. The analysis was founded on the simultaneous identification of the same dominant in-plane frequency on all the four investigated cables.

![Figure 18. Chart. Fred Hartman Bridge, field performance testing arrangement.](image)

The investigation considered the presence of cable network behavior along with individual cable and, eventually, global (deck) structure modes. The fundamental frequencies of each stay were identified through field measurements and were adopted as input data in the numerical procedure to allow for a consistent comparison of the results with the real situation. The results of the simulation are summarized in table 5, in which the frequencies of the modes between 0 and 4 Hz are indicated. The subdivision into global and local network modes is indicated along with information about the general characteristics of the modal shape.

The computed frequency of NM1 (0.926 Hz) is close to the 10th bending mode of the bridge (0.924 Hz), which suggests a potential susceptibility to interaction of the deck-stay system in this range. Low-density values of frequency can be seen up to 2.4 Hz (NM5) and beyond 3 Hz (NM30). The plateau behavior was detected between 2.4 and 3.0 Hz, associated with local behavior and a high density of solutions (NM5–NM29).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode N.</th>
<th>NM1</th>
<th>NM2</th>
<th>NM3</th>
<th>NM4</th>
<th>NM5</th>
<th>NM6</th>
<th>NM7</th>
<th>NM8</th>
<th>NM9</th>
<th>NM10</th>
<th>NM11</th>
<th>NM12</th>
<th>NM13</th>
<th>NM14</th>
<th>NM15</th>
<th>NM16</th>
<th>NM17</th>
<th>NM18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency [Hz]</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>1.458</td>
<td>2.043</td>
<td>2.332</td>
<td>2.368</td>
<td>2.406</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>2.448</td>
<td>2.467</td>
<td>2.472</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>2.600</td>
<td>2.631</td>
<td>2.644</td>
<td>2.662</td>
<td>2.677</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode type</th>
<th>G-S</th>
<th>G-AS</th>
<th>G-S</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode N.</th>
<th>NM19</th>
<th>NM20</th>
<th>NM21</th>
<th>NM22</th>
<th>NM23</th>
<th>NM24</th>
<th>NM25</th>
<th>NM26</th>
<th>NM27</th>
<th>NM28</th>
<th>NM29</th>
<th>NM30</th>
<th>NM31</th>
<th>NM32</th>
<th>NM33</th>
<th>NM34</th>
<th>NM35</th>
<th>NM36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Mode type | L  | L  | L  | L  | L  | L  | L  | L  | L  | G-S | G-S | G-S | L  | G-AS | L  | L  |

G = Global Network mode; L = Local Network mode; S = symmetric; AS = antisymmetric
The results of the field data analysis showed consistent similarities with the predicted values. They also indicated the potential presence of some of the “new” modal forms in frequency ranges in which modal characteristics from other sources (individual stays, global structure, etc.) were often excluded. NM1 was clearly identified in one occasion only for a continuous time interval of about 15 min, corresponding to an extremely rare occurrence in terms of ambient-induced vibration. This event was mainly driven by the high-amplitude motion of the deck due to vortex shedding and related to a strong wind with direction almost perpendicular to the bridge axis.

**Considerations for Crosstie Systems**

This analysis shows that careful consideration must be given to the design of crossties. The general frequency increment in the fundamental modes that is usually attained by the introduction of transverse connectors must be balanced with the potential undesirable behavior of the local modes. A set of considerations for the improvement of the response of a cable network is proposed:

- Keep the location of the first plateau as high as possible, distant from the fundamental modes, so that the antisymmetric individual cable modes (2nd mode) that mostly contribute to the network modal shape are those of the shorter cables. Symmetric configurations of the restrainers with respect to intermediate-length cables is preferred to increase the frequency interval (lower limit in particular) corresponding to local modes since they minimize the longest segment length.

- Relative stiffness of the transverse restrainers does not seem to play a significant role in the definition of the modal characteristics. Rather, the frequency ratio among different stays (related to the reference cable frequency) seems to be responsible for the network mode sequence. A good compromise between small frequency ratios (shorter stays) and high values (longer stays) is preferred for the optimization of the system. Unfortunately, this aspect is not connected to the crosstied configuration but to the existing setup of the bridge.

- A cable network cannot be designed to withstand all possible excitations; the best thing that can be done is to select some sources of vibrations and “tune” the network not to respond to them since the behavior becomes complex because of the presence of the plateau. Localized modes are practically unmanageable since they mainly concern internal elements of the system, although in these cases the vibration is confined to a selected portion of the structure and the potential implications for long-term structural sensitivity (fatigue damage) are less relevant.

- A network, combined with additional mechanical dampers, connected to the deck at specific locations might be seen as an efficient way of vibration reduction. The presence of pseudosymmetric behavior should be carefully assessed: it generates “nondampable” modes (half of the structure is at rest). This behavior is enhanced by the presence of ground connectors. It might be possible to use a ground restrainer in the proximity of the shortest cable, close to the tower in combination with dampers on the segments on the right side of
the longest cables closest to the deck. In fact, the first pseudosymmetric modes (in this model) are those related to the unconstrained regions of the network, and the presence of a damper in this position might become efficient. Such an opportunity must be balanced with the fact that, when the addition of these devices is necessary, the high inclination of the short cables might make them difficult to install.

- Crossties may be less effective at controlling out-of-plane oscillations. This further suggests the importance of using dampers in coordination with crosstie systems.

- Finally, the optimal design of a network should be based not only on structural performance considerations but also on cost provisions (e.g., installation, maintenance). In this way, the preferred solution should be suggested perhaps through a more extended optimization technique.

**Cable Surface Treatment**

The effectiveness of different surface modifications is determined from wind tunnel tests. No accepted methodology exists for the design of these elements. All major cable suppliers provide cable pipes that include surface modifications to mitigate rain/wind-induced vibrations. Several types of cable surface treatments are shown in figure 19. Extensive research has been done in the past, and these surface treatments have been shown to be effective for mitigation of rain/wind-induced vibration.

![Figure 19. Drawing. Types of cable surface treatments.](image)

The double-helix spiral bead formations are the most common on new bridges, such as the Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge (Massachusetts), U.S. Grant Bridge (Ohio), Greenville Bridge (Mississippi), William Natcher Bridge (Kentucky), Maysville-Aberdeen Bridge (Kentucky), and Cape Girardeau Bridge (Missouri). As a manufacturer proprietary item, test data demonstrating their effectiveness is generally available from the cable suppliers (figure 20).
FIELD MEASUREMENTS OF STAY CABLE DAMPING

Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge (over Charles River in Boston, MA)

FHWA performed measurements during construction of the Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge (figure 21), a cable-stayed bridge with inverted Y-shaped towers and a main span of 227 m (745 ft). The bridge is 56 m (183 ft) wide with 10 lanes (two cantilevered lanes). The cables are ungrouted and arranged in a single plane for the back spans and two inclined planes for the main span. External visco-elastic dampers at the roadway level, cable crossties, and a double helical fillet cable surface treatment were applied to mitigate cable vibrations. Measurements were taken before and after installation of dampers and crossties.

Preliminary results available as of this writing (private communication—reported data has not been independently verified by the study team) demonstrate the effectiveness of these mitigation methods. Table 6 shows the fundamental frequencies and damping ratios for the longest cables of the bridge before and after damper installation. In general, results show that the addition of dampers increases the frequency (by about 10 percent) and significantly increases the damping
of the cables. Further evaluation of the measurements may be necessary, particularly since the data indicate that a few cables have smaller damping ratios after addition of dampers. In general, the damping ratio of ungrouted cables without external dampers appear to vary from 0.10 to 0.36 percent, with an average of 0.20 percent. The external dampers raise the cable damping to an average of 0.37 percent.

Figures 22, 23, and 24 show the time histories of decay of manually excited cables before installation of dampers and crossties, after damper installation, and after crosstie installation, respectively. The preliminary results demonstrate the effectiveness of not only the dampers, but also the cable crossties in raising the level of effective damping of the cable system. As the test method consisted of exciting one cable and recording the decay of its oscillations, some energy transferred from the excited cable to the adjoining cables through the crossties. Thus the reported damping with crossties may reflect values higher than the actual damping in the global system.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable</th>
<th>Length (ft)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Damping Ratio (percent)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Damping Ratio (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1N</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2N</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3N</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C32NE</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C32NW</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C33NE</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C33NW</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C34NE</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C34NW</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C34SW</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C34SE</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C33SW</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C33SE</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C32SW</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C32SE</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3S</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2S</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1S</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 ft = 0.305 m
Figure 22. Graph. Sample decay: No damping and no crossties.

Figure 23. Graph. Sample decay: With damping and no crossties.
Sunshine Skyway Bridge (St. Petersburg, FL)

The Sunshine Skyway Bridge (figure 25) has been in service since 1982 and has not had reported problems with cable vibrations. The bridge has a main span of 366 m (1,200 ft) between two single-mast towers and 84 cables in a single cable plane. The cables are provided with external viscous dampers (figure 26), but no crossties or surface treatment.
Field measurements on the cables of the Sunshine Skyway Bridge were taken to study damping in multiple modes of vibration. This data provides a baseline for comparison of future measurements to evaluate cable damping performance of other cable-stayed bridges. Table 7 contains preliminary frequency and damping estimates from the ambient data (private communication).

What also makes this set of data interesting is that the cables on the Sunshine Skyway Bridge are all installed with two inclined struts that are each connected to three viscous dampers. This type of configuration enables the dampers to provide supplemental damping in two directions, unlike the case of the dampers on the Fred Hartman Bridge, which are oriented in the in-plane direction only. In fact, no excessive cable vibrations have been reported for the Sunshine Skyway Bridge, even though it is located in a region full of frequent wind and rain, while for the cables of the Fred Hartman Bridge there were still cases of some reported large-amplitude vibrations in the lateral direction that could not be suppressed by the damper.

Figure 26. Photo. Stay and damper brace configuration.
Table 7. Preliminary cable damping measurements from the Sunshine Skyway Bridge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable</th>
<th>Mode 1</th>
<th>Mode 2</th>
<th>Mode 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>Damping (percent)</td>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N01</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N03</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N05</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N07</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N09</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N10</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N11</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N12</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N13</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N15</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N18</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N21</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N22</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N23</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N24</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N25</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N26</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N28</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N30</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N32</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N34</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N36</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BRIDGE USER TOLERANCE LIMITS ON STAY CABLE VIBRATION

Field records from the large amplitude cable vibrations typical of rain/wind-induced vibration episodes have noted that such vibrations can have the effect of alarming bridge users and general observers on the safety of the structure. In reality, however, even for extreme cases, such vibrations have only produced limited damage to nonstructural cable anchorage components. In closer examination, even these failures of the secondary elements can generally be traced to fatigue prone or other unsuitable details. There is little field evidence to indicate permanent structural damage to the cables or other primary load carrying members as a result of rain/wind-induced vibrations on the affected bridges. A detailed examination of the level of stress
generated in the primary elements caused by these oscillations may generally indicate that the amplitude of dynamic stresses are not high enough to be a serious fatigue issue for cable strands or other bridge components either.

While the design displacements based on fatigue (or other design criteria) can be readily obtained through proper engineering calculations, there is little information in the literature to determine what level of cable oscillations can be permitted based on user tolerance to such displacements. In some cases, it can be expected that the displacement limits based on user acceptance may govern over those based on direct stress evaluation. The user acceptability threshold for a rural high-level crossing where the observer is the motorist could be much less critical than that for an urban bridge where the public may be able to observe the bridge in close proximity. Detailed determinations of such limits are both complex and somewhat subjective. Thus, at least a preliminary identification of these human comfort thresholds was deemed important in developing design guidelines.

A design criteria set forth by these factors would be independent of those required by the structural effects involved, similar in nature to existing codes limiting deflection in bridges or drift in tall buildings. The human mechanism of perception of stay cable vibration is quite different from, say, the perception of building or floor movement by an occupant. The former is purely visual, whereas the latter is mostly physical feel. If a building occupant is near a window, some visual aspects of building movement with respect to fixed features of the landscape may become apparent. However, buildings rarely may exhibit such levels of movement and it is likely that other design criteria may prohibit a building design this flexible. Building occupant comfort is typically ensured by limiting acceleration and jerk (rate of change of acceleration). For torsional movements of tall buildings, however, there are some limits based on visual perception that may have some level of similarity to the case under study. The parameters may be:

- User proximity (rural versus urban setting).
- Cable diameter.
- Cable displacement.
- Mode shape.
- Cable length.
- Velocity.

Focusing on typical cable sizes and typical vibration frequencies applicable to practical situations can further condense these parameters.

The study of user tolerance limits described in appendix I was an attempt to establish some preliminary criteria on user perception of stay cable vibrations. In this study, user perception was determined with vibration mode shape, velocity, and vibration amplitude as variables.

The two most important factors affecting user comfort were found to be the amplitude of the vibration and the velocity. As the frequency range is somewhat limited, it would stand to reason that the comfort criteria could be based on the amplitude. The study indicated that a reasonable recommendation of a limit on vibration amplitude (single) would be 1 cable diameter. Ideally,
further reducing this to 0.5 diameters or below has the effect of making the vibrations virtually unnoticeable.

This study is preliminary and based on a relatively small sample size. Therefore, further investigation should be performed to refine any design criteria based on user comfort.
CHAPTER 4. DESIGN GUIDELINES

NEW CABLE-STAYED BRIDGES

General

A sufficiently detailed cable vibration analysis (including modal analysis of the cable system) must be performed as part of the bridge design to identify the potential for cable vibration. The following factors must be examined: (1) the dynamic properties of the cables, (2) dynamics of the structural system, (3) geometry of the cable layout, (4) cable spacing, (5) exposure conditions, and (6) estimated Scruton numbers ($S_c$).

Mitigation of Rain/Wind Mechanism

At a minimum, providing an effective surface treatment for cable pipes to mitigate rain/wind-induced vibrations is highly recommended. One common method is the use of double-helical beads. The effectiveness of the surface treatment must be based on the tests applicable to the specific system, provided by the manufacturer.

Additional Mitigation

Depending on the outcome of the vibration study (item 1), the provision of at least one of the following major cable vibration mitigation measures (in addition to surface treatment) is recommended:

- Additional damping (using external dampers).
- Cable crossties.

Minimum Scruton Number

Following are minimum desired Scruton numbers ($S_c$):

- $m \zeta / \rho D^2 > 10$ for regular cable arrangements.
- $m \zeta / \rho D^2 > 5$ for cable pipes with effective surface treatment suppressing rain/wind-induced vibrations (see note below).

Note: Limited tests on cables with double-helix surface treatments have suggested that $m \zeta / \rho D^2 > 5$ may be acceptable.$^{(19)}$ However, such reductions should be made only for regularly spaced, single cable arrangements. In general, it is recommended to keep the Scruton number as high as possible by providing external dampers and/or crossties. For unusual geometry or double-stay arrangements where parallel stays are placed within close proximity to one another, careful case-by-case evaluation of these limits is recommended.
External Dampers

Manufacturer warranties should be provided for all damping devices. Most dampers used in bridges are proprietary items and design details should be provided by the manufacturer.

A damper can be tuned to yield optimal damping in any one selected mode (see figure 8). For other modes the level of damping will be less than this optimal value. Rain/wind-induced vibrations occur predominantly in mode 2. Therefore, if a damper is to be tuned to a particular mode to mitigate rain/wind-induced vibrations, it appears logical to select mode 2.

There are many types and designs of dampers, and linear dampers have been shown to be effective through their widespread use in the past. However, recent analytical studies show that nonlinear dampers can be used to provide a more optimal condition than linear dampers as these are effective over a larger range of modes. In particular, the damping performance of square-root dampers ($\beta = 0.5$) is independent of the mode number and is only affected by the amplitude of vibration.

With some dampers (such as dashpot type), an initial static friction force must be overcome before engaging of the viscous element. Field experiments have shown the presence of this stick-move-stick-move behavior associated with such dampers. This may effectively provide a fixed node instead of the intended damping for the cable at low-amplitude oscillations and should be considered. The visco-elastic type dampers where an elastomeric element is permanently engaged between the cable and the supporting elements, theoretically, are free of such initial frictional thresholds. On the other hand, there are also damper designs that rely on friction as the energy dissipation mechanism, and the static friction threshold for such dampers may be higher than for the other types.

Another factor needing consideration is the directionality of the damper. The cable vibrations observed in the field indicate both vertical and horizontal components of motion. Some damper designs are antisymmetric and provide damping against cable motion in any direction. Other dampers (e.g., dashpot types) provide damping against motion only along the axis of the damper. It is possible to arrange two or more such dampers so that the combination is effective in all directions. As the majority of the observed motion caused by rain/wind-induced vibrations is in the vertical direction, it may be sufficient to provide damping against only the vertical motion. However, this has not been clearly established. It is recommended that damping be made effective against cable movement in any direction.

Damper mounting details may transfer lateral forces caused by damper action onto components of the cable anchorage. Such forces must be considered in the design of the cable anchorages.

Cable Crossties

If used, provide clear and mandatory specifications for cable crossties. Experience shows that crossties, when properly detailed and installed, can be an effective method for suppressing
undesirable levels of cable vibrations. Reported failures of crossties have been generally traced to improper details and material selection. The use of crossties creates local modes, which must be considered in design. The frequency of the first plateau of local modes (see chapter 3) should be kept as high as possible. Symmetric configurations of the restrainers with respect to intermediate-length cables is preferred to increase the frequency interval (lower limit, in particular) corresponding to local modes since they minimize the longest segment length.

Cable crossties must be provided with initial tension sufficient to prevent slack of the crossties during design wind events. The level of tension depends on the dynamic properties of the cable system and the design wind event. The initial crosstie tensions must be established based on rational engineering analysis. Also, the tie to cable connection must be carefully designed and detailed for the transfer of the design forces.

**User Tolerance Limits**

A preliminary survey (see appendix I) on sensitivity of bridge users to stay cable vibrations has indicated that the comfort criteria for cable displacement can be described using the following maximums (within a 0.5 to 2.0 Hz range):

- 0.5 D (preferred).
- 1.0 D (recommended).
- 2.0 D (not to exceed).

While this aspect may need further study, the above can be used as a guide when such displacements can be computed and/or needed as input for the design of such elements as dampers and crossties. The displacement limits need not be considered for extreme events.

**RETOFIT OF EXISTING BRIDGES**

If an existing bridge is found or suspected to exhibit episodes of excessive stay cable vibration, an initial field survey and inspection of the cable system should be performed to assemble the following information:

- Eyewitness accounts, video footage of episodes.
- Condition of the stay cable anchorages and related components, noting any visible damage and/or loose, displaced components.

A brief field instrumentation and measurement program can be used to obtain such parameters as the existing damping levels of the cables. Instrumentation of cables to record the vibration episodes, wind direction, wind velocity, and rain intensity during their occurrences could also provide some confirmation of the nature of cable vibrations.

The mitigation methods available for retrofit of existing bridges follow closely those provided for the new bridges. However, the application of surface treatment may be difficult, impractical,
or cost-prohibitive on existing structures. The addition of crossties and/or dampers is recommended.

A split pipe with surface modifications can be installed over the existing cable pipe if this is practical and cost-effective. In many of the older bridges for cables using PE pipes, ultraviolet (UV) protection to cable pipes is provided by wrapping the PE pipe with Tedlar tape. These cables require periodic rewring as part of routine maintenance. The newer high-density polyethylene (HDPE) cable pipes are manufactured with a coextruded outer shell that provides the needed UV resistance, thus providing a split pipe as a secondary outer pipe has the added benefit of eliminating the need for future Tedlar taping for the UV protection.

In addition, any damaged cable anchorage hardware must be properly retrofitted or replaced. It is recommended that the original cable supplier be contacted to ensure the replacement of cable anchorage components and that adding mitigative devices is compatible with the original design of the stay anchorage area.

WORKED EXAMPLES

Example 1

The following application shows how the information presented in this report can be used to assess the vulnerability of a given cable and provide mitigation measures.

Properties

Assume the following has been established based on the site meteorological data:

- Wind velocity for structural design: 145 km/h (90 mi/h) (100-year return)
- Wind velocity for stability design: 209 km/h (130 mi/h) (10,000-year return)
- Cable C1: Total length = 106.75 m (350 ft)
- Exterior diameter = 279.4 mm (11 inches)
- Mass = 189.2 kg/m (127 lb/ft)
- Tension = 6,608 kN (1,485 kips) (under dead load)

As the live-load cable tension under normal service is only a small fraction of the dead load, the cable vibration evaluations typically ignore the live load.

Under dead load, the modal frequencies of the cable are computed to be:

- \( f_1 = 0.875 \) Hz
- \( f_2 = 1.750 \) Hz
- \( f_3 = 2.625 \) Hz
Rain/Wind

Design for $S_c = m \zeta / \rho D^2 \geq 10$, as established in the design guidelines. Note that typical damping inherent in cables are in the range of 0.1 to 0.2 percent.

For $S_c = m \zeta / \rho D^2 \geq 10$, using $\rho = 1.225 \text{ kg/m}^3$ (0.0765 lb/ft$^3$), the minimum damping required for $S_c \geq 10$ is $\zeta \geq 0.005$ (0.5 percent).

Galloping

(Note that the present investigation has shown that galloping is not a major issue for normally spaced cable stays. However, this is included in this example to demonstrate its application.)

The critical wind velocity for onset of galloping was given in equation 6.

The numerical value of the constant $c$ is typically taken as:

- For wake galloping: $c = 25$ for closely spaced cables (2 to 6D).
  $c = 80$ for normally spaced cables (10 to 20D).
- For inclined dry cable galloping: $c = 35^*$.  

As galloping leads to divergent oscillations, it is considered a stability issue and the critical wind speed for onset of galloping is desired to be over 209 km/h (130 mi/h).

For $U_{\text{CRIT}} = c f D \sqrt{S_c} \geq 209 \text{ km/h (130 mi/h (190.7 ft/s))}$,

$$ f \geq \frac{U_{\text{CRIT}}}{cD \sqrt{S_c}} = \frac{65.8}{c} \quad (13) $$

Assuming $S_c \geq 10$:

$f \geq 2.63 \text{ Hz}$ for $c = 25$ (closely spaced cables),
$f \geq 0.82 \text{ Hz}$ for $c = 80$ (normally spaced cables), and
$f \geq 1.88 \text{ Hz}$ for $c = 35^*$.  

Design Options

Option A

If the cable geometry falls within normal cable spacing and ignoring dry inclined galloping*: 
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• Galloping: The requirement for mitigation of wake galloping is automatically met \((f_1 = 0.875 \text{ Hz} > f = 0.82 \text{ Hz})\). Thus cable crossties are not required to raise the natural frequency of the cable.

• Rain/wind: For rain/wind, two options exist— (1) damping, and (2) crossties.

(1) Provide damping such that \(\zeta \geq 0.005\) (0.5 percent, corresponding to \(S_c = 10\)). Assuming \(\zeta \geq 0.005\) is to be achieved in the \(1^\text{st}\) mode and the damper is to be located 3.6 m (11.7 ft) from the lower anchorage of the cable:

\[
\frac{\zeta_1}{l/L} = \frac{0.005}{11.67 \text{ ft} / 350 \text{ ft}} = 0.15
\]

(14)

* Note that the wind tunnel tests conducted in the current study indicate that this can be ignored for normally spaced cables with damping ratios exceeding about 0.3 percent.

From figure 8 and equation 11:

\[
\kappa = \frac{c}{mL\omega_{cl}} i \frac{l}{L} = 0.0125
\]

(15)

Therefore:

\[
c = 0.0125 \frac{mL\omega_{cl}}{i} \frac{L}{l} = 0.0125 \left(\frac{127 \text{ lb/ft}(350 \text{ ft})}{i} \right) (2\pi * 0.875/\text{sec}) \frac{\text{slugs}}{32.2 \text{ lb}}
\]

(16)

\[
c = \frac{2850 \text{ slugs}}{i} \frac{\text{sec}}{\text{sec}} = \frac{2850 \text{ lbf sec}}{i} \text{ ft}
\]

(17)

Rain/wind-induced vibrations generally involve the first three modes of cable vibration, mode 2 being the most predominant.

Provide \(\zeta_1 = 0.005\) (1\(^{st}\) mode damping ratio, 0.5 percent).

This requires a damper with a damping constant:

\[
c = \frac{2850 \text{ lbf - sec}}{i} \frac{\text{sec}}{\text{ft}} = 2850 \frac{\text{lb} \cdot \text{sec}}{\text{ft}}
\]

(18)

Compute damping ratio in 2\(^{nd}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) modes:

\(^2\text{nd}\) mode \((i = 2)\):
\[ \kappa = \frac{c}{mL\omega_c} \frac{2}{L} = 0.0250 \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

From figure 8:

\[ \frac{\zeta_2}{l/L} = 0.23, \text{ therefore } \zeta_2 = 0.0077 (> 0.005 \text{ OK}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

3rd mode \((i = 3)\):

\[ \kappa = \frac{c}{mL\omega_c} \frac{3}{L} = 0.0375 \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

From figure 8:

\[ \frac{\zeta_3}{l/L} = 0.36, \text{ therefore } \zeta_3 = 0.0120 (> 0.005 \text{ OK}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Therefore, a damper with a damping coefficient of \(c = 2,850 \text{ lbf-s/ft}\) at 11’8” from the lower end anchorage provides the following damping ratios for the first three modes:

- Mode 1  \(\zeta_1 = 0.0050\ (0.50 \text{ percent})\) and  \(S_c = 10.0\).
- Mode 2  \(\zeta_2 = 0.0077\ (0.73 \text{ percent})\) and  \(S_c = 15.2\).
- Mode 3  \(\zeta_3 = 0.0120\ (1.17 \text{ percent})\) and  \(S_c = 23.7\).

These are considered sufficient to mitigate rain/wind-induced vibrations based on the Scruton number criterion. Note that the above computation ignores the natural damping present in the cable. Hence the actual Scruton numbers, including the inherent damping, will be larger than those computed, making the design somewhat conservative.

(2) Provide cable crossties.

Note that by providing two cable crossties at the 1/3 points, cable modes 1 and 2 are eliminated, raising the natural frequency of the cable net to about 2.63 Hz. The cable crossties also raise damping of the cable system considerably, as demonstrated by the preliminary vibration measurements taken from the Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge (chapter 3).

Option B

If the cables are spaced closer together, using:

\[ U_{\text{CRIT}} = 25 \sqrt{D S_c} \geq 130 \text{mi/h, } f = 2.63\text{Hz} \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Providing two cable crossties at the 1/3 points would raise the natural frequency to 2.63 Hz. This is out of range for rain/wind-induced vibrations. It is generally believed that additional dampers
can be neglected as the cable crossties are more than likely to raise the damping ratio beyond the 0.5 percent needed for mitigating rain/wind-induced vibrations.

**Summary**

Thus, the cable vibration mitigation following recommendations of the current study would consist of:

- For normal cable arrangements: Either a damper with $c = 41.58 \text{ kN-s/m (2,850 lbf-s/ft)}$ mounted 3.6 m (11.7 ft) from the end anchorage or cable crossties at 1/3 points.
- For closely spaced cable arrangements: Cable crossties at 1/3 points. The designer can consider providing additional damping depending on the details of the project, careful analysis of the specifics, and engineering judgment and expertise.

In all cases, an effective surface treatment, such as the double-helix spiral beads provided by leading cable manufacturers, is recommended for normal cable spacings. Limited tests show that the damper size may be reduced by as much as 50 percent with an effective surface treatment. Note that the elimination of dry inclined cable galloping as a design consideration for normal cable arrangements makes it possible to provide a mitigation design using only dampers, without the use of crossties.

**Example 2**

Example 1 demonstrated the use of the design guide in sizing the dampers so that the minimum Scruton number criteria is met for the 1st and higher modes. Example 2 is based on data provided in table 4 (as shown in table 8), and is an illustration of providing maximum possible damping in mode 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8. Data from table 4.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stay Properties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stay name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cable AS16**

Substituting into equation 12:
\[ \kappa = \frac{c}{mL\omega_{o,1}} \cdot \frac{l}{L} = \frac{(4800 \text{lbf} - \text{sec}/\text{ft}) (0.045)}{(32.2 \text{lbf}/\text{ft}) (285 \text{ft}) (2\pi \times 1.24 / \text{sec})} \times (32.2 \text{ lb/slug}) \times i = 0.0973 \times i \]  

(24)

Then using equation 11:

\[ \frac{\zeta_1}{l/L} = \frac{\pi^2 \kappa}{(\pi^2 \kappa)^2 + 1} \]

(25)

Mode 1:
\[ \kappa = 0.0973, \frac{\zeta_1}{l/L} = 0.500, \zeta_1 = 0.045 \times 0.500 = 0.0225 \text{ (2.3 percent)}, S_c = 45.1 \]

(26)

Mode 2:
\[ \kappa = 0.1946, \frac{\zeta_2}{l/L} = 0.410, \zeta_2 = 0.045 \times 0.410 = 0.0184 \text{ (1.8 percent)}, S_c = 36.9 \]

(27)

Mode 3:
\[ \kappa = 0.2919, \frac{\zeta_3}{l/L} = 0.310, \zeta_3 = 0.045 \times 0.310 = 0.0140 \text{ (1.4 percent)}, S_c = 28.1 \]

(28)

**Cable AS23**

Equation 11:
\[ \kappa = \frac{c}{mL\omega_{o,1}} \cdot \frac{l}{L} = \frac{(12000 \text{lbf sec/ft})(0.037)}{(50.0 \text{lbf/ft})(599 \text{ft})(2\pi \times 0.64 / \text{sec})} \times (32.2 \text{ lb/slug}) \times i = 0.1187 \times i \]

(29)

Mode 1:
\[ \kappa = 0.1187, \frac{\zeta_1}{l/L} = 0.494, \zeta_1 = 0.037 \times 0.494 = 0.0183 \text{ (1.8 percent)}, S_c = 43.4 \]

(30)

Mode 2:
\[ \kappa = 0.2374, \frac{\zeta_2}{l/L} = 0.361, \zeta_2 = 0.037 \times 0.361 = 0.0134 \text{ (1.3 percent)}, S_c = 31.8 \]

(31)

Mode 3:
\[ \kappa = 0.3561, \frac{\zeta_3}{l/L} = 0.263, \zeta_3 = 0.037 \times 0.263 = 0.0097 \text{ (0.97 percent)}, S_c = 23.0 \]

(32)

Note that the optimum value of \( \zeta/(l/L) \) for linear dampers near the end of the stay is approximately 0.50, as is evident from figure 8. For cables AS16 and AS23, the damping provided in the 1st mode is very close to this optimum, with damping in higher modes falling.
below the optimal level. The damper coefficients for the dampers used here are very large
compared to the value obtained in example 1, and the Scruton numbers for modes 1–3 are much
greater than the minimum of 10 recommended for the suppression of rain/wind-induced
vibrations.
CHAPTER 5. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The design guidelines provide a concise approach to suppress wind-induced vibrations in cable-stayed bridges, and are based on the existing knowledge base and further investigations performed through this project. While the design recommendations are empirical, the mitigation methods discussed (dampers, cable crossties, and surface modification) are proven to be effective through both past experience and research. Future research in the following areas clarifying some of the remaining key issues would strengthen the design guidelines.

This is the first time a set of design guidelines have been proposed for the mitigation of stay cable vibration. It is expected that future adjustments based on actual cable performance and advances in cable technology may require further refinements to the design guidelines.

WIND TUNNEL TESTING OF DRY INCLINED CABLES

Galloping of dry inclined cables was investigated using wind tunnel testing in the current study and was found to be less critical than previously believed. However, further research would be valuable to confirm the findings and to study the effects additional parameters. Future wind tunnel testing could include:

- Adding data points to validate the first two phases.
- Studying the effect of cable frequencies, which are potentially important for the aerodynamic behavior of the inclined dry cable.
- Further studying the Reynolds number effect, resulting from the model surface condition and the orientation angle.

DECK-INDUCED VIBRATION OF STAY CABLES

Deck-induced vibration of stay cables has been observed in a few instances in the field-measured data, occurring in the fundamental mode of a stay with quite large amplitudes. Further investigation of this potential source of excitation would be beneficial, and may include comparison of analytical predictions and field measurements and analytical modeling of the influence of attached dampers. Effort needs to be made to identify more records in which interaction is evident between vibrations of the bridge deck and stays. As newer bridge superstructure sections are aerodynamically refined to mitigate vortex-induced oscillations of the roadway as a user comfort criteria, the deck-stay interaction may become primarily an issue for older bridges.

MECHANICS OF RAIN/WIND-INDUCED VIBRATIONS

Rain/wind-induced vibrations appear to be the most problematic of the measured vibrations, with their large amplitudes and relatively frequent occurrence, and are among the most significant considerations in the design of mitigation measures for stay cables. One of the primary
components of future research could be to develop a more indepth understanding of the underlying mechanics of rain/wind-induced stay cable vibration. This effort would have a focus somewhat different from that adopted in this study, where the objective was simply to develop a sufficient understanding to be able to arrest the practical occurrence of objectionable levels of stay cable vibrations. It is believed that such an indepth study could build upon the findings from this and other recent projects, and include continued detailed analysis of the wealth of full-scale data collected (data on U.S. bridges as well as large-scale data from Japan as available) and a critical review of existing proposed mechanisms.

This effort may include the following two components: identification of key observed characteristics and evaluation of proposed mechanisms. Further analysis of the measured vibration records both before and after the damper installation could provide additional insight into the nature of the rain/wind excitation mechanism and clarify which types of proposed mechanisms are more appropriate. A good start on this task has already been made, but there are more data that need to be carefully analyzed that were outside the present project scope. Some of the more interesting observations need further detailed investigation using the diverse data sets.

Many of the proposed mechanisms were postulated based on wind tunnel investigations. While useful, some caution must be taken in interpretation of these data as field conditions may contain three-dimensionality of the flows that the wind tunnel testing cannot replicate.

DEVELOP A MECHANICS-BASED MODEL FOR STAY CABLE VIBRATION ENABLING THE PREDICTION OF ANTICIPATED VIBRATION CHARACTERISTICS

In designing effective and economical dampers for rain/wind-induced vibration suppression, it would be of great assistance to have a model with the capability to predict, for an arbitrary stay cable, the following characteristics of rain/wind-induced vibration:

- Preferred mode: The full-scale measurements have indicated that rain/wind-induced vibrations tend to occur in a preferred mode over a fairly wide range of wind speeds; for a given stay, which mode(s) will be preferred?
- Wind speed and direction: Over what range of wind speeds and wind directions will the problematic vibrations occur? (This question is actually not of significant concern in the design of dampers.)
- Damping levels: How much damping is necessary to adequately suppress vibrations?
- Amplitudes, forces, and power: What will be the steady-state amplitudes as a function of damping ratio? What levels of force may be expected in the damper, and what will be the power dissipation demands?

It is likely that rain/wind-induced vibrations can be modeled in a manner similar to vortex-induced vibrations: As a nonlinear oscillator characterized by a negative-damping type instability at small amplitudes, and a limit cycle at large amplitudes. Such a model could be developed using the following steps:
• Obtain reliable estimates of inherent mechanical damping in the undamped stays, as inherent damping is expected to be a critically important parameter in estimating oscillation amplitudes.
• Identify records from various stays corresponding to the onset of rain/wind-induced vibration, and characterize the energy input as a function of amplitude.
• For each stay, from the records collected previously, identify an election of “worst-case” time histories corresponding to the maximum energy input under the ideal wind conditions for rain/wind-induced vibration.
• Using parameters such as inherent mechanical damping; stay length, diameter, tension, and mass/length; wind speed and air density, obtain the best possible normalization of the “worst-case” energy-input versus amplitude curves so that the curves from various stays can collapse (almost) onto a single curve.
• Identify a nonlinear oscillator model that can capture the measured energy input versus amplitude curves, and use the field-measured data to estimate the model parameters.
• Investigate the dependence of the model parameters on wind speed and wind direction for the various stays, and identify appropriate normalizations of these parameters to allow comparison among stays.

There are strong indications that a nonlinear negative damping model may be a promising approach. This is based on observation of what appears to be a negative damping type of instability for small amplitudes, causing the amplitude to increase until a limit cycle is reached. Vibrations occur over a fairly narrow range of wind directions, and occur in one or two preferred modes over a fairly wide range of wind speeds. These observations and potential model can be used to carefully evaluate proposed mechanisms for consistency and reasonableness.

While ultimately the model resulting from the proposed work may take the form of an analytical formulation in which equations of motion for cable vibration are expressed mathematically, it may also be an empirical model which seeks to obtain a good fit to the field measurements from different stays, it may simply take the form of general conclusions achieved from a statistical analysis of the measured vibrations, or it may represent a combination of the three approaches.

Such a model will enable a much more comprehensive treatment of the problem both in terms of when such vibrations are likely to occur, and what (quantitatively) will be the effect of various mitigation approaches—dampers, crossties, and aerodynamic treatments. The ability to do such analysis presently does not exist.

**PREDICT THE PERFORMANCE OF STAY CABLES AFTER MITIGATION USING THE MODEL**

The most practical application of such a model would be to be able to predict the level of stay oscillations after the application of mitigation methods. This would also enable the combination of important observations from the modeling of the field-measured vibrations and from the analysis of the restrained system to predict performance after vibration mitigation efforts (specifically dampers and crossties). Special attention again could be devoted to a discussion of the mitigation of rain/wind-induced vibration. Mitigation of other types of vibration, such as
vortex-induced vibrations (particularly in the higher modes) and deck-stay interaction, could also be evaluated. More indepth analysis of field measurements of damper performance can also be performed to compare measured and predicted oscillation amplitudes after mitigation.

PERFORM A DETAILED QUANTITATIVE ASSESSMENT OF VARIOUS ALTERNATIVE MITIGATION STRATEGIES

As has been demonstrated in this report, dampers are not the only method available for the mitigation of stay cable vibration. Other potentially suitable solutions include the provision of additional damping by other means, such as redesigned anchorages, crossties, and aerodynamic treatments. It is considered important to assess their relative performance using the model. There is data from stays with crossties from the Fred Hartman Bridge, and from stays with aerodynamic treatments (rings) from the Veterans Memorial Bridge. In addition, efficient analytical/numerical tools for the prediction of the dynamic response of two-dimensional cable “networks” (representing crosstied stay systems) have been developed using this project.

Using the results of the predictions, the performance of these approaches can be compared and this information used to evaluate the suitability of the various methods. Important economic assessments must also be considered.

IMPROVE UNDERSTANDING OF INHERENT DAMPING IN STAYS AND THAT PROVIDED BY EXTERNAL DEVICES

The inherent damping in a cable, generally accepted to be fairly low, is not readily quantifiable, and there are very few reported measurements. A better understanding of damping in cables (both with and without external dampers) could have some benefit in practical applications from extensive field measurements so as to facilitate more effective and rational mitigation of stay cable vibrations. Substantial progress has been made towards this goal by analyzing the full-scale measurement data collected at the Fred Hartman Bridge and by preliminarily estimating modal frequencies and damping ratios for two cables on the bridge as described in this report. By comparing the amplitudes of vibrations before and after damper installation, dampers were found to be generally successful in mitigating the vibrations. In a few cases, when the vibrations were primarily in the lateral direction and the dampers were oriented in the in-plane direction, there is evidence that the dampers were not effective at suppressing them.

Frequency shifts caused by the dampers were also clearly identified and the discovered relationship between modal frequency and vibration amplitude seems to be in agreement with the actual configuration of the cable-damper system in the field. Compared with frequency estimation, results from damping estimation are more complicated: Modal damping ratios estimated from different records are usually scattered, and the relationship between these estimated damping ratios and the characteristics of the vibrations is still not very clear. Nonetheless, the results do suggest that the level of inherent damping in the cables is indeed very low and that the rain/wind mechanism introduces some kind of negative damping into the system, consequently making the vibration amplitude large.
Relationships between the modal damping ratios and such quantities as modal displacement and modal damper force need to be investigated. Testing of an actual damper is also deemed as beneficial. The damper should be driven by either force or displacement with different periods. Numerical simulation can also be used for this purpose and force-displacement curves thus obtained can be compared to field measurement data. It will be helpful to separate the part of the vibration when the damper is evidently engaged from the rest of the vibration when it is not engaged (e.g., by using wavelet transforms). Energy methods can also be applied to the part of the vibration when the damper is engaged to estimate the effective damping during that period of time.

Research so far has been concentrated on full-scale measurement data from two cables of the Fred Hartman Bridge. Data from other instrumented cables of this bridge and some cables of the Veterans Memorial Bridge, which were instrumented during the same period of time, are also available for analysis. In addition, forced vibration tests and short-term ambient tests have been conducted on some cables of the Sunshine Skyway Bridge in Tampa, FL. Data collected from these tests are of special interest because cable damping can be estimated from the forced vibration tests using the logarithmic decrement method and compared with the values estimated from the ambient tests. No excessive cable vibration has been reported for the Sunshine Skyway Bridge so far, even though it is located in a region full of frequent wind and rain. More detailed analysis of the full-scale data from this bridge will help understand the reasons for these observations.

**IMPROVE UNDERSTANDING OF CROSSTIE SOLUTIONS**

As pointed out in the report, crossties combined with dampers seem to offer the possibility of enhanced performance over their component counterparts. Application of such a combined system has been discussed previously. It is considered important to extend the modeling developed here to better study and understand these characteristics, and to provide a tool for designers. The ability to optimize crosstie configurations also seems to be an important area for future research.

**REFINE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EFFECTIVE AND ECONOMICAL DESIGN OF STAY CABLE VIBRATION MITIGATION STRATEGIES FOR FUTURE BRIDGES.**

While the design recommendations proposed are believed to be sufficient as minimum criteria for practical mitigation of unacceptable levels of stay vibrations, these efforts could help by addressing the following issues quantitatively:

- How much supplemental damping should be provided in each mode for vibration suppression?
- Which type of nonlinear damper (including the case of linear) is most appropriate for cable vibration mitigation?
- For which mode of vibration should the damper performance be optimized?
- For what force levels and energy dissipation capacity should the damper be designed?
This project has been successful in producing a set of guidelines and recommendations for stay cable vibration mitigation based on information available at the time of its conclusion. While this does include information based on a review of the literature and a significant amount of research on the characterization of field measurements and damper performance, the guidelines may be improved through the future research items proposed.
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An extensive amount of research was initially performed to form a baseline for the current study. An online database of references was created so that all members of the research team could add or extract information as necessary. The database includes the article titles, authors, reference information, and abstracts when attainable. There are 198 references in the database, including 89 with abstracts.

Search capability is also provided, with options to search by key word, author, source, and date. The search page is shown in figure 27, and a search results page is shown in figure 28. A full listing of the references in this database is included at the end of this appendix.
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APPENDIX B. INVENTORY OF U.S. CABLE-STAYED BRIDGES

An inventory of cable-stayed bridges in the United States was created to organize and share existing records with the research team. This database includes information on bridge geometry, cable properties, cable connections, aerodynamic detailing, site conditions, and observed responses to wind. The inventory is stored in Microsoft Access (Microsoft Office) database format, which allows for easy data entry and retrieval. Forms were designed to perform this task, complete with pull-down menus and control buttons, using the Visual Basic® programming language. These forms for data entry include a “switchboard” form and three categories of bridge data forms: General bridge data, cable data, and wind data.

Overall, 26 bridges have been added to the database, including several from outside the United States. Copies of contract drawings from which data was taken have been copied and filed for future reference. The following is the full list of bridges included in the inventory:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Main Span (ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annacis Bridge</td>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>1526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville Bridge (U.S. 82)</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dames Point Bridge</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Hartman Bridge</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidney Lanier Bridge</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luling Bridge</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Skyway Bridge</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Natcher Bridge</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Girardeau Bridge</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talmadge Memorial Bridge</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maysville-Aberdeen Bridge</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasco-Kennewick Intercity Bridge</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Huntington Bridge</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA Route 63 over Mississippi River</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weirton-Steubenville Bridge</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Bridge</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Bridge</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake and Delaware Canal Bridge</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixth Street Bridge</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington Bridge</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Memorial Bridge</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9. Cable-stayed bridge inventory—continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Main Span (ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Varina Enon Bridge</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR 148 over La Plata River</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitka Harbor Bridge</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foss Waterway Bridge</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 ft = 0.305 m

The inventory forms are described in detail in the following pages.

Switchboard

Overall control of data entry and referential integrity between categories is provided by a switchboard from which the user selects which type of data to enter or retrieve. An example of the switchboard is shown below. The user may select from the three categories to either add a new entry or edit an existing entry. The selection will open data entry forms for the category requested.

Figure 29. Photo. U.S. cable-stayed bridge database: Switchboard.
General Bridge Information

General bridge information is divided into two sections. General information includes bridge name, designers, suppliers, and bridge location. Structural data includes information on the superstructure, tower, cable, anchorages, and crossties.

Figure 30. Photo. U.S. cable-stayed bridge database: General bridge information.
Cable Data

Cable data is divided into four sections: Cable geometry, cable properties, cable connections, and aerodynamic details. Cable geometry gives the end coordinates and calculates the cable length. Cable properties include strand type, size, dead load tension, and the protection system. Cable connections describes upper and lower anchors and fatigue test information. Aerodynamic details include descriptions of dampers and sheathing surface treatment. Information for each cable of the bridge is given individually.

Figure 31. Photo. U.S. cable-stayed bridge database: Cable data.
Wind Data

Wind data includes bridge dynamic modes, superstructure mass, design wind speeds, and vibration measurement information. Data has only been entered for the Cape Girardeau Bridge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind Climatology Study Available?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superstructure Data (Completed Bridge)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superstructure Mass</td>
<td>632.0 slug/ft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Polar Mom. of Inertia</td>
<td>533,276.0 slug*ft/ft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Dynamic Properties (Completed Bridge)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode Number</td>
<td>Mode Type</td>
<td>Frequency (Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>0.3182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Wind Speeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fastest mile speed</td>
<td>73.0 mph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 minute mean speed</td>
<td>73.0 mph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearest Recording Station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording station name</td>
<td>Cape Girardeau Airport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to bridge site</td>
<td>5.6 mile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 32. Photo. U.S. cable-stayed bridge database: Wind data.
APPENDIX C. WIND-INDUCED CABLE VIBRATIONS

MECHANICS OF WIND-INDUCED VIBRATIONS

General Background

There are many possible types of wind-induced vibrations of cables:

- Vortex excitation of an isolated cable.
- Vortex excitation of groups of cables.
- Wake galloping for groups of cables.
- Galloping of single cables inclined to the wind.
- Rain/wind-induced vibrations of cables.
- Galloping of cables with ice accumulations.
- Galloping of cables in the wakes of other structural components (e.g., arches, towers, truss members).
- Aerodynamic excitation of overall bridge modes of vibration involving cable motion (e.g., vortex shedding off the deck may excite a vertical mode that involves relatively small deck motions but substantial cable motions).
- Motions caused by wind turbulence buffeting.
- Motion caused by fluctuating cable tensions.

Some of these are more critical or probable than others, but they are all listed here for completeness. They are discussed in turn in the following sections.

Vortex Excitation of an Isolated Cable and Groups of Cables

Vortex excitation of a single isolated cable is caused by the alternate shedding of vortices from the two sides of the cable when the wind is approximately at right angles to the cable axis. The vortices are shed from one side of the cable at a frequency, \( n \), that is proportional to the wind velocity \( U \) and inversely proportional to the cable diameter \( D \). Thus as shown in equation 33,

\[
\dot{n} = S(U/D)
\]

where \( S \) is a nondimensional parameter, the Strouhal number, that remains constant over extended ranges of wind velocity. For circular cross-section cables in the Reynolds number range \( 10^4 \) to about \( 3 \times 10^5 \), \( S \) is about 0.2.

Each time a vortex is shed it gives rise to a force at right angles to the wind direction. The alternate shedding thus causes an oscillating across-wind force. If the frequency of the oscillating force matches the frequency \( N_r \) of the \( r^{th} \) natural mode of vibration of the cable, then oscillations of the cable in that mode will be excited. The wind velocity \( U_{1/3} \) at which this matching of vortex shedding frequency \( n \) to natural frequency \( N_r \) occurs can be deduced from equation 33 and is shown in equation 34:
Thus, as an example of a typical situation for a long stay cable, if the cable natural frequency $N_r$ were 2 Hz and its diameter were $D = 0.15$ m (5.9 inches) then, using a Strouhal number $S = 0.2$, it can be determined that the vortex shedding excitation of the $r^{th}$ mode will occur at a wind speed of $U_{VS} = 1.5$ m/s (3.4 mi/h). This is clearly a very low wind speed, showing that vortex shedding can begin in the lower modes at very modest speeds. For higher modes the wind speed will be higher.

The amplitude of the cable oscillations depends on the mass and damping of the cable. An approximate formula for the maximum amplitude $y_0$ as a fraction of the diameter is shown in equation 35:

$$\frac{y_0}{D} \approx 0.008 \left( \frac{C_L}{m \zeta / \rho D^2} \right) \times \left( \frac{U_{VS}}{nD} \right)^2$$

where:
- $C_L$ = oscillating lift coefficient,
- $m$ = mass of cable per unit length,
- $\zeta$ = damping ratio,
- $U_{VS}$ = wind velocity at peak of oscillations, and
- $\rho$ = air density.

The lift coefficient $C_L$ has some dependence on oscillation amplitude as well as Reynolds number, but a rough value suitable for order of magnitude estimates is $C_L \approx 0.3$.

It can be seen from this relationship that increasing the mass and damping of the cables reduces oscillation amplitudes. The parameter $(m \zeta / \rho D^2)$ is called the Scruton number. Higher values of Scruton number will tend to suppress vortex excitation and, as will be seen later, other types of wind-induced oscillation also tend to be mitigated by increasing the Scruton number.

It is difficult to give a precise estimate of the damping expected to occur in the cables of cable-stayed bridges; however, cable damping ratios can range anywhere from 0.0005 to 0.01 (0.05 to 1.0 percent of critical). The lower end of this range is typical of very long cable stays before cement grouting, while the upper end of this range is more typical of shorter cable stays with grouting and some end damping.

For a bundled steel cable stay with a damping ratio of $\zeta = 0.005$, the Scruton number $(m \zeta / \rho D^2)$ has a value in the range of about 7 to 12 depending on the sheathing material, on whether grouting is used, and if so, on how much of the cable system mass consists of grouting. The value of $(U_{VS}/nD) \approx 5$, so the above expression leads to $y_0/D \approx 0.008 \times 0.3 \times (1/7) \times 25 = 0.0084$ for the lower end of the range of $(m \zeta / \rho D^2)$. The lower end of the range of $(m \zeta / \rho D^2)$ would correspond to a typical cable on a cable-stayed bridge prior to grouting. The predicted amplitude
of oscillation is small—of order 1 percent of the cable diameter—and it would drop to about $y_0/D \approx 0.0049$ (i.e., about one-half of 1 percent of the cable diameter) for the higher value of $(m\zeta/\rho D^2) = 12$ that corresponds to a grouted cable with 0.005 damping ratio.

If the damping ratio of the stay cables is extremely low (e.g., 0.001), as has been observed on some cable-stayed bridges before grouting is applied, then the amplitude could conceivably increase to about $y_0/D = 0.044$ (i.e., about 4 percent of the cable diameter). Typically, 4 percent of the cable diameter would amount to not more than a few millimetres (i.e., still a small amplitude). Over many cycles at this amplitude it may be possible for fatigue problems to arise, but these larger oscillations are expected to be primarily a construction phase phenomenon when low values of $(m\zeta/\rho D^2)$ occur on ungrouted and lightly damped cables. Therefore the time period involved is less likely to be long enough for fatigue problems to develop.

From the above discussion, it is clear that the classical vortex type of excitation of a single isolated cylindrical shape is unlikely to lead to serious oscillations of typical bridge cables. The predicted amplitudes are small even for lightly damped stay cables prior to grouting.

When one cable is close to other cables, especially when it lies in their wakes, the interactions become very complex especially at close spacings (e.g., 2 to 6 diameters). The vortex shedding behavior is modified, occurring at slightly different wind speeds, and leading to amplitudes that can be several times larger than for the isolated cable. However, even with this further magnification of the vortex response because of interaction effects, the amplitudes still do not reach magnitudes sufficient to explain the vibrations observed on some bridges.

Therefore, a general conclusion is that vortex shedding from the cables themselves is unlikely to be the root cause of cable vibration problems on bridges. There are other more serious forms of wind-induced oscillations, as explained below, that are more likely candidates for causing fatigue problems. Almost any small amount of damping that is added to the cables will be sufficient to effectively suppress vortex excitation.

**Wake Galloping for Groups of Cables**

When a cable lies in the wake of another cable the wind forces on it depend on its position in the wake. When the cable is near the center of the wake the wind velocity is low and it can move upwind against a lower drag force. If it is in the outer part of the wake, it experiences a stronger drag force and will tend to be blown downwind. Also, because of the shear flow in the wake of the upwind cable, the downwind cable will experience an across-wind force tending to pull it away from the wake center, the magnitude of this across wind force being a function of the distance from the wake center. These variations in drag and across wind forces can lead to the cable undergoing oscillations which involve both along-wind and across-wind components (i.e., the cable moves around an elliptical orbit). Over each complete orbit it can be shown that there is a net transfer of energy from the wind into the cable motion. For smaller spacings of the cables, say 2 to 6 diameters, the downwind cable moves around a roughly circular orbit. For larger spacings the orbit becomes more elongated into an ellipse with its major axis roughly aligned with the wind direction.
This type of instability is called wake galloping. The wind speeds involved are typically substantially higher than those for the onset of vortex excitation. It can cause oscillations much larger in amplitude than those seen in vortex excitation. For example, oscillation amplitudes of order 20 cable diameters have been observed on bundled power conductors for cable spacings in the 10–20 diameter range. In some cases adjacent cables clashed with each other. This type of wake galloping could potentially occur on the cable arrays on cable-stayed bridges or for grouped hangers. Less severe forms of galloping, but still problematic, can occur at smaller spacings in the 2 to 6 diameter range.

As for vortex excitation of the isolated cable, the Scruton number \( \frac{m \zeta}{\rho D^2} \) is an important guide as to the likelihood of there being a problem due to wake galloping effects. Cooper has proposed an approximate global stability criterion, based on earlier work by Connors.\(^{15,20}\) This criterion gives the wind velocity \( U_{\text{CRIT}} \) above which instability can be expected because of wake galloping effects. It is given in terms of \( \frac{m \zeta}{\rho D^2} \) as shown in equation 36:

\[
U_{\text{CRIT}} = c N_r D \frac{m \zeta}{\rho D^2}
\]

where \( c \) is a constant. For close cable spacings (e.g., 2 to 6 diameters), the value of the constant \( c \) appears to be about 25 but for spacings in the 10 to 20 diameter range it goes up to about 80. This relationship shows that increasing the Scruton number \( \frac{m \zeta}{\rho D^2} \) or natural frequency \( N_r \) will make the cable array stable up to a higher wind velocity.

Thus, if for example \( \frac{m \zeta}{\rho D^2} = 10 \), \( D = 152 \text{ mm} \) (6 inches), and \( N_r = 1 \text{ Hz} \), then for the spacing in the range 2 to 6 diameters we find \( U_{\text{CRIT}} = 43.5 \text{ km/h} \) (27 mi/h). This is quite low and is a speed common enough to have the potential to cause fatigue problems. However, \( N_r \) may be increased by installing crossties to the cables to shorten the effective length of cable for the vibration mode of concern. If crossties were used at two locations along this cable, dividing it into three equal lengths, the frequency \( N_r \) would be tripled resulting in \( U_{\text{CRIT}} = 129 \text{ km/h} \) (80 mi/h), which is high enough to have a much smaller probability of occurring. Added to the stiffening effect of the spacers is the additional damping that they most likely cause. For a cable, significant damping occurs at the points where it is clamped, such as at its ends or at spacers placed along its length.

It should be noted that the values of \( c \) in equation 36 quoted above were for wind normal to the axis of the cable. For cable-stayed bridges, wind normal to the axis of the cable typically is not possible, at least for wind directions where wake interference can occur. The angle is typically in the range of 25° to 60° rather than 90°. Therefore, it is probable that for stay cable arrays the values of \( c \) would be higher than those quoted above. Most cables on cable-stayed bridges are separated by more than 6 diameters. Therefore, it is probably conservative to assume a \( c \)-value of 80 when estimating the critical velocity for wake galloping. More research is needed in this area to better define wake galloping stability boundaries for inclined cables.
The oscillations caused by wake galloping are known to have caused fatigue of the outer strands of bridge hangers at end clamps on suspension and arch bridges. Fatigue problems of this type have yet to be encountered on cable-stayed bridges, but could potentially occur on cross cables. Therefore, it is good practice to avoid sharp corners where the cross cables enter the clamps linking them to the main cables or the deck. Bushings of rubber or other visco-elastic materials at the clamps can help reduce fatigue and can be a source of extra damping.

**Galloping of Dry Single Cables**

Single cables of circular cross sections do not gallop when they are aligned normal to the wind. However, when the wind velocity has a component along the span, it is no longer normal to the cable axis and for cables inclined to the wind, an instability with the same characteristics as galloping has been observed. In figure 33, the data of Saito et al. are shown plotted in the form of $U_{\text{CRIT}}/(f D)$ versus $S_c$. The data came from a series of wind tunnel experiments on a section of bridge cable mounted on a spring suspension system. Also plotted are curves calculated from equation 15 for several values of $c$ in the range of 25 to 55. It can be seen that all the data points except one lie above the curve for $c = 40$ and that this value could be used to predict the onset of single inclined cable galloping.

Another possible mechanism of single inclined cable galloping which has not received a lot of attention in the literature is the notion that the wind “sees” an elliptical cross section of cable, for the typical wind directions where single cable galloping has been seen. Elliptical sections with ellipticity of about 2.5 or greater have a lift coefficient with a region of negative slope at angles of attack between $10^\circ$ to $20^\circ$. An ellipticity of 2.5 would correspond to an angle of inclination of the cable of approximately $25^\circ$, which can occur in the outermost cables of long-span bridges. (Ellipticity is defined as the maximum width divided by the minimum width—e.g., a circle has an ellipticity of 1.0.) The negative slope of the lift coefficient may result in galloping instability if the level of structural damping in the cables is very low. The ellipticity range and angle range where galloping occurs is likely to be sensitive to surface roughness and Reynolds number.
There is a need for further experimental studies to confirm the results of Saito et al. and to extend the range of conditions studied.\textsuperscript{(13)} Saito’s results were nearly all at very low damping. There is a particular need to investigate if galloping of an inclined cable is indeed possible at damping ratios of 0.005 and higher.

The conclusion of recent testing (see appendix D) was that instability occurs at very low damping levels; however, if enough damping is added these instabilities disappear. It is expected that if enough cable system damping is supplied to mitigate rain/wind-induced vibrations, these vibrations should also be suppressed.

**Rain/Wind-Induced Vibrations**

It has been observed on several bridges that the combination of rain and wind will cause cable vibrations. Hikami and Shiraishi described this phenomenon as it was observed on the Meikonishi cable-stayed bridge on cables of about 140-mm (5.5-inch) diameter.\textsuperscript{(11)} This well-documented case is a good illustration of the phenomenon. Oscillation single amplitudes of more than 254 mm (10 inches) developed. In other cases, amplitudes in excess of 1 m (3 ft) have been observed. The cables, consisting of parallel wires inside a PE pipe, had masses of 37.2 and 52.1 kg/m (25 and 35 lb/ft) before and after cement grouting, respectively. The damping ratio was reported to be in the range of 0.0011 to 0.0046 depending on cable length, vibration mode, and construction situation. It is probable that the lower values of damping corresponded to the ungrouted case. With this assumption, the Scruton number for the ungrouted cables was as low as \((m\zeta/\rho D^2) = 1.7\). The cable lengths were in the range of 64 to 198 m (210 to 650 ft).
The oscillations were seen in the wind speed range of 29 to 48 km/h (18 to 30 mi/h) and the modes of vibration affected by oscillations all had frequencies in the 1- to 3-Hz range and were any one of the first four modes. Based on wind tunnel tests that reproduced the oscillations, it was established that rivulets of water running down the upper and lower surfaces of the cable in rainy weather were the essential component of this aeroelastic instability. The water rivulets changed the effective shape of the cable. Furthermore they moved as the cable oscillated, causing cyclical changes in the aerodynamic forces which led, in a not fully understood way, to the wind feeding energy into oscillations. The wind directions causing the excitation were at about 45° to the plane of the cables with the affected cables being those sloping downwards in the direction of the wind. The particular range of wind velocities that caused the oscillations appears to be that which maintained the upper rivulet within a critical zone on the upper surface of the cable. A lower velocity simply allowed the water rivulet to drain down to the bottom surface and a higher velocity pushed it too far up onto the upper surface for it to be in the critical zone.

As with vortex excitation and galloping, any increase in the Scruton number \( \left( \frac{m\zeta}{\rho D^2} \right) \) is beneficial in reducing the cable’s susceptibility to rain/wind-induced vibrations. It is noteworthy that many of the rain/wind-induced vibrations that have been observed on cable-stayed bridges have occurred during construction when both the damping and mass of the cable system are likely to have been lower than in the completed state, resulting in a low Scruton number. The grouting of the completed cables adds both mass and probably damping, and often sleeves of visco-elastic material are added to the cable end connections which further raises the damping. The available circumstantial evidence indicates that the rain/wind type of vibration primarily arises as a result of some cables with exceptionally low damping, down in the \( \zeta = 0.001 \) range.

Since many bridges have been built without experiencing problems from rain/wind-induced vibration of cables it appears probable that in many cases the level of damping naturally present is sufficient to avoid the problem. The rig test data of Saito et al., obtained using realistic cable mass and damping values, are useful in helping to define the boundary of instability for rain/wind oscillations. Based on their results it appears that rain/wind oscillations can be avoided provided that the Scruton number is greater than 10 (equation 37):

\[
\frac{m\zeta}{\rho D^2} > 10
\]  

(37)

This criterion can be used to assess how much damping a cable needs to avoid rain wind oscillation problems. Recent full-scale data have generally supported this criterion.

Since the rain/wind oscillations are due to the formation of rivulets on the cable surface, it is probable that the instability is sensitive to the surface roughness or to small protrusions on the surface and to the type of sheathing material. One approach to solving the rain/wind problem is to have small protrusions running parallel to the cable axis or coiled around its surface. For example, Matsumoto et al. indicate that they found axially aligned protrusions of about 4.8 mm (0.2 inch) height and 11 mm (0.4 inch) width at 30-degree intervals around the perimeter of 153-mm (6-inch) diameter cables were successful in suppressing oscillations. This method has been used on the Higashi-Kobe Bridge and has proven effective. However, for longer main
spans, the additional drag force on the cables introduced by the protrusions can become a substantial part of the overall wind loads.

Flamand has used helical fillets 1.6 mm (0.06 inch) high and 2.4 mm (0.09 inch) wide with a pitch length of 0.6 m (2 ft) on the cables of the Normandie Bridge.\textsuperscript{(8)} This technique has proven successful, with a minimal increase in drag coefficient. Work by Miyata and Yamada has shown that lumped surface roughness elements, typically of order 1 percent of cable diameter, can be used to introduce aerodynamic stability in rain/wind conditions with no appreciable increase in drag force.\textsuperscript{(22)}

Examples of these techniques are illustrated in figure 34.

![Figure 34. Drawing. Aerodynamic devices.](image)

**Galloping of Cables with Ice Accumulations**

The accumulation of ice on a cable in an ice or freezing rain storm can lead to an effective change in shape of the cable to one that is aerodynamically unstable. This has caused large amplitude oscillations of long power conductor cables and could potentially occur on bridge cables. However, we are not aware of this being a common problem on bridges. In the power industry special dampers such as the Stockbridge damper have been employed to mitigate this problem. For bridges, the general measure of ensuring that cables do not have excessively low damping is probably sufficient to avoid most problems from this source.

**Galloping of Cables in the Wakes of Other Structural Components**

The wakes of bridge components such as towers or arches have velocity gradients and turbulence in them, and if a cable becomes impacted by these disturbed flows they can, in principle, experience galloping oscillations. It might be difficult to distinguish oscillations caused by this
mechanism from those due to other causes such as buffeting, galloping in the wakes of other
cables, or rain/wind oscillations. There do not appear to be any reports of cases where galloping
in the wake of another structural component, such as a bridge tower, has been specifically
identified. As with other types of instability, ensuring the cable has as high a damping as possible
would be a good general preventive measure against this type of galloping.

Cable Oscillations Caused by Aerodynamic Excitation of Other Bridge Components

The natural modes of vibration of a long-span bridge and its cables, treated as a single system,
are numerous. Many of these modes involve substantial cable motions accompanying relatively
small motions of other major components such as the deck. It is conceivable, therefore, that the
deck could be excited, by vortex shedding, for example, into very small oscillations which are of
little significance for the deck but which involve concomitant motions of one or more of the
cables at much larger amplitude. To the observer this type of response to wind could well appear
like pure cable oscillations if the deck motions were too small to notice, and yet the source of the
excitation would, in this case, be wind action on the deck.

Pinto da Costa et al. have shown analytically that small amplitudes of anchorage oscillation can
lead to large cable responses if the exciting frequency is near the natural frequency of the lower
modes of the cables.\textsuperscript{(23)} Anchorage displacement amplitudes as low as 38.1 mm (1.5 inches) are
shown to cause steady-state cable displacements of over 1.8 m (6 ft) for a 442-m (1,450-ft) stay
cable with a critical damping ratio below 0.1 percent, typical of bridge stay cables during
erection. Anchorages motions with frequencies equal to or double the first natural frequency of
vibration of the cables are most likely to excite the cables. This type of excitation appears not to
have been identified specifically in full-scale observations of bridges. On the other hand, it is a
subtle effect that could easily be confused with other forms of cable excitation. It is a subject
requiring further research.

Motions Caused by Wind Turbulence Buffeting

Flexible structures such as long bridges and their cable systems undergo substantial motions in
strong winds simply because of the random buffeting action of wind turbulence. Very long
cables will have their lower modes of vibration excited by this effect, but it is not an aeroelastic
instability. Even very aerodynamically stable structures will be seen to move in strong winds if
they are flexible. Buffeting motions are not typically a problem for bridge cables. However, they
may be mistakenly identified as the beginnings of an aeroelastic instability. The buffeting
motions increase gradually with wind speed, rather than in the sudden fashion associated with an
instability.

Motion Caused by Fluctuating Cable Tensions

Fluctuating forces caused by turbulence produces fluctuating tension in the stays, which induces
fluctuating forces at the anchorage points (lifting on the deck and pulling on the tower).
Davenport has noted that the fluctuating axial tension in cable stays produced by drag is an
additional excitation mechanism for the cables.\textsuperscript{(24)} Denoting the change in drag per unit length of
the cable by $\Delta F_D$, the magnitude of the fluctuating tension as a fraction of the fluctuating lateral load on the stays is shown in equation 38:

$$\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta F_D L} = k_e \times \frac{T/(mL)}{1 + (k_e/k_g) - (\omega/\omega_o)^2}$$

(38)

where:

$L$ = cable length,
$k_e$ = elastic stiffness of the cables, $AE/L$,
$k_g$ = gravitational stiffness, $[m\pi^4/8][T/(mL)]^3$,
$\omega_o$ = natural frequency of the cable, and
$\omega$ = exciting frequency.

A strong multiplier effect is indicated in equation 38 through the term $T/(mL)$ and the term $(1 + (k_e/k_g) - (\omega/\omega_o)^2)$ which has resonance characteristics at $\omega = \omega_o$.

It is important to note the relationship between anchorage displacements and cable tension. A combination of fluctuating tension in the stay cables and oscillation of the anchorage points will likely have the effect of feeding energy into the cables, amplifying the motion. Ensuring adequate damping levels for the stay cables will reduce cable motion considerably.

**Mitigating Measures**

From the above discussion it is clear that there are a number of causes of aerodynamic excitation of cables, so there are several possible approaches to developing mitigating measures. Some of these are not always practical for implementation but they are all listed below for completeness.

- **Modify shape:** Increasing the surface roughness with lumped regions of roughness elements or helical fillets has the benefit of stabilizing the cables during rain/wind conditions without an increase in drag. Well-defined protrusions on the cable surface with a view to modifying the behavior of the water rivulets in rain/wind-induced vibration (as discussed earlier; see figure 34) have been used in Japan. These methods are most effective for the rain/wind instability problem. It is unclear how effective these techniques are for solving the wake galloping problem. The use of helical fillets as cable surface treatment is becoming popular for new cable-stayed bridges, including Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge (Massachusetts), U.S. Grant Bridge (Ohio), Greenville Bridge (Mississippi), Maysville-Aberdeen Bridge (Kentucky), William Natcher Bridge (Kentucky), and Cape Girardeau Bridge (Missouri).

- **Modify cable arrangement:** The wake interaction effects of cables can be mitigated by moving the cables further apart. Clearly, the implications of this must be weighed against other design constraints such as aesthetics and structural design requirements.
• Raise natural frequencies: By raising the natural frequencies of the cables the wind velocity at which aerodynamic instability starts is increased. The natural frequency depends on the cable mass, the tension, and the length. Often the tension and mass are not quantities that are readily adjusted without impacting other design constraints, but the effective cable length can be changed, at least in arrays of cables, by connecting the cables transversely with secondary cable crossties (figure 35). The lowest natural frequency susceptible to aerodynamic excitation can be easily be raised several fold by this means. An example was given earlier in the section on wake galloping. Bridges where crossties are being provided include Dames Point Bridge (Florida), Greenville Bridge (Mississippi), Cape Girardeau Bridge (Missouri), Leonard P. Zakim Bunker Hill Bridge (Massachusetts), Maysville-Aberdeen Bridge (Kentucky), and U.S. Grant Bridge (Ohio).

• Raise mass density: Increasing the mass density of the cable may increase the Scruton number; as discussed in the earlier sections, this is universally beneficial in reducing susceptibility to aerodynamic instability. However, in practice the cable mass density can only be varied within a very limited range.

• Raise damping: Increasing the damping is one of the most effective ways of suppressing aerodynamic instability, or postponing it to higher wind velocity and thus making it rare enough not to be of concern. Since the damping of long cables tends to be naturally very low, the addition of relatively small amounts of damping at or near the cable ends can provide dramatic improvements in stability. Several techniques have been successfully used on existing structures, including viscous (oil) dampers (figure 36), neoprene bushings at the cable anchorages, petroleum wax in-fill in the guide pipes, and visco-elastic dampers in the cable anchorage pipe (figure 37). The addition of cross cables to the primary stay cables can also introduce additional damping to the system, on the order of 10 to 50 percent. Experimental studies of the cables of the Higashi-Kobe Bridge in Japan have indicated that cable vibrations are not a problem at damping ratios above 0.3 percent of critical. Full-scale damping measurements on the long-lay cables of the Annacis Bridge in Vancouver, Canada, which include neoprene dampers at both ends of the cable, indicate damping ratios of 0.3 to 0.5 percent. This bridge has apparently had no reported difficulties with cable vibrations. Thus a damping ratio of about 0.5 percent appears to be a minimum threshold to meet to minimize potential cable instability problems.

A number of bridges that have reported cable vibration problems are listed in table 10. Also listed in table 10 are remedies used to solve the cable vibration problem. All of the remedies listed in the table use some of the measures discussed above, and have apparently been effective in mitigating the cable vibration problem. These methods are illustrated in figures 35–37.
Figure 35. Drawing. Cable crossties.

Figure 36. Drawing. Viscous damping.
Figure 37. Drawing. Material damping.
**Table 10. Bridges reporting cable vibration and mitigating measures.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Length of main span (ft)</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Double Amplitude of Vibration (ft)</th>
<th>Remedy¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normandy</td>
<td>Le Havre, France</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>Cable vibrations during steady 1–2m/s winds</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Viscous dampers installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Severn</td>
<td>Bristol, United Kingdom</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>Cable vibrations with and without rain</td>
<td>1.5–5</td>
<td>Cross cables installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helgeland</td>
<td>Sandnessjoen, Norway</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>Large cable vibrations; depending on deck motion</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Cross cables installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meiko Nishi</td>
<td>Aichi, Japan</td>
<td>1,325</td>
<td>Vibration during light rain/low wind speeds</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Cross cables installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tjorn Bridge</td>
<td>Gothenburg, Sweden</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>Vibration during light rain</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Viscous dampers installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenpozan</td>
<td>Osaka, Japan</td>
<td>1,150</td>
<td>Vibration during rain and 10m/s winds</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohlbrandt</td>
<td>Hamburg, Germany</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Viscous dampers installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brotonne</td>
<td>Rouen, France</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>Vibrating in 15m/s winds</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Viscous dampers installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weirton-Steubenville</td>
<td>West Virginia, United States</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>Vibrations noted when winds are parallel to deck</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Visco-elastic dampers to be installed in the guide pipe at deck level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yobuko</td>
<td>Saga, Japan</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>Vibration during light rain</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Manila ropes attached to cables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aratsu</td>
<td>Kyushu Island, Japan</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>Vibration during light rain</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Viscous dampers installed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wandre</td>
<td>Wandre, Belgium</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>Vibration during light rain and 10m/s winds</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Petroleum wax fill in the guide pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben-Ahin</td>
<td>Huy, Belgium</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>Vibration noted during light winds and 10 m/s winds</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Petroleum wax grout added in the guide pipe and cross cables also added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzette</td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>Vibration during drizzle and light winds</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Neoprene guides inside the guide pipe at deck and petroleum wax fill in the guide pipe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹See figures 34–37 for illustrations of mitigating measures.

1 ft = 0.305 m
APPENDIX D. WIND TUNNEL TESTING OF STAY CABLES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To clarify the dry cable galloping phenomenon and verify the instability data by Saito et al., a series of wind tunnel tests of a 2D sectional model of an inclined cable was conducted. The wind tunnel tests were performed in the propulsion wind tunnel at the Montreal Road campus of the NRC-IAR. The main findings of the current study can be summarized as follows:

- Limited-amplitude high-speed vortex shedding excitations have been observed under a variety of conditions.
- Motions that reached the limits of the test rig were observed at low damping for one case (i.e., 2C); it is possible that this was a divergent galloping instability.
- The limited-amplitude vibration was observed only in the limited wind speed range at different wind speed levels corresponding to the critical Reynolds number. The maximum amplitude of the response depends on the orientation angle of the cable.
- The limited-amplitude high-speed vortex excitation was easily suppressed by increasing structural damping.
- If wind blows along the cable, for cables with a vertical inclination angle $\theta \leq 45^\circ$, the increase of surface roughness makes the unstable range shift to lower wind speeds.
- Since elastic bands were used to change the frequencies of the cable motion in the X- and Y-directions, which at the same time affects the system damping, no clear frequency ratio effect was identified from the test results. However, this parameter could be important for the aerodynamic behavior of the inclined dry cable, and thus is worthy of further investigation.
- The instability data for inclined cable vibration defined by Saito et al. is found to be more conservative than the results obtained from the current study. The instability defined by the current findings has a much steeper slope than that given by Saito.
- The Reynolds number effect, which results from the model surface condition and the orientation angle, needs to be further explored.

INTRODUCTION

The focus of the test program was to examine the response of a dry cable (i.e., no rain/wind interaction) inclined at various angles to the wind. As discussed in the main text, various methods for suppressing rain/wind oscillations have been developed and appear to be effective, even though not all aspects of the excitation mechanism are fully understood. Therefore, tests on rain/wind excitation were concluded to be not at the top of the priority list. However, the question of oscillations of dry cables is still the subject of much debate. Some believe the only dry cable oscillations that occur are due to parametric excitation, in which the fluctuating aerodynamic forces acting on the deck and towers cause some oscillations of those components, which then feed energy into the cables causing them to develop much larger oscillations. Others believe wind action on the cables themselves, either involving Den Hartog-type galloping or a high-speed form of vortex excitation, is the source of oscillations. The aim of the test program was to investigate the direct action of wind on dry inclined cables with a view toward helping to
resolve the debate. In particular, the tests would duplicate some of the conditions tested by Saito et al. and attempt to confirm or modify the criteria suggested in that paper for dry cable galloping.\(^{(13)}\)

Since the wind speeds where oscillations have been observed and the typical stay cable diameters result in the cables being in a critical range of Reynolds number (where the aerodynamic parameters such as drag coefficient and Strouhal number undergo rapid transformations), it was important to undertake the testing in the same Reynolds number range as experienced in the field. The “model” scale was therefore selected to be approximately 1:1. A segment of cable with an overall diameter of 160 mm (6.3 inches) was selected (the outer PE sheath, without a spiral bead, being the same as that used for the Maysville Bridge). The test cable mass was selected to facilitate achieving realistic Scruton numbers. Also, it was important to use a wind tunnel with a speed range similar to the range of wind speeds seen on bridges. The propulsion wind tunnel at the NRC in Ottawa, Canada, was selected for this purpose, having a speed range of 0–39 m/s (0–87 mi/h), and having working section dimensions of 6.1 m (20 ft) in the vertical direction and 3.05 m (10 ft) in the horizontal direction. The local assistance of Professor Hiroshi Tanaka and his graduate student S.H. Cheng at the University of Ottawa was also obtained for the running of the tests, data analysis, and assistance in report preparation. The testing also benefited from the advice and experience of NRC staff, including Dr. Guy Larose who had undertaken tests previously in Europe on cable vibration problems.

The dynamic test rig supporting the test cable was designed and constructed and shipped to Ottawa after initial shake down tests in Guelph. The contractor’s staff also directed the test program. In designing the rig, use was made of the fact that, in the context of cable vibrations, wind does not know the difference between the vertical and horizontal directions. The only angle that matters as far as its interaction with a cable is concerned is the angle between the wind vector and the cable axis. This simplified the wind tunnel tests because it implied that the test cable could be maintained in a vertical plane aligned with the central axis of the wind tunnel. The only angle adjustment needed to cover the range of relative angles between wind and cable seen on a real bridge was the angle of the test cable to the horizontal. However, a cable on a bridge does have slightly different frequencies in the vertical plane and in lateral direction. Therefore, to the extent that this frequency ratio matters, it was felt that there should be the ability to alter the cable frequencies in two orthogonal directions on the model. This was made possible by having adjustable sets of orthogonal springs at each end of the test cable. The orientation of the test rig springs could be rotated to any angle about the test cable axis. This, combined with the adjustable angle between test cable and the horizontal axis of the wind tunnel, allowed various combinations of wind direction relative to the bridge axis and full scale cable angle relative to the horizontal to be simulated, covering most of the range of interest.

Other parameters of importance to cable vibrations, besides those already discussed, are the damping ratio, frequency ratio of vertical and horizontal cable frequencies, and the surface roughness. Therefore the test program included an examination of the influence of these parameters.
MODEL DESIGN

Cable Model

A 6.7-m (22-ft)-long full-scale cable model was used for the purpose of the current project. The cable, consisting of an inner steel pipe and outer smooth PE tube, has an outside diameter of 160 mm (6.2 inches). A 3/8–16 machine screw was put on at one end only during the initial setup stage to prevent the inner steel pipe sliding out of the PE tube. The weight of the cable itself is 356.4 kg (785 lb), i.e., 53.2 kg/m (35.7 lb/ft). The model is supported on a rig designed and manufactured by RWDI. When the cable vibrates, the effective cable mass should include that of the steel shaft at the pipe ends, and one-third of the spring mass on the supporting rig. Thus, the total active dynamic mass of the cable is 407.4 kg (896 lb), and the effective mass per cable length is 60.8 kg/m (40.8 lb/ft).

Angle Relationships Between the Cable and Mean Wind Direction

As shown in figure 38, the orientation of the cable with respect to the mean wind direction can be represented by two angles. If the wind blows with a horizontal angle of $\beta$ to the bridge axis, the projection of the cable on the horizontal plane makes a horizontal yaw angle $\beta$ with the wind vector. Also, if the cable is assumed to be in a vertical plane parallel to the bridge axis, it has a vertical inclination angle $\theta$.

![Figure 38. Drawing. Angle relationships between stay cables and natural wind (after Irwin et al.).](image)

The relative angle $\Phi$ between the wind direction and cable axis has the relationship with $\theta$ and $\beta$ as shown in equation 39:

$$\cos \Phi = \cos \beta \cos \theta$$

(39)
The direction of cable motion is normal to both the wind direction and the cable axis, and can be represented by the vector $\theta\beta'$ given in figure 41. $\theta\beta'$ has an angle $\alpha$ with respect to its horizontal projection $\theta\beta$. This angle $\alpha$ also has a relationship with the orientation angles $\theta$ and $\beta$, given by equation 40:

$$\tan \alpha = \tan \beta / \sin \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

**Supporting Rig**

The cable supporting rig was designed and built for the current project by the contractor. For the purpose of the test, there are a number of requirements for the design of the rig:

- To allow the vibration of a 6.7-m (22-ft)-long, 356.4-kg (784.1-lb) full-scale cable model at the natural frequency of 1–2 Hz.
- To allow the convenient change of cable orientation angles for exploring more different setup cases.
- This setup of the cable model allowed for approximately 6.0-m (19.7-ft) of the 6.7-m (22-ft) cable in the wind flow and maintained the upwind support out of the wind flow.
- To keep the inherent structural damping of the whole system as low as possible, so that the level of damping for controlling dynamic behavior can be examined by supplying additional damping.
- To be able to simulate a slight difference between the horizontal and vertical frequencies as it is expected of in the case of real stay cables.

The designed test rig meets all these requirements. It consists of the upper and bottom parts to support both ends of the cable model, as shown in figures 39 and 40. There are two pairs of springs set in two perpendicular directions on each part of the rig. Both springs are in the plane perpendicular to the cable axis. The adjustment of the spring stiffness controls the change in cable frequencies in the spring direction. The total mass of the springs is 60 kg (132 lb). The vertical spring constant is 4.99 kN/m (342 lb/ft), and the horizontal one is 4.78 kN/m (328 lb/ft) (when one of them is placed horizontally).
Because of the size of the cable model and the limitation in the dimension of the wind tunnel facility, the simulation of real cable orientation angles, $\theta$ and $\beta$, for the range of interest is difficult to reproduce. Thus, the simulation of the corresponding wind-cable relative angle $\Phi$ and cable motion direction angle $\alpha$ are considered instead.

The cable model is setup in the wind tunnel with its upper wind end protruding through the tunnel ceiling and supported by the upper part of the rig. One panel of the wind tunnel ceiling was cut out to allow the cable to go through. The downwind end of the model is supported by the bottom part of the rig, which is supported on a horizontal H-shaped frame. Two sides of the frame are fixed on the wind tunnel wall. The distance from the tunnel floor to the plane of the frame can be adjusted by fixing the two sides of the frame at different heights on the tunnel wall. By doing so, different wind-cable relative angle $\Phi$ can be modeled. The cable model is in a similar orientation as the tests performed by Saito et al. by this setup.$^{13}$
In the present study, an aerodynamic instability is explored that is not a function of the gravitational force but of the inertial mass in the direction of motion. The two parts of the test rig can support the gravitational force of the cable model. Further, an axial cable is attached from the building frame to the upper end of the model to provide more support. The two pairs of springs at both ends can be rotated about the central axis of the cable model. Consequently, the horizontal and vertical vibration planes of the cable are rotated, and the ground plane is effectively being rotated. By rotating the ground plane, the direction of cable motion is changed, thus a different cable motion direction angle $\alpha$ can be achieved. According to equations 39 and 40, by choosing appropriate combinations of $\Phi$ and $\alpha$, the desired orientation angles $\theta$ and $\beta$ of stay cables in real cable-stayed bridges can be modeled in the wind tunnel tests.

For the initial setup, one pair of springs at each end was set along the horizontal direction, while another pair was set perpendicular to it (spring rotation angle $\Phi = 0^\circ$). In this report, the direction coinciding with the initial horizontal spring is defined as X-direction, while that coinciding with the initial vertical spring is defined as Y-direction. The definition of X and Y directions is kept consistent in this report even in the cases where the spring rotation angle was other than $0^\circ$.

**WIND TUNNEL TESTS**

**Wind Tunnel Facilities**

The propulsion wind tunnel at the Montreal Road Campus of NRC-IAR was used to carry out a series of tests for the project. It is an open circuit wind tunnel of the blowing type with fan at the entry. The flow enters the test section through a contraction cone, which accelerates the flow and improves the uniformity of the flow velocity. Figures 41 and 42 show the longitudinal section and cross section of the tunnel respectively. There is a removable cap 3.7 m (12 ft) long at the tunnel roof. An overhead traveling crane with a 15-ton capacity is available to lift the roof cap and install the model. The floor of the working section can be raised up to 0.46 m (1.5 ft) to facilitate work on model installations, to simulate varying ground effects, or to modify floor boundary layer characteristics. The wind tunnel working section is 12 m (39 ft) long, 3 m (10 ft) wide, and 6 m (20 ft) high.

By using the electric drive in the tunnel, the maximum wind speed can reach 39 m/s (128 ft/s). The nonuniformities of the working section velocity are generally less than 0.5 percent of mean wind velocity, and flow direction is within $1^\circ$ of tunnel axis over most of the working section. Because the tunnel is an open-circuit type, the quality of the working section flow depends somewhat on the external wind conditions.
Figure 41. Drawing. Longitudinal section of the propulsion wind tunnel.
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Figure 42. Drawing. Cross section of the working section of propulsion wind tunnel.
Data Acquisition System

The tests were conducted within the LabVIEW environment. Seven channels were recorded simultaneously to collect the response data. The sampling frequency was 100 Hz. The setup of the data acquisition system is shown in figure 43.

Figure 43. Photo. Data acquisition system.

At each end of the model, two noncontact type laser displacement sensors (Model ANR 1226) and controllers (Model ANR 5141) were installed to measure the displacements along the X and Y directions. They were placed within the spring/damper housings and are protected from any influence of the flow. The range of the sensors is $\pm 150$ mm (5.9 inches) with the linear error of less than 0.4 percent.

Two Pitot tubes were mounted separately on the two sides of the tunnel walls. They were placed upwind at the two-thirds points of the model to give a representative mean pressure over the full length of the cable (i.e., at 2.07 m (6.8 ft) from the inlet and 3.61 m (11.8 ft) above the tunnel floor). The pressure was read by a sensitive high differential pressure transducer Druck LPM 9381. The average pressure obtained from these two Pitot tubes was used for the calculation of wind tunnel speed.

The description of the input channels are given as:

- Channel 1: Downwind-end X-direction displacement.
- Channel 2: Downwind-end Y-direction displacement
- Channel 3: Upwind-end X-direction displacement.
- Channel 4: Upwind-end Y-direction displacement.
- Channel 5: Pitot tube #1.
• Channel 6: Pitot tube #2.
• Channel 7: Temperature.

The real-time viewer of the collected response data contains three plots:

• Plot 1: X-direction displacements versus time at each end from laser sensors 1 and 3 (two lines).
• Plot 2: Y-direction displacements versus time at each end from laser sensors 2 and 4 (two lines).
• Plot 3: Mean X- and Y-direction displacements versus time (i.e., (Laser 1 + Laser 3)/2 and (Laser 2 + Laser 4)/2) (two lines).

The measured real-time wind pressure in the tunnel given by Pitot tubes #1 and #2, the corresponding mean wind speed calculated based on the readings from these two Pitot tubes, and the instantaneous tunnel temperature were also displayed.

For each testing case, the collected data from those seven channels were saved in two files. The first file was a time history file. It contained the X- and Y-direction displacements at the two ends of the model recorded by the four laser sensors. The second file contained the summary statistics of the data collected from the seven channels, as well as the mean X-displacement ((Laser1 + Laser3)/2), mean Y-displacement ((Laser2 + Laser4)/2), mean angle 
\[
\left( \text{Arc tan} \frac{Laser_1 + Laser_3}{Laser_2 + Laser_4} \right)
\]
in terms of mean, RMS, maximum, and minimum, respectively.

The convention of the filenames allowed the identification of each individual testing case. It contains the following parameters:

• Pipe finish (surface roughness):  \( A = \) Smooth surface.  
\( B = \) Rough surface.
• Vertical inclination angle of the model: two-digit descriptor in degrees.
• Spring rotation angle: two-digit descriptor in degrees.
• Wind speed: two-digit descriptor in m/s.
• Damping: three-digit descriptor as fraction of critical.
• Excitation method: \( h \) for hard or manual excitation, \( s \) for soft excitation (i.e., without manual excitation).
• Multiple runs of the same case: two-digit flag to identify the running sequence.

As an example, for the testing case of a smooth pipe, with model inclination angle 45°, spring rotation angle 0°, wind speed 18 m/s (59 ft/s), structural damping 0.6 percent of critical, soft excitation, third trial of the same case, the filenames would be:

• A_450018006_s03.tms for the time history file.
• A_450018006_s03.sta for the statistical summary file.
A monitor that was linked to a video camera installed outside the window of the wind tunnel was setup in the control room, the cable motion under different wind velocity levels during the tests could be monitored. Once the instability behavior of the cable motion was observed, it would be recorded on videotape.

**Control of the Damping Level: Airpot Damper**

The effect of damping level on the inclined dry cable vibration was examined. The airpot damper, as shown in figure 44, was initially suggested to be used for supplying additional damping to the cable system at each end of the cable support (two airpot dampers installed along the directions of the two perpendicular springs). A cross-sectional view of the airpot damper is given in figure 45.

![Figure 44. Photo. Airpot damper.](image-url)
Figure 45. Drawing. Cross section of airpot damper.

The airpot damper uses the ambient air as the damping medium. The force to be damped is transmitted through the airpot piston rod, which moves the piston within the cylinder. The orifice setting and the diametric clearance between the piston and cylinder control the rate of air transfer. As the piston moves in response to the force exerted, there will be a change in volume and pressure in the airpot, causing ambient air to enter or leave the cylinder. The rate of airflow can be controlled to provide the exact degree of damping required by a simple adjustment of the orifice.

However, as the tests were prepared, it was found that the frictional damping between the piston and the cylinder wall inside the airpot damper had a significant impact on the small-amplitude motion, which suppressed the hand-excited cable vibration in just a few cycles. Finally, the airpot damper was only used in the cases of very high level damping with the order of 1 percent of critical, while for the intermediate- and high-level damping cases, elastic bands were used along the spring coils to increase the system damping (figure 46). By adjusting the number and locations of the elastic bands to be installed on the spring coils, the desired structural damping levels were achieved.
Surface Treatment

To explore the surface roughness effect on the cable oscillation, a kind of liquid glue was sprayed on the windward side of the model surface to simulate the accumulation of dirt and salt on the real cable.

Frequency Ratio

One distinguishing response characteristic of cable galloping is that the motion follows an elliptical trajectory. In the current model setup, the stiffness of the two pairs of perpendicular springs will affect the amplitude of motion in these two directions, and thus the resultant oscillation path of the cable. By properly adjusting the spring stiffness of these two directions, the associated in-plane and out-of-plane vibration frequencies are changed. If the frequencies are close enough, the motions in these two directions would become resonant, which form an elliptical shape of the motion path. Thus, the impact of the frequency ratio between the two perpendicular directions on the cable behavior of interest is worth studying.

Outline of Test Cases

The aerodynamic behavior of the inclined cable model has been investigated in this wind tunnel testing by different combinations of model setup, damping level, and surface roughness as described in Tables 11, 12, and 13, respectively.
Table 11. Model setup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Setup</th>
<th>Full Scale Cable Angles (degrees)</th>
<th>Model Cable Angles (degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B$^1$</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C$^2$</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Setup 1A ($\theta = 0^\circ$, $\beta = 45^\circ$) and 1B are identical in reality, except that the sway and vertical frequencies are switched.

$^2$Setup 2C is the same case in which Miyata et al. have found the divergent motion of the inclined dry cable.$^{(17)}$

Table 12. Different damping levels of the model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damping Description</th>
<th>Dampers Used</th>
<th>Approximate Damping Range (percent of critical) (damping is amplitude dependent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low damping</td>
<td>No damper added</td>
<td>0.03 to 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate damping</td>
<td>16 elastic bands per sway spring</td>
<td>0.05 to 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High damping</td>
<td>28 elastic bands per sway spring</td>
<td>0.15 to 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high damping</td>
<td>Airpot damper with 1.25 dial turns</td>
<td>0.30 to 1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13. Surface condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Condition</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smooth surface</td>
<td>PE pipe with clean surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough surface</td>
<td>PE pipe with glue sprayed on the windward side of the cable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 47 through 49 show the model setups of the investigated cases in the wind tunnel tests, and figure 50 shows a picture of the inclined cable setup in the wind tunnel.
Figure 47. Drawing. Side view of setups 1B and 1C.

1 mm = 0.039 inch
Figure 48. Drawing. Side view of setups 2A and 2C.
$1 \text{ mm} = 0.039 \text{ inch}$

**Figure 49.** Drawing. Side view of setups 3A and 3C.
Figure 50. Photo. Cable setup in wind tunnel for testing.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General Procedures for a Given Test Setup

For a given case with its specific setup, the general procedures of the wind tunnel test are as follows:

- In still air, take tare case of the model.

- Measure the system structural damping in X- and Y-directions by hand exciting the model along these two directions respectively. Once the desired amplitude level is reached, stop the hand excitation and let the model undergo free vibration. The structural damping of the system and the vibration frequency can thus be identified.

- Start at the low wind speed (for the setup that is tested for the first time, take 7 m/s (23 ft/s), while for other cases, the starting value can be determined based on previous trials), increase in 2-m/s (6.5-ft/s) increments. When the wind speed approaches the expected unstable range, increase the speed by 1 m/s (3.3 ft/s) until unstable vibrations occur.

- In reality, some external effects such as wind turbulence and motions of the deck may help to initiate cable vibrations. Thus, during the tests, if no unstable vibration occurs within the expected wind speed range, hand excitation will be used to help initiate the unstable motion of the model and see if the motion decays or grows.

- If the unstable behavior is observed, add additional damping to the system. Repeat the above procedure for different damping levels until the unstable motion is eliminated.

- For the low damping level (no additional damping added), change the surface roughness and repeat the same procedure.

Characteristics of Cable Motion

Both the divergent type of cable vibration and the limited-amplitude cable motion at a certain wind speed range have been observed in the current study.

Divergent Type of Motion

Motions of the cable that resembled divergence were observed only in setup 2C. For this setup, the model has a vertical angle of $\Phi = 60^\circ$, and the spring rotation angle of $\alpha = 54.7^\circ$. This is equivalent to the full-scale cable orientation of the vertical inclination angle $\theta = 45^\circ$ and horizontal yaw angle $\beta = 45^\circ$.

The relationships between the system structural damping and response amplitude were obtained by hand exciting the model in X- and Y-directions, respectively. The maximum amplitude of the hand excitation was about 35 mm (1.4 inches). The free vibration immediately following the
hand excitation was recorded for 15 minutes. The amplitude-dependent structural damping as a percentage of critical values in X- and Y-directions are given in figure 51. The vibration frequency in the X-direction is 1.400 Hz, and that in the Y-direction is 1.415 Hz.

The wind-induced response of setup 2C is given in figure 52. The test started at a low wind speed of 10 m/s (30 ft/s). When wind speed reached 32 m/s (105 ft/s), the motion became more organized and the amplitude in the Y-direction was built up steadily from ±20 to ±80 mm (±0.8 to ±3.1 inches) within 3 minutes. As shown by figures 53–57, which are the time histories in the X- and Y-motion at both ends of the model and the motion trajectory, the predominant motion occurs in the Y-direction. The motion had the tendency of growing further, but it had to be manually suppressed because of the clearance for the model setup at the wind tunnel ceiling. The recorded peak-to-peak amplitude was about 1D, where D is the cable diameter.

**Limited-Amplitude Motion**

The limited-amplitude unstable motion of the inclined cable model has been observed at certain wind speed levels under setups 2A, 1B, 1C, and 3A. The full-scale cable orientation angles, the model setup angles, and the unstable wind speed ranges corresponding to each individual case are given in table 14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Setup</th>
<th>Full-Scale Cable Angles</th>
<th>Model Cable Angles</th>
<th>Unstable Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>θ</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>Φ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 U_r = reduced wind speed.
1 mm = 0.039 inch

Figures 58–87 show the time histories at both ends of the model as well as the trajectory of the motion for those four different setups when unstable behavior of the cable was observed.

Three sets of time histories are given in figures 58–69 for setup 2A. The first and second set describe the time history and trajectory of the cable motion at wind speed of 18 m/s (59 ft/s) during the first and second 5 minutes, whereas the third set describes the time history and trajectory of the motion when wind speed increased to 19 m/s (62 ft/s). It can be clearly seen from these three sets that the vibration amplitude in the X-direction increases from about ±20 to about ±50 mm (±0.8 to ±2 inches) within the first 5 minutes of U = 18 m/s (59 ft/s), then
increases a little bit to ±60 mm (±2.3 inches) and stays steadily at that level during the second 5 minutes of \( U = 18 \text{ m/s} \) (59 ft/s). Once the wind speed increases to 19 m/s (62 ft/s), the vibration amplitude in the X-direction starts reducing slowly.

The relationship between the wind speed and response of these four setups are given in figures 88–91. The unstable ranges are clearly indicated by the peaks in the response curves.

Based on observations, this limited-amplitude cable motion looks more like vortex shedding excitation rather than galloping. The characteristics of the motion are summarized as follows:

- The amplitude of the motion is limited. As given in table 13, the largest amplitude observed is in setup 2A. When wind speed is 19 m/s (62 ft/s), the amplitude of the cable motion reaches 67 mm (2.6 inches).

- The vibration was observed only in the limited wind speed range at different wind speed levels. The reduced wind velocities corresponding to these ranges are approximately the multiples of 20.

- The maximum magnitude of the vibration depends on the orientation angle of the cable. It is larger with a greater vertical inclination angle, as given in table 14. In setup 3B, which represents the full-scale cable with a very shallow inclination angle of 20° and yaw angle of 29.4°, no unstable motion was observed within the wind speed range of 8–34 m/s (26–111.5 ft/s). Figure 92 gives the response of the cable with respect to wind speed in setup 3B. It can be seen that the amplitude of the motion stayed at less than 5 mm (0.2 inch).

- At the wind speed range where the cable motion was observed, there are two types of response: one is an organized harmonic motion, another is with a regular beating, which is similar to what Matsumoto described as the case of 3D Karman vortex shedding excitation.\(^{(16)}\) He explains that this regular beating is caused by the aerodynamic interaction between the axial vortices along the inclined cable surface and the ordinary Karman vortices in the wake of the cable. The Karman vortex shedding is amplified intermittently by the axially produced vortices and induces the beating type motion of the inclined cable.

- In some of the setups when the spring rotation angle is not 0°, which is equivalent to the wind horizontally oblique to the cable, an elliptical motion of the cable was observed, which correlates well with the field observation.\(^{(6)}\)

- The critical Reynolds number at which the sudden decrease in the drag coefficient occurs is influenced both by surface roughness and flow turbulence. The critical Reynolds number range for a smooth circular cylinder under uniform flow is \(2–4\times10^5\), as shown in figure 93.\(^{(28)}\) From the Reynolds numbers listed in table 13, it is interesting to observe that for the current study, all of these instabilities occurred more or less at wind speeds corresponding to this critical Reynolds number range.
Damping Effect

Four different levels of damping (i.e., low, intermediate, high, and very high damping) have been used in the tests to investigate the impact of structural damping on the aerodynamic behavior of the inclined cable.

A comprehensive set of damping tests was carried out with the model setup 1B, which represents the cable vertical inclination angle of $45^\circ$ and horizontal yaw angle of $0^\circ$ in full-scale bridge cables. No additional damper was applied for the low damping case. For the intermediate and high damping cases, the elastic bands were used to bind the spring coils together to increase the system damping, as shown previously in figure 46. The achieved damping level depends on the number and locations of the elastic bands. To obtain the intermediate level of damping, 16 elastic bands were used on each sway spring in the X-direction; while for the high damping level, 28 elastic bands were used on each spring in both X- and Y-directions. The very high damping level was achieved by installing the airpot dampers at both ends of the model with 1.25 dial turn.

The relationship between the critical damping ratio and the sway amplitude corresponding to these four levels of damping are given in figure 94. Figure 95 gives the wind-induced response of the cable model with setup 1B under those four levels of damping. As clearly shown in the figure, when the damping is increased, the response is significantly reduced, but the position of the unstable wind speed range does not change. This set of results indicates that this limited-amplitude unstable motion can be suppressed by increasing the damping of the cable.

Surface Roughness Effect

The cable model has been tested under both smooth and rough surface conditions. For the rough surface case, a kind of liquid glue was sprayed on the windward side of the model to simulate the accumulation of dirt and salt on the real cable.

Figures 96–98 describe the response of the model cable with setups 3A, 1B, and 2A under both smooth and rough surface conditions. These three cases are equivalent to wind blowing along the cable in full-scale situation. The vertical inclination angles $\theta$ are $35^\circ$, $45^\circ$, and $60^\circ$, respectively.

It can be seen from these three figures that for setups 3A and 1B, of which the inclination angle $\theta \leq 45^\circ$, the increase of cable surface roughness makes the unstable response range shift to lower wind speed. For setup 2A, there are two peaks in the sway response curve of the smooth surface case: one is around 19 m/s (62 ft/s), and another is at about 34 m/s (111.5 ft/s). However, in the rough surface case, only one peak is identified, which is in the range of 31–32 m/s (101.7–105 ft/s). No clear peak shift phenomenon can be found for this setup. Since the inclination angles of these three setups are different, the difference in the responses given by those three figures not only include the surface roughness effect, but the influence of the orientation of the cable as well. Therefore, although the increase of cable surface roughness makes the unstable response range shift to lower wind speed for the cases of $\theta \leq 45^\circ$, the conclusion regarding the surface roughness effect is still inconclusive from the present study alone.
The difference between the surface roughness would affect the flow separation point of the cable model, which changes the critical Reynolds number. This is likely to alter the lift and drag forces acting on the inclined cable, and thus changes its aerodynamic behavior. Further, a recent study shows that the change of orientation angle of the smooth cylinder will also affect the Reynolds number.\(^{(29)}\) To better understand the mechanism of the unstable motion of the inclined cable and develop methods to eliminate or mitigate the instability, it is very important to further explore this Reynolds number effect.

**Frequency Ratio Effect**

In real cable-stayed bridges, the horizontal and vertical frequencies of the stay cables are slightly different. The design of the cable model and supporting rig in the current project faithfully reproduced this characteristic. Results obtained from the tested cases show that for the vibration of the cable model, the predominant motion was always in the direction of higher frequency. To investigate the impact of frequency ratio on the aerodynamic behavior of inclined dry cable, efforts were made to change the frequency ratio between the horizontal and vertical motions. Tests were conducted for three different frequency ratios with setup 2A:

- **Case 1:** \(f_s = 1.477\) Hz, \(f_v = 1.452\) Hz, \(f_s / f_v = 1.017\).
- **Case 2:** \(f_s = 1.460\) Hz, \(f_v = 1.448\) Hz, \(f_s / f_v = 1.008\).
- **Case 3:** \(f_s = 1.428\) Hz, \(f_v = 1.432\) Hz, \(f_s / f_v = 0.997\).

where \(f_s\) is the sway frequency in the X-direction, and \(f_v\) is the vertical frequency in the Y-direction. All three cases were done with the smooth surface condition. For cases 1 and 2, 28 elastics bands were applied on each spring. In case 1, the elastic bands were placed such that they not only bound the spring coils into several groups, but touched the steel rods inside the spring coils as well. In case 2, only half number of the elastic bands touched the rods. To get higher frequency in the Y-direction in case 3, the elastic bands on the sway springs were removed, and those kept on the vertical springs did not touch the steel rod.

Figures 99–102 show the damping records and responses corresponding to these three cases. In figure 99, which is the damping of the motion in the X-direction, case 3 has a much lower damping ratio within the same amplitude range as compared with case 1 and 2. This is because in case 3, the elastic bands on the sway springs were removed, which reduced the system damping in the X-direction. The larger sway response of case 3 shown in figure 101 correlates well with this fact.

From the response curves shown in figures 101–102, no clear effect of the frequency ratio can be identified. This could be that the differences between the frequencies of those three cases are too small. Also, by using elastic bands to change the frequencies, the system damping was also affected. To further explore this frequency ratio effect, it will be a better approach to get different frequencies by adjusting the stiffness of the springs. Therefore, other effects, such as the change in the system damping, can be kept as small as possible.
Comparison with Other Studies

A limited number of experimental studies on inclined cables have been conducted, many of these in Japan. Saito et al. defined an instability criterion for the inclined cable motion based on three different model setups.\(^{13}\) Two of them are exactly the same as setup 1B and 2A in the current study. Miyata et al. investigated the inclined dry cable motion with one model setup, which corresponds to setup 2C in the present series of tests.\(^{17}\) To make comparison, these two sets of results, as well as the results obtained from the current study are shown together in figure 103. Among the results from current findings, only the one corresponding to setup 2C exhibited signs of divergent galloping motion, whereas the others are all high-speed vortex shedding excitation. The Scruton number is defined as

\[ Sc = \frac{m \zeta}{\rho D^2}, \]

where \( m \) is cable mass per unit length, \( \zeta \) is the logarithmic decrement, \( \rho \) is the air density, and \( D \) is the cable diameter. As can be seen from the figure, the boundary for instability defined by Saito et al.\(^{13}\) is much more conservative when compared with the results given by Miyata et al.\(^{17}\) and the current study. In addition, the similar instability criterion that could be defined by current findings would have much steeper slope than that given by Saito et al.\(^{13}\), which implies that with the increase of the cable structural damping, the instability range of the inclined cable motion will be shifted to even higher wind speed level, or the motion would be effectively eliminated.
Figure 51. Graph. Amplitude-dependent damping (A, sway; B, vertical) with setup 2C (smooth surface, low damping).
Figure 52. Graph. Divergent response of inclined dry cable (setup 2C; smooth surface, low damping).

Figure 53. Graph. Lower end X-motion, time history of setup 2C at $U = 32$ m/s (105 ft/s).
Figure 54. Graph. Top end X-motion, time history of setup 2C at $U = 32$ m/s (105 ft/s).

Figure 55. Graph. Lower end Y-motion, time history of setup 2C at $U = 32$ m/s (105 ft/s).
Figure 56. Graph. Top end Y-motion, time history of setup 2C at $U = 32$ m/s (105 ft/s).

Figure 57. Graph. Trajectory of setup 2C at $U = 32$ m/s (105 ft/s).
Figure 58. Graph. Lower end X-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 18$ m/s (59 ft/s) in the first 5 minutes.

Figure 59. Graph. Top end X-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 18$ m/s (59 ft/s) in the first 5 minutes.
Figure 60. Graph. Lower end Y-motion, time history of setup 2A at \( U = 18 \text{ m/s (59 ft/s)} \) in the first 5 minutes.

Figure 61. Graph. Top end Y-motion, time history of setup 2A at \( U = 18 \text{ m/s (59 ft/s)} \) in the first 5 minutes.
Figure 62. Graph. Lower end X-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 18$ m/s (59 ft/s) in second 5 minutes.

Figure 63. Graph. Top end X-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 18$ m/s (59 ft/s) in second 5 minutes.
Figure 64. Graph. Lower end Y-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 18$ m/s (59 ft/s) in second 5 minutes.

Figure 65. Graph. Top end Y-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 18$ m/s (59 ft/s) in second 5 minutes.
Figure 66. Graph. Lower end X-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 19$ m/s (62 ft/s).

(b) Top end X-motion

Figure 67. Graph. Top end X-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 19$ m/s (62 ft/s).
Figure 68. Graph. Lower end Y-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 19$ m/s (62 ft/s).

Figure 69. Graph. Top end Y-motion, time history of setup 2A at $U = 19$ m/s (62 ft/s).
Figure 70. Graph. Lower end X-motion, time history of setup 1B at $U = 24$ m/s (79 ft/s).

Figure 71. Graph. Top end X-motion, time history of setup 1B at $U = 24$ m/s (79 ft/s).
Figure 72. Graph. Lower end Y-motion, time history of setup 1B at $U = 24$ m/s (79 ft/s).

Figure 73. Graph. Top end Y-motion, time history of setup 1B at $U = 24$ m/s (79 ft/s).
Figure 74. Graphic. Lower end X-motion, time history of setup 1C at $U = 36$ m/s (118 ft/s).

Figure 75. Graph. Top end X-motion, time history of setup 1C at $U = 36$ m/s (118 ft/s).
Figure 76. Graph. Lower end Y-motion, time history of setup 1C at $U = 36$ m/s (118 ft/s).

Figure 77. Graph. Top end Y-motion, time history of setup 1C at $U = 36$ m/s (118 ft/s).
Figure 78. Graph. Lower end X-motion, time history of setup 3A at $U = 22 \text{ m/s (72 ft/s)}$.

Figure 79. Graph. Top end X-motion, time history of setup 3A at $U = 22 \text{ m/s (72 ft/s)}$. 
Figure 80. Graph. Lower end Y-motion, time history of setup 3A at $U = 22$ m/s (72 ft/s).

Figure 81. Graph. Top end Y-motion, time history of setup 3A at $U = 22$ m/s (72 ft/s).
Figure 82. Graph. Trajectory of setup 2A at $U = 18$ m/s (59 ft/s), first 5 minutes.

Figure 83. Graph. Trajectory of setup 2A at $U = 18$ m/s (59 ft/s), second 5 minutes.
Figure 84. Graphic. Trajectory of setup 2A at $U = 19$ m/s (62 ft/s).

Figure 85. Graphic. Trajectory of setup 1B at $U = 24$ m/s (79 ft/s).
Figure 86. Graphic. Trajectory of setup 1C at $U = 36$ m/s (119 ft/s).

Figure 87. Graph. Trajectory of setup 3A at $U = 22$ m/s (72 ft/s).
Figure 88. Graph. Wind-induced response of inclined dry cable (setup 2A; smooth surface, low damping).

Figure 89. Graph. Wind-induced response of inclined dry cable (setup 1B; smooth surface, low damping).
Figure 90. Graph. Wind-induced response of inclined dry cable (setup 1C; smooth surface, low damping).

Figure 91. Graph. Wind-induced response of inclined dry cable (setup 3A; smooth surface, low damping).
Figure 92. Graph. Wind-induced response of inclined dry cable (setup 3B; smooth surface, low damping).

Figure 93. Graph. Critical Reynolds number of circular cylinder (from Scruton).\(^{(27)}\)
Figure 94. Graph. Damping trace of four different levels of damping (setup 1B; smooth surface).

Figure 95. Graph. Effect of structural damping on the wind response of inclined cable (setup 1B; smooth surface).
Figure 96. Graph. Surface roughness effect on wind-induced response of dry inclined cable (setup 3A; low damping).

Figure 97. Graph. Surface roughness effect on wind-induced response of dry inclined cable (setup 1B; low damping).
Figure 98. Graph. Surface roughness effect on wind-induced response of dry inclined cable (setup 2A; low damping).

Figure 99. Graph. Amplitude-dependent damping in the X-direction with setup 2A (frequency ratio effect).
Figure 100. Graph. Amplitude-dependent damping in the Y-direction with setup 2A (frequency ratio effect).

Figure 101. Graph. Wind-induced response of inclined cable in the X-direction with setup 2A (frequency ratio effect).
Figure 102. Graph. Wind-induced response of inclined cable in the Y-direction with setup 2A (frequency ratio effect).

Figure 103. Graph. Comparison of wind velocity-damping relation of inclined dry cable.
APPENDIX E. LIST OF TECHNICAL PAPERS

The following technical papers were developed for this project as part of the investigation of mitigation methods. Most of these were transmitted to FHWA for review during the project as they were completed. The results of this research are presented in appendix F as extractions from these publications:


APPENDIX F. ANALYTICAL AND FIELD INVESTIGATIONS

OUTLINE OF RESEARCH AREAS

Development of recommended design approaches for mitigation measures has focused on the following:

- Addition of linear and nonlinear dampers.
  - Response a taut cable with an attached linear damper.
  - Response of a taut cable with an attached linear damper with a friction threshold.
  - Response of a taut cable with an attached nonlinear damper (exponent other than one as in the case of a linear damper).
- Crosstying of stay cables.
  - Response assessment of crosstied stays with rigid and spring connectors.
  - Dampers in combination with crossties.
- Field assessment of damper and crosstie performance, focusing on the systems installed on the Fred Hartman Bridge.
  - Performance of linear dampers.
  - Performance of crossties.
  - Detailed study of damper performance.

Addition of Linear and Nonlinear Dampers

Stay cables have very low levels of inherent mechanical damping, rendering them susceptible to multiple types of excitation. To suppress the problematic vibrations, dampers are often attached to the stays near the anchorages. Although the mechanisms that induce the observed vibrations are still not fully understood, the effectiveness of attached dampers has been demonstrated. The potential for widespread application of dampers for cable vibration suppression necessitates a thorough understanding of the resulting dynamic system.

Response of a Taut Cable with an Attached Linear Damper

Background

Carne and Kovacs were among the first to investigate the vibrations of a taut cable with an attached damper, both focusing on determination of first-mode damping ratios for damper locations near the end of the cable. Carne developed an approximate analytical solution, obtaining a transcendental equation for the complex eigenvalues and an accurate approximation for the first-mode damping ratio as a function of the damper coefficient and location, while Kovacs developed approximations for the maximum attainable damping ratio (in agreement with Carne) and the corresponding optimal damper coefficient (about 60 percent in excess of Carne’s accurate result). Subsequent investigators formulated the free-vibration problem using Galerkin’s method, with the sinusoidal mode shapes of an undamped cable as basis functions, and several hundred terms were required for adequate convergence in the solution, creating a computational burden. Several investigators have worked to develop modal damping estimation curves of
general applicability, and Pacheco et al. introduced nondimensional parameters to develop a “universal estimation curve” of normalized modal damping ratio versus normalized damper coefficient, which is useful and applicable in many practical design situations.\(^{33,34}\) To consider the influence of cable sag and inclination on attainable damping ratios, Xu et al. developed an efficient and accurate transfer matrix formulation using complex eigenfunctions.\(^{35}\) Recently, Krenk developed an exact analytical solution of the free-vibration problem for a taut cable and obtained an asymptotic approximation for the damping ratios in the first few modes for damper locations near the end of the cable.\(^{36}\) Krenk also developed an efficient iterative method for accurate determination of modal damping ratios outside the range of applicability of the asymptotic approximation.

Previous investigations have focused on vibrations in the first few modes for damper locations near the end of the cable, and while practical constraints usually limit the damper attachment location, it is important to understand both the range of applicability of previous observations and the behavior that may be expected outside of this range. Damper performance in the higher modes is of particular interest, as full-scale measurements indicate that vibrations of moderate amplitude can occur over a wide range of cable modes. The approach here uses an analytical formulation of the free-vibration problem to investigate the dynamics of the cable-damper system in higher modes and without restriction on the damper location. The basic problem formulation in this paper follows quite closely the approach used by Krenk, although it was developed independently as an extension of the transfer matrix technique developed by Sergev and Iwan and Iwan and Jones to calculate the natural frequencies and mode shapes of a taut cable with attached springs and masses.\(^{36,37,38}\) A similar approach was used by Rayleigh to consider the vibrations of a taut string with an attached mass.\(^{39}\) Using this formulation, the important role of damper-induced frequency shifts in characterizing the system is observed and emphasized. Consideration of the nature of these frequency shifts affords additional insight into the dynamics of the system, and when the shifts are large, complicated new regimes of behavior are observed.

The influences of sag and bending stiffness are neglected in this section because the linear taut string approximation is considered applicable to many real stay cables, and the simplifications introduced by these approximations allow for a more efficient formulation of the problem and a more detailed investigation of the dynamics of the system. It has been shown that moderate amounts of sag can significantly reduce the first-mode damping ratio, while the damping ratios in the higher modes are virtually unaffected.\(^{33,35}\) However, using a database of stay cable properties from real bridges, Tabatabai and Mehrabi found that for most stays the influence of sag is insignificant even in the first mode, while the influence of bending stiffness could be significant for many stays, especially for damper locations near the end of the cable.\(^{40}\) This is investigated more fully in the following section.

**Theory**

Only the basic aspects of the theory are summarized here. The reader is referred to the full publication by Main and Jones for more details.\(^{41}\)

In designing a damper for cable vibration suppression, it is necessary to determine the levels of supplemental damping provided in the first several modes of vibration for different values of the
damper coefficient and different damper locations. Due in part to the multiple excitation mechanisms causing stay cable oscillation, it is also important to consider the damping performance in higher modes. In the case of taut cable with a linear viscous damper (figure 104), the supplemental damping ratios can be determined from a complex eigenvalue analysis of the damped cable in free vibration.

![Diagram of taut cable with linear damper](image)

**Figure 104. Chart. Taut cable with a linear damper.**

The solution of the governing partial differential equation over the two cable segments on each side of the damper using separation of variables leads to the following expressions (equations 41 and 42) for the cable displacement:

\[
y_1(x, \tau) = \gamma \frac{\sinh(\pi \lambda \frac{x_1}{L})}{\sinh(\pi \lambda \ell_1 / L)} e^{\lambda \tau}
\]

(41)

\[
y_2(x, \tau) = \gamma \frac{\sinh(\pi \lambda \frac{x_2}{L})}{\sinh(\pi \lambda \ell_2 / L)} e^{\lambda \tau}
\]

(42)

where:

- \(\tau\) = a nondimensional time \(\tau = \omega_{o1} t\),
- \(\omega_{o1}\) = the undamped fundamental natural frequency of the cable \(\omega_{o1} = (\pi / L) \sqrt{T/m}\), and
- \(\lambda\) = the nondimensional eigenvalue, which is complex in general, and for small damping is “mostly” imaginary.

Equilibrium of forces at the damper location can be written as equation 43:

\[
T \left[ \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_2} \bigg|_{x_2=\ell_2} - \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} \bigg|_{x_1=\ell_1} \right] = c \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial t} \bigg|_{x_1=\ell_1}
\]

(43)

Substituting the solution from equations 41 and 42 into the equilibrium equation 43 leads to the following transcendental equation (equation 44) for the nondimensional eigenvalue \(\lambda\):
\[
\sinh(\pi \lambda) + \frac{\pi}{mL \omega_o} \frac{c}{L} \sinh(\pi \lambda \frac{L}{L}) \sinh(\pi \lambda \frac{L}{L}) = 0 
\]

Equation 22 can be solved numerically for the complex eigenvalues to obtain the modal damping ratios in as many modes as desired to an arbitrary degree of accuracy. The damping ratio in the \(i^{th}\) mode can be readily obtained from equation 45:

\[
\zeta_i = \left( \frac{\varphi_i^2}{\sigma_i^2 + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

where:
\(\sigma_i = \text{Re}(\lambda_i)\) and \(\varphi_i = \text{Im}(\lambda_i)\).

Note that \(\varphi_i\) is the nondimensional frequency of damped oscillation in mode \(i\). Previous numerical investigations revealed nondimensional groupings of parameters allowing the results of the eigenvalue analysis to be presented as a very useful “universal estimation curve” of normalized modal damping ratio versus normalized damper coefficient, which is applicable in the first few modes for dampers located near the end of the cable and allows easy determination of optimal design values.(33) Krenk demonstrated that an explicit analytical equation for this universal curve could be obtained from the transcendental eigenvalue equation using asymptotic approximations.(36) The resulting approximate expression is a generalization to higher modes of the approximation previously obtained by Carne for the damping ratio in the fundamental mode.(31)

For damper locations resulting in small frequency shifts (e.g., dampers near the end of the stay), the expression can be written as equation 46:

\[
\frac{\zeta_i}{(\ell_i / L)} \approx \frac{\pi^2 \kappa}{(\pi^2 \kappa)^2 + 1}
\]

where \(\kappa\) is a nondimensional parameter grouping defined as shown in equation 47:

\[
\kappa \equiv \frac{\frac{c}{mL \omega_o}}{\pi} \frac{\ell_i}{L} = 1 \frac{c}{\sqrt{Im}} \frac{\ell_i}{L}
\]

Equation 46 gives the damping attainable in a particular mode of vibration \(i\) as a function of the damper coefficient \(c\), mass per unit length \(m\), cable length \(L\), and fundamental circular frequency \(\omega_o\) as well as normalized damper location \(\ell_i/L\).
**Results**

In figure 105, the normalized damping ratio $\zeta/(\ell_1/L)$ has been plotted against the nondimensional damping parameter, $\kappa$, for the first five modes for a damper location of $\ell_1/L = 0.02$, and it is evident that the five curves collapse very nearly onto a single curve in good agreement with the approximation of equation 46. It is important to note that because the mode number is incorporated in the nondimensional damping parameter $\kappa$, the optimal damping ratio can be achieved in only one mode of vibration in the case of a linear damper. This is a potential limitation because it is currently unclear how to specify, a priori, the mode in which optimal performance should be achieved for effective suppression of stay cable vibration, and designing a damper for optimal performance in a particular mode may potentially leave the cable susceptible to vibrations in other modes.

![Figure 105. Graph. Normalized damping ratio versus normalized damper coefficient.](image)

**Summary**

Free vibrations of a taut cable with an attached linear viscous damper were investigated in detail. An analytical formulation of the complex eigenvalue problem was used to derive an equation for the eigenvalues that is independent of the damper coefficient. This “phase equation” reveals the attainable modal damping ratios $\zeta_i$ and corresponding oscillation frequencies for a given damper location $\ell_i/L$, affording an improved understanding of the solution characteristics and revealing the important role of damper-induced frequency shifts in characterizing the response of the system.

When the damper-induced frequency shifts are small, an asymptotic approximate solution has been developed, relating the damping ratio $\zeta_i$ in each mode to the nondimensional damper coefficient, $\kappa$.

When the damper is far from the end of the stay, different regimes of behavior can be observed, potentially significantly altering the performance of the damper. For such situations, refer to Main and Jones.\(^{(41)}\)
Response of a Taut Cable with an Attached Linear Damper with a Friction Threshold

This section presents an analytical investigation of the influence of a friction threshold on damper performance for stay cable vibration suppression. An asymptotic solution for the modal damping ratios is presented for a taut cable with a linear viscous damper, based on previous investigations, and an “equivalent viscous damper” formulation is used to extend these results to a viscous damper with a friction threshold. The relevant nondimensional parameter groupings are identified: a viscous damper parameter \( \kappa \) and a friction parameter \( \mu \). The approximate solution is appropriate only for relatively small values of the friction parameter \( \mu \), for which the assumption of nearly sinusoidal motion is valid. It is observed that the influence of friction is to reduce the optimal value of the viscous damper parameter \( \kappa \), and for sufficiently large values of \( \mu \), to reduce the attainable damping ratios as well.

Ongoing measurements of damper forces, both in the field and in the laboratory, seem to indicate that the dampers possess a friction threshold that is quite large relative to the force levels engaged by wind-induced oscillations of the stays. When the forces in a damper are below this friction threshold, the damper simply locks the cable at the attachment point, shifting the oscillation frequencies without providing any supplemental damping.

Motivated by the potentially large magnitude of the damper friction threshold and the apparent significance of its effect in the measured data, this section presents an analytical investigation of the influence of a friction threshold on damper performance. Carne and Kovacs et al. previously developed approximate solutions for the damping ratios in the case of a purely frictional damper using equivalent viscous solutions, and a similar approach is taken here.\(^{31,42}\) Asymptotic approximate solutions for the damping ratios in the case of a taut cable with a linear viscous damper are summarized from the results of investigations reported above. An equivalent viscous damping formulation is then developed to extend the results for a linear viscous damper to a viscous damper with a friction threshold. The relevant nondimensional parameter groupings are identified, and the solution characteristics are explored.

**Problem Formulation**

The problem under consideration is the vibration of a taut cable with an attached viscous damper having a friction threshold, depicted in figure 106. The nonlinear force-velocity relationship for the damper with a friction threshold is modeled by the following equation:

\[
F_d(v) = F_0 \text{sign}(v) + \alpha v
\]  

where \( v \) is the velocity of the cable at the damper attachment point. The resulting force-velocity curve is depicted in figure 107.
In the case of a purely viscous damper \( (F_o = 0) \), an exact solution to the free-vibration problem has been obtained which yields a transcendental equation for the complex eigenvalues and an explicit asymptotic solution for the modal damping ratios in the first few modes for damper locations near the end of the cable \( (\ell_1 \ll \ell_2) \). This solution has also been extended to develop an approximate solution for the effective damping ratios for a nonlinear power law damper. The asymptotic solution for the linear viscous damper is extended here to the friction/viscous damper by computing an equivalent viscous damper coefficient, \( c_{eq} \), using an equivalent energy dissipation criterion. This equivalent viscous solution is less appropriate when the forces in the damper are below the friction threshold for a significant portion of the oscillation cycle; in such cases, the damper is locked for much of the cycle so that the motion at the damper is far from sinusoidal, and the spatial form of the solution also differs significantly from the solution for the linear viscous damper. Further work is necessary to better model this frictional “sticking” to develop more accurate solutions in cases for which this effect is significant.

**Asymptotic Solution for Taut Cable with Linear Viscous Damper**

In the case of a taut cable with a linear viscous damper, when the damper-induced frequency shifts are small, as is the case for vibrations in the first few modes when \( \ell_1 \ll \ell_2 \), an asymptotic approximation can be obtained relating the damping ratio in the \( i^{th} \) mode to the “clamping ratio,” a normalized measure of the damper-induced frequency shift in that mode (equation 49).
The “clamping ratio” $\Theta_{ci}$ is defined as shown in equation 50:

$$\Theta_{ci} = \frac{\phi_i - i}{\Delta \phi_i}; \quad 0 \leq \Theta_{ci} < 1$$

(50)

where $\phi_i$ is the nondimensional frequency of oscillation in the $i^{th}$ mode (the dimensional frequency is given by $\omega_o \phi_i$, where $\omega_o$ is the undamped fundamental frequency of the cable: $\omega_o = (\pi/L)\sqrt{T/m}$). The term $\Delta \phi_i = i(L/\ell_2)$, in the denominator of equation 50, is the difference between the “clamped” frequency $\phi_{ci} = i(L/\ell_2)$, associated with a rigid damper ($c \to \infty$), and the undamped frequency $\phi_o = i$, associated with $c \to 0$. Consequently, $\Theta_{ci} \to 0$ as $c \to 0$, and $\Theta_{ci} \to 1$ as $c \to \infty$. Equation 49 is independent of the mode number, indicating a maximum damping ratio of $\zeta_i = (1/2)(L/\ell_1)$ in each mode, corresponding to an optimal clamping ratio of $\Theta_{ci} = 1/2$. Physically, this means that the optimal damping performance in a given mode is achieved when the damper has shifted the frequency of oscillation in that mode to a value halfway between the undamped frequency and the clamped frequency. Equation 49 also indicates that the damping ratio in a given mode approaches zero as the clamping ratio approaches unity and the damper effectively locks the cable at the damper location.

Figure 108 shows a plot of equation 49 along with curves corresponding to the exact solution in each of the first five modes for a damper location of $\ell_1/L = 0.02$, and for this damper location the exact solutions agree quite well with the approximation of equation 49. An additional asymptotic approximation can be obtained relating the clamping ratio to the viscous damper coefficient (equation 51):

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sqrt{-\Theta_{ci}}$$

(51)

where $\kappa$ is a normalized viscous damper coefficient previously defined in equation 47 as:

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{c}{mL_1 \omega_o} \frac{\ell_1}{L} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{c}{\sqrt{Tm}} \frac{\ell_1}{L}$$

(47)
Figure 109 shows a plot of equation 51 along with curves corresponding to the exact solution in each of the first five modes for a damper location of $\ell_1/L = 0.02$, and for this damper location the exact solutions agree quite well with the approximation of equation 51. An asymptotic approximation can also be obtained relating the amplitude at the damper attachment point $\gamma$ to the peak modal amplitude $A$:

$$\frac{\gamma}{A} \approx \pi i (\ell_1 / L) \sqrt{1 - \Theta_{ci}}$$

(52)

Figure 108. Graph. Normalized damping ratio versus clamping ratio.

Figure 109. Graph. Normalized viscous damper coefficient versus clamping ratio.

Equations 49, 50, and 51 will prove useful in extending the solution for a taut cable with a viscous damper to develop an approximate solution for the friction/viscous damper.

**Equivalent Viscous Damper Formulation**

At a given amplitude and frequency of oscillation, a nonlinear damper can be approximated by an equivalent linear viscous damper which dissipates the same amount of energy in one cycle of oscillation. If the cable can be assumed to be oscillating nearly sinusoidally at a frequency $\omega$ with an amplitude $\gamma$ at the damper attachment point, the velocity of the cable at the damper attachment point can be expressed as shown in equation 53:
\[ v(t) = \gamma \omega \sin \omega t \]  

(53)

The work done by the damper in one cycle of oscillation can then be expressed as shown in equation 54:

\[ \Delta W_d = \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} F_d \cdot v \, dt \]  

(54)

Substituting \( F_d = c_{eq} \cdot v \) into equation 54, substituting equation 53 for \( v \), and performing the integration yields the following expression (equation 55) for the work done by the equivalent viscous damper in one cycle of oscillation:

\[ \Delta W_d^{(eq)} = \pi c_{eq} \gamma^2 \omega \]  

(55)

The value of the equivalent viscous damper coefficient \( c_{eq} \) for a nonlinear damper can then be obtained by equating the work done by the nonlinear damper in one cycle of oscillation to the expression in equation 55 and solving for \( c_{eq} \), which yields equation 56:

\[ c_{eq} = \frac{\Delta W_d}{\pi \gamma^2 \omega} \]  

(56)

where \( \Delta W_d \), computed from equation 54, is the work done by the nonlinear damper in one cycle of oscillation at a given amplitude and frequency. Substituting the force-velocity relation for the friction/viscous damper in equation 48 and the velocity in equation 53 into equation 54 yields the following expression (equation 57) for the work done in one cycle for the friction/viscous damper:

\[ \Delta W_d = 4F_\gamma + \pi c \gamma^2 \omega \]  

(57)

Substituting this value into equation 56 yields the following expression (equation 58) for the equivalent linear damper coefficient:

\[ c_{eq} = \frac{4F_\gamma}{\pi \omega \gamma} + c \]  

(58)

When the damper-induced frequency shifts are small, the frequency of oscillation in a given mode \( i \) is close to the undamped frequency: \( \omega \approx \omega_{ai} = i(\pi / L)\sqrt{T / m} \). Substituting this approximation into equation 58 along with the previously developed approximation for the amplitude at the damper (equation 53) and normalizing by \( \sqrt{Tm} \) yields the following nondimensional expression (equation 59) for the equivalent viscous damper coefficient:
\[ \frac{c_{eq}}{\sqrt{Tm}} = \frac{4}{\pi^3 i^2 (\ell_1 / L) \sqrt{1 - \Theta_{ci}}} \left( \frac{F_v}{T} \frac{L}{A} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{Tm}} \right) \]  

(59)

The asymptotic relation between the damper coefficient and the clamping ratio for a linear damper (equation 51) can be generalized to a nonlinear damper by substituting \( c_{eq} \) for \( c \) in the definition of \( \kappa \) in equation 47 to yield equation 60:

\[ \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{Tm}} \frac{\ell_1}{L} \approx \frac{1}{\pi^2 \sqrt{1 - \Theta_{ci}}} \]  

(60)

Substituting the expression for the equivalent damper coefficient (equation 59) into equation 60 then yields, after some simplification, the following relation between the clamping ratio \( \Theta_{ci} \) and two nondimensional parameters, shown in equation 61:

\[ \mu \approx (\pi^2 / 4)[\sqrt{\Theta_{ci}} - \pi^2 \kappa \sqrt{1 - \Theta_{ci}}] \]  

(61)

where \( \mu \) is a nondimensional friction parameter shown in equation 62:

\[ \mu \equiv \left( \frac{F_v}{T} \left( \frac{A i}{L} \right)^{-1} \right) \]  

(62)

and \( \kappa \) is the normalized viscous damping parameter as defined previously in equation 47.

**Solution Characteristics**

For a given value of the clamping ratio \( \Theta_{ci} \), equation 61 indicates a linear relationship between \( \mu \) and \( \kappa \). Figure 110 shows a plot of \( \mu \) versus \( \kappa \) for several different values of \( \Theta_{ci} \).

![Figure 110. Graph. Relationship between nondimensional parameters \( \mu \) and \( \kappa \) with different values of the clamping ratio \( \Theta_{ci} \) for a friction/viscous damper.](image)
When $\Theta_{ci} = 1$, corresponding to the “clamped” limit in which the cable is completely restrained at the damper location, equation 42 reduces to:

$$\mu_c = (\pi^2 / 4) \approx 2.47$$ (63)

When $\mu \geq \mu_c$, corresponding to a relatively large friction force and/or small amplitude, the damper is completely locked and provides no energy dissipation. The condition at which the damper is completely locked by friction can also be computed directly by considering equilibrium of forces at the damper location, and this approach gives a value of $\mu_c = \pi$, which differs significantly from the value obtained in equation 63 from the equivalent viscous damper formulation. This discrepancy arises because, as discussed previously, when the damper approaches the limit of being completely locked by friction, the damper is “stuck” for a significant portion of the oscillation cycle, causing the motion at the damper to be far from sinusoidal, and the equivalent viscous damper approximation developed here is inappropriate. Consequently, the solution developed here should only be considered accurate for relatively small values of the friction parameter $\mu$, corresponding to low values of the friction threshold or large amplitudes. Preliminary efforts to model the frictional “sticking” indicate that the equivalent viscous solution gives fairly accurate results for $\mu < 0.5$, while the discrepancies can be significant for larger values of $\mu$.

When $\Theta_{ci} = 0.5$, corresponding to optimal damping, equation 61 reduces to equation 64:

$$\mu_{opt} \approx (\pi^2 \sqrt{2} / 8)(1 - \pi^2 \kappa_{opt})$$ (64)

Equation 64 is the equation of the line in figure 110 labeled $\Theta_{ci} = 0.5$, and it specifies the combinations of $\mu$ and $\kappa$ for which optimal damping is obtained. Equation 49 can be used in conjunction with equation 61 to generate curves of normalized modal damping ratio versus $\kappa$ for different values of $\mu$, as depicted in figure 111.

![Figure 111. Graphic. Normalized damping ratio versus $\kappa$ with varying $\mu$.](image)
The curve labeled $\mu = 0$ corresponds to the asymptotic solution for the linear viscous damper, for which the optimal value $\kappa_{opt} = 1/\pi^2 \approx 0.101$ can be obtained from equation 64 by setting $\mu = 0$. The effect of increasing friction is to shift the optimal portion of the curve to the left. When $\mu$ exceeds a critical value, optimal damping can no longer be achieved. This critical value can be obtained from 64 by setting $\kappa = 0$, and is given by $\mu = \pi^2 \sqrt{2}/8 \approx 1.75$.

**Summary**

An asymptotic solution for the damping ratios in the case of a taut cable with a linear viscous damper was extended to the nonlinear case of a viscous damper with a friction threshold using an “equivalent viscous damper” formulation. Relevant nondimensional parameter groupings were identified, and the solution characteristics were explored. It is observed that this formulation does a poor job of estimating the amplitude at which the damper is completely locked because of friction, and the results of this analysis should only be considered accurate for relatively small values of the friction parameter $\mu$, for which the assumption of nearly sinusoidal oscillation is appropriate. The observed effect of the friction threshold is to reduce the optimal value of the viscous damping parameter $\kappa$ and ultimately to reduce the attainable damping ratios from the values for a purely viscous damper.

**Response of a Taut Cable with an Attached Nonlinear Damper (Exponent other than 1)**

Recent investigations indicated that a nonlinear damper may potentially overcome the limitation in performance of a linear damper whereby optimal damping performance can only be achieved in one mode of vibration. Kovacs et al. recently reported on the implementation of a friction damper on the Sunningesund Bridge.\(^{(42)}\) The exact formulation of the free-vibration problem for a taut cable with a linear damper can be extended to develop an approximate analytical solution for the amplitude-dependent effective damping ratios in the case of a nonlinear damper, for which the damper provides a dissipative force proportional to the cable velocity at the damper attachment point raised to an arbitrary positive exponent, $\beta$. The formulation is extended by assuming a single-mode response, assuming the solution has the same form as in the linear case (equations 65 and 66), and minimizing the error in the equilibrium equation over a half-cycle of oscillation. Asymptotic approximations then yield the following expressions:

$$
\kappa \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{f(\beta)}{g(\beta)} \left( \frac{\Theta_{ci}}{(1 - \Theta_{ci})^\beta} \right)
$$

(65)

where:

$$
\kappa \equiv \frac{c \left( \frac{A_r}{L} \right)^{\beta-1}}{m(L\omega_{oi})^{2-\beta} \ell^{(2\beta-1)}} \left( \frac{\ell}{L} \right)\beta
$$

(66)
where \( A \) is approximately the peak modal amplitude of oscillation, is the clamping ratio (equation 50) and \( g(\beta) \) are given by equations 67 and 68:

\[
    f(\beta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{\beta+1}(\theta) d\theta = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\beta}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\beta}{2}\right)}
\]

(67)

\[
    g(\beta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{2\beta}(\theta) d\theta = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\beta\right)}{\Gamma(1+\beta)}
\]

(68)

The new definition of the nondimensional damping parameter, \( \kappa \), in equation 67 reduces to equation 47 in the case of a linear damper (\( \beta = 1 \)). Equation 47 can then be written, eliminating the clamping ratio, to obtain an implicit asymptotic approximate expression relating the normalized damping ratio to the nondimensional damping parameter \( \kappa \), which may be regarded as a generalization of the universal estimation curve of Pacheco et al. to the case of a nonlinear damper. The shape of the curve is slightly different for each value of damping exponent, \( \beta \), but for a given damping exponent the curve is nearly invariant with damper location and mode number over the same range of parameters as the universal estimation curve for the linear case. Figure 112 shows a plot of normalized damping ratio versus \( \kappa \) in the first five modes for a square-root damper.

**Figure 112. Graph. Normalized damping ratio versus normalized damper coefficient \((\beta = 0.5)\).**

Because the nondimensional damping parameter \( \kappa \) depends on both the amplitude and mode number of oscillation, the optimal damping performance will be achieved, in general, at different amplitudes of vibration in each mode. An “optimal” value for the damper coefficient can be determined by specifying a design amplitude of oscillation in a given mode at which the optimal performance is desired. This amplitude at which the maximum effective damping ratio is to be achieved will be denoted \( A_{opt} \), and the corresponding mode number will be denoted \( i_{opt} \). The notion of specifying a design-level oscillation amplitude is familiar to designers, as even in the
case of a linear damper an amplitude of oscillation must be specified to determine the stroke and energy dissipation requirements for a linear damper. The value of the damper coefficient necessary to achieve the specified performance is then given by equation 69:

\[
c_{opt} = m(L\omega_{o1})^2 - \beta \left( \frac{L}{L} \right)^{-\beta} \left( \frac{A_{opt}}{L} \right)^{1-\beta} \left( \frac{i_{opt}}{i_{opt}} \right)^{-2\beta} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \right)^{\beta-1} f(\beta) / g(\beta)
\]

(69)

With the damper coefficient designed according to equation 69, the following asymptotic expression (equation 70) can be obtained relating the amplitude and mode number of oscillation to the clamping ratio:

\[
\left( \frac{A_T}{A_{opt}} \right)^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{i}{i_{opt}} \right)^{2\beta-1} \approx \left( \sqrt{2} \right)^{-\beta} \frac{\Theta_{ci}}{\sqrt{(1-\Theta_{ci})^{\beta}}}
\]

(70)

Equation 70 may then be used to characterize the amplitude-dependence and mode-dependence of damper performance. In the case of a linear damper (\(\beta = 1\)), the amplitude-dependence in equation 70 vanishes, as expected, and the normalized damping ratio can be plotted directly against the mode number ratio \(i/i_{opt}\), as shown in figure 113. Interestingly, in the case of a square-root damper (\(\beta = 1/2\)), the mode dependence in equation 70 disappears, and the damping performance depends only on the amplitude of vibration. Figure 114 shows the effective damping ratio plotted against amplitude ratio, \(A_T/A_{opt}\), for the square-root damper. Because this curve is independent of mode number, in designing a square-root damper it is sufficient to specify only the amplitude, \(A_{opt}\), and the optimal damping performance is achieved at the same amplitude in each mode. This special mode independence of the square root damper suggests that it may offer some advantages over a linear damper. When \(\beta = 0\) (a friction damper), the amplitude and the mode number in equation 70 are raised to the same power, and the effective damping ratio is a function of the product \((A_i)\). Consequently, the optimal amplitude is inversely proportional to the mode number, and the optimal performance is achieved at lower amplitudes in higher modes. In designing a friction damper, it is sufficient to specify the value of the product, \((A_i)_{opt}\), at which optimal damping performance is desired, rather than specifying \(A_{opt}\) and \(i_{opt}\) independently. Figure 115 shows the effective damping ratio versus \((A_i)/(A_i)_{opt}\) for the friction damper. It is evident that there is a critical amplitude for the friction damper, below which no energy is dissipated by the damper. Comparing figures 114 and 115, the performance of the square-root damper can be argued to be more “robust” with amplitude than that of the friction damper because the damping performance degrades more quickly away from the optimal amplitude in the case of the friction damper.
Figure 113. Graph. Normalized damping ratio versus mode ratio ($\beta = 1$).

Figure 114. Graph. Normalized damping ratio versus amplitude ratio ($\beta = 0.5$).

Figure 115. Graph. Normalized damping ratio versus mode-amplitude ratio ($\beta = 0$).
Summary

The dynamic behavior of a taut cable with a passive, nonlinear, power law damper attached at an intermediate point was investigated. The exact formulation of the complex eigenvalue problem for a taut cable with a linear damper was extended to develop a single-mode approximation for the amplitude-dependent effective damping ratios for the power law damper by minimizing the mean square of the error in the force equilibrium equation at the damper over one half-cycle of oscillation. This formulation yielded the same “phase equation” that held in the case of a linear damper, giving the range of attainable damping ratios and corresponding oscillation frequencies in every mode. An asymptotic approximate solution revealed a nondimensional grouping of parameters that was used to extend the universal estimation curve for the linear damper to the case of a nonlinear damper. The shape of the resulting curve was slightly different for each value of damping exponent, $\beta$, but for a given damping exponent the curve was nearly invariant with damper location and mode number over the same range of parameters as the universal estimation curve for the linear case. The dependence of nonlinear damper performance on the amplitude and mode number of oscillation was investigated, and it was observed that a nonlinear damper could potentially allow optimal damping performance to be achieved over a wider range of modes than in the linear case. In the special case of $\beta = 0.5$ (a square-root damper), it was observed that the damping performance is independent of mode number, so that the optimal damping ratio can be achieved in each mode at the same amplitude of oscillation. These features suggest that nonlinear dampers may offer some advantages over linear dampers for cable vibration suppression, while retaining the advantages of economy and reliability offered by a passive mitigation strategy.

CROSSTYING OF STAY CABLES

One of the methods that is sometimes adopted to counteract the undesired oscillations is to increase the in-plane stiffness of stays by connecting them together by means of a set of transverse cables, defined as crossties (or “aiguilles”). According to Gimsing, these cables are also used to reduce the cable sag variations among the stays of different length, ensuring a more uniform axial stiffness on the consecutive stays.\(^{(44)}\) From the dynamic perspective, the properties of the single cable, considered as a separate element, are modified by the presence of the lateral constraints that influence its oscillation characteristics. Similarly, a connection of simple suspended elements is transformed into a more complex cable network; a closed-form solution to the dynamic problem is more elusive.

The literature contains examples of performance improvement for a vibrating cable; for example, the widely studied case of adding a transverse damper. However, it is evident that detailed studies of crossties and interconnected cable systems are not well reported and most of the recommendations that are currently followed seem to be linked to practice or previous experience. A fundamental study to support these problems seemed therefore necessary. It is worth mentioning that a cable network can be a very complex system and finite element analysis has been preferred in the past. An example was given by Ehsan and Scanlan, who used component-mode synthesis and finite element approaches for the solution of a three-dimensional cable problem.\(^{(45)}\) Reviews of cable vibration that address the most commonly used techniques for reducing motion amplitudes also identified this problem as one that still needs to be investigated.\(^{(46)}\)
Experience gained in the field of transverse restrainers was analyzed by Virlogeux, who pointed out recent failures or unexpected behavior of the crossties (Pont de Normandie, Foro Bridge in Denmark). He addressed the issues of transverse cable stiffness, tension and internal damping. He also suggested that simplified approaches (equivalent static analyses) can be sometimes efficient in terms of design recommendations.

Yamaguchi and Nagahawatta and Yamaguchi and Jayawardena analyzed the problem through a set of experiments conducted on a model of two interconnected cables, and a subsequent finite element simulation of the configuration. An energy approach for the determination of the damping contribution linked to the complex network was used. Among their comments it is interesting to emphasize that the contribution to damping due to crossties can be negative or positive, depending on the chosen system and cable characteristics, and that not only the overall stiffer configuration must be considered but also the possibility of exploiting the energy dissipation potential of the restrainers.

Several examples of vibration analysis of cable nets were found in the literature, even though most of them are related to high-tension systems, in which the excitation force is mainly orthogonal to the net configuration (i.e., membrane behavior—for example, Zingoni). In most cases a finite element analysis was performed. An interesting approach for the out-of-plane vibration analysis of a nonlinear cable system was applied by Mesarovic and Gasparini to the study of a complex truss.

The novel contribution here is the development of an analytical method and efficient numerical procedure that models the behavior of a set of interconnected cables. Observations of the behavior of these crosstied systems were investigated through the detailed study of specific examples. This approach offers a number of advantages over the finite element method and provides a tool that is useful in the design and optimization of such systems for practical application.

**Response Assessment of Crosstied Stays with Rigid and Spring Connectors**

**Basic Theory**

The initial problem formulation is depicted in figure 116. The example shows a simplified network, defined by a set of two taut cables, connected by means of a vertical rigid rod (to be relaxed later). The generic $j$th cable is divided into $m$ segments, each of which is labeled as $p$ (the generic element is denoted as $j,p$). The $j$th cable is restrained at both ends; it is characterized by a length $L_j$ (with $L_1 > L_2$ in this case), tension $H_j$, and mass per unit length $μ_j$. The horizontal offset between the two cables has been denoted by $l_1$ and the position of the vertical connection, with respect to the right-hand side of cable 2 has been assumed equal to $l_2$ (segment 2,1). The quantity $l' = l_1 + l_2$ represents the same dimension in the coordinate system of the upper cable. The $x_{jp}$ along axis coordinate of the $p$th segment of the $j$th cable has been taken in accordance with figure 116. Vertical displacements are considered positive downwards. The position of the vertical connector relative to the cable is general, and the only additional physical constraints are expressed by $L_2 \leq L_1$; $l_2 \leq L_2$; $l_1 + L_2 \leq L_1$. 


The free-vibration problem for this case is concerned with the solution of a system of four partial differential equations, corresponding to the four cable branches \( y_{jp}(x_{jp},t) \), with \( j = 1,2 \) and \( p = 1,2 \), where \( t \) denotes the time variable (equation 71):

\[
H_j \frac{\partial^2 y_{ip}}{\partial x_{ip}^2} = \mu_j \frac{\partial^2 y_{ip}}{\partial t^2}
\]

where \( j = 1...n \) number of cables and \( p = 1...m \) number of segments, for each cable. This system requires eight boundary, compatibility and equilibrium conditions, which can be expressed in terms of: (a) displacements at cable ends; (b) displacement continuity at point \( P_1 \) between branches 1,1 and 1,2, and at \( P_2 \) for segments 2,1 and 2,2; (c) equality of displacement at each time instant at \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) between the two cables; and (d) force equilibrium at the vertical rod. These can be written as equations 72, 73, 74, and 75:

\[
y_{jp}(x_{jp} = 0, t) = 0 \quad \text{(for } j = 1,2 \text{ and } p = 1,2) \tag{72}
\]

\[
y_{11}(x_{11} = l_1^*, t) = y_{12}(x_{12} = L_1 - l_1^*, t); \quad y_{21}(x_{21} = l_2, t) = y_{22}(x_{22} = L_2 - l_2, t) \tag{73}
\]

\[
y_{11}(x_1 = l_1^*, t) = y_{21}(x_3 = l_2, t) \tag{74}
\]

\[
H_1 \left( \frac{\partial y_{11}}{\partial x_{11}} \bigg|_{x_1 = l_1^*} + \frac{\partial y_{12}}{\partial x_{12}} \bigg|_{x_2 = L_1 - l_1^*} \right) + H_2 \left( \frac{\partial y_{21}}{\partial x_{21}} \bigg|_{x_3 = L_2 - l_2} + \frac{\partial y_{22}}{\partial x_{22}} \bigg|_{x_4 = L_2 - l_2} \right) = 0 \tag{75}
\]

A purely oscillatory motion of each cable element can be developed using the classical solution in which time-dependent and spatial-coordinate effects can be separated as \( y_{jp}(x_{jp}, t) = Y_{jp}(x_{jp})e^{i\omega t} \), where \( \omega \) is the natural circular frequency of vibration of the coupled network (unknown). Equation 71 can be therefore reduced to a system of ordinary equations, as shown in equation 76:
From equation 76 and the conditions in equation 72, the solution can be proposed of the form shown in equations 77 and 78:

\[ Y_{11,12}(x_{11,12}) = A_{11,12} \sin \left( \frac{\alpha \pi}{L_1} x_{11,12} \right) \]  

\[ Y_{21,22}(x_{21,22}) = A_{21,22} \sin \left( \frac{\alpha f \pi}{L_1} x_{21,22} \right) \]  

In equations 77 and 78, the upper cable is considered as reference element; \( \alpha \) represents the reduced frequency of the system, and \( f \) is a parameter that takes into account geometrical and stiffness differences of cable 2 with respect to 1, defined as scaled frequency ratio. From equation 76, such quantities can be redefined according to equation 79:

\[ \omega = \frac{\alpha \pi}{L_1} \left( \frac{H_1}{\mu_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \alpha \omega_{01}, \]

\[ f = \left( \frac{H_1}{H_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\omega_{01}}{\omega_{02}} \frac{L_1}{L_2}. \]  

In these equations, \( \omega_{01} \) and \( \omega_{02} \) are the fundamental circular frequencies of the unconnected cables. Equations 77 and 78 generally satisfy the requirements of the system given by equation 76. The unknown parameters \( A_{jp} \), representing the four modal amplitudes of each \( p \)th segment, must be solved from the four boundary conditions (equations 73 through 75). In this way, the solution to the free-oscillation problem and the determination of the natural frequencies in terms of \( \alpha \) can be transformed into a system of four algebraic equations, linked to equations 73 through 75 in a matrix form, as shown in equations 80 through 82:

\[ S A = 0 \]  

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}^T \]
where all quantities have been reduced into the following dimensionless parameters:

\[ h = \frac{H_1}{H_2}; \quad \xi_1 = \frac{l^*}{L_1}; \quad \xi_2 = \frac{l_1}{L_1}; \quad \Delta \xi = \frac{l_2}{L_1} = (\xi_1 - \xi_2). \]

The infinite set of nontrivial solutions \((\lambda \neq 0)\) to the homogeneous system (6a) can be identified through the condition \(\det[S] = 0\).

This condition is given by the roots of the following polynomial \(p(\alpha)\) (equation 83):

\[
p(\alpha) = \sin(\alpha \xi_1)\left[\cos\left(\alpha \xi_1 \left(\frac{L_2}{L_1} - \Delta \xi\right)\right) - \cos\left(\alpha \xi_1 \frac{L_2}{L_1}\right)\right] + \\
+ \frac{h}{f} \sin\left(\alpha \xi_1 \frac{L_2}{L_1}\right)\left[\cos(2\alpha \xi_1 - \alpha \xi_1) - \cos(\alpha \xi_1)\right].
\]

Equation 83 represents the frequency equation that relates the general solution to a specific value of the system reduced frequency \(\alpha\), for which the modal amplitudes of the \(r\)th segment can be obtained through the linearly independent equations 80, 81, and 82. The roots of equation 83 cannot be found analytically in closed form for the general case, since little further simplification is possible; a numerical technique can be adopted in this general case.

**Generalization**

The above approach can be generalized to a system of multiple cables and crossties. Readers are referred to the complete paper for details of this development, which will not be repeated here for brevity. This more general approach of necessity must be implemented and solved numerically.

**Results**

The free-vibration analysis method presented above was applied to the study of a cable network that was modeled after the Fred Hartman Bridge, a twin-deck, cable-stayed bridge over the Houston Ship Channel, with a central span of 380 m (1,246 ft) and side spans of 147 m (482 ft). The deck is composed of precast concrete slabs on steel girders with four lanes of traffic, carried by a total of 192 cables in four inclined planes, connected at 15-m (49-ft) intervals.

The investigated system corresponds to the south-tower central-span portion, a set of 12 stays with a three-dimensional arrangement (figure 117); the geometric and structural characteristics are summarized in table 15. Stay 24S is assumed as reference element; all other stay quantities are normalized with respect to this element.
The transverse connectors, an “eight-loop” steel wire rope system, are located in accordance with the existing system (figure 117). The characteristics of these elements are provided in table 15. The three-dimensional cable network was reduced to an equivalent two-dimensional problem. As a result of this transformation to equivalent 2D problem, the overall pattern of the model is slightly irregular since the lengths of each cable segment were selected at symmetric locations with respect to each stay but not to the global network.

The definitions of the modal frequencies and mode shapes of the network (free-vibration analysis) were performed through the study of the roots of the determinant of the system matrix as a function of the reduced frequency of the structure \( \alpha \), \( \det[S(\alpha)] \) and \( \frac{d}{d\alpha} \det[S(\alpha)] \); in this case \( f_{01} = 0.617 \) Hz.

A careful study of the solution patterns showed two categories of roots. The first are associated with global modes, in which the whole set of cables is involved in the oscillation and with a substantial increment in the generalized mass of the mode with respect to the individual cable
modes. The second category is one of localized modes, in which the response of network is not global but the maximum amplitudes are located in the intermediate segments of specific cables only. Moreover, the overall characteristics of these modal forms (both symmetric or antisymmetric) can be different from the solution for individual cables and influenced by the presence and the location of the transverse connectors. The solutions are antisymmetric or pseudosymmetric. The wavelength of these modes is essentially governed by the distance between two consecutive connectors (being almost coincident with the nodes of the modal shape). The high density of solutions is related to the fact that these modes are the components of high-order modes of the individual cables with different magnitude, for which the location of the connector on each cable represents a node of the individual mode shape.

Figure 118 depicts the eigenfunctions of the fundamental modes of the two-dimensional model corresponding to the Hartman Bridge, normalized so that the resulting modal mass of the network is unitary. In this way the presence of a localized mode is emphasized (modes 4 through 8) by solutions for three comparative cases (NET_3C, original configuration; NET_3RC perfectly rigid transverse links; NET_3CG modified non-rigid configuration with ground restrainers) is presented in figure 119, in which the natural frequencies (Hz) are plotted as a function of the mode number and compared to the individual cable behavior. A similar representation was introduced by Abdel-Ghaffar and Khalifa for the numerical study of vibration problems of cable-stayed bridges, in which global (deck, towers) and local modes were identified.\(^{(51)}\) From this figure, the structural behavior can be efficiently and graphically characterized: the sequence of fundamental global modes is followed by a high-density solution pattern, corresponding to the localized modes that can be related to the symmetric components of the individual cable segments.

A typical plateau can be identified for all the sets; the upper and lower frequency limits, as indicated in figure 119, are influenced by those frequencies of the individual cable solutions, the modal shape of which is characterized by wavelength close to the distance between restrainers. The modes included in this region are defined as local, governed by the geometry of internal elements of the system, the distance between consecutive nodes of the grid \((P_{j,p})\) but largely uninfluenced by the structural properties of the connectors. An upper and lower limit frequency can be detected, in this case 1.9 and 2.7 Hz, respectively.

Both limits are connected by the frequency of antisymmetric second modes in the individual stays; the upper \(\alpha\) is directly related to the frequency of shorter cables, while the lower value is influenced by a combination of the stays in the central part of the structure (presence of pseudosymmetric components). The high density of frequencies, reflected in the behavior of the determinant, \(\text{det}[S(\alpha)]\), suggests a potential sensitivity to forced oscillations, exciting the network within this range of \(\alpha\), in which the dynamics can be influenced by a combination of these modal forms. Beyond this upper limit the situation reverts to a set of higher network modes and thereafter, a second plateau appears in the frequency range coincident with high-order antisymmetric individual segment modes; this pattern of consecutive “steps” defines a typical pattern for the behavior.
Figure 118. Graph. Eigenfunctions of the network equivalent to Fred Hartman Bridge (1st–8th modes).
Figure 119. Graph. Comparative analysis of network vibration characteristics and individual cable behavior (Fred Hartman Bridge; NET_3C, original configuration; NET_3RC, infinitely rigid restrainers; NET_3CG, spring connectors extended to ground (restrainers 2,3)).

For practical purposes, the connectors in the original configuration can be considered as effectively rigid, since the two graphics (NET_3C, NET_3RC) essentially overlap (the increase in the frequency of the fundamental frequency is only about 3 percent).

The action of progressively increasing the stiffness of the restrainers produces modifications and increments in the frequency of the first global modes (and, to a lesser extent, of the higher global solutions). The presence of the ground connectors (restrainers 2,3) is able to modify only global modes (1–3, beyond 27), influencing the response at low frequencies (first modes) and, subsequently, only for much higher solutions, in a frequency range of lower importance for design purposes. Modal amplitudes are clearly reduced in the portion of the stays connected to the deck for purely symmetric modes almost becoming zero. The same behavior is also recorded for antisymmetric global modes in which the oscillations are mostly confined to a restricted region of the network (two or three columns of elements on the left side).

No variations for the intermediate modes (local modes) on the plateau can be seen; solutions are localized and internal portions of the cables or components of higher modes of the individual cables are involved. Frequencies in this range are also strongly influenced by a diminution of the modal mass, associated with a reduction in the size of the contributing components.

Figure 119 also suggests a methodology for evaluating or comparing the efficiency of a system. The rate of change of this function might represent a measure of the system behavior for the first and nonlocalized modes, higher values of the slope being related to a significant increment in the associated frequency. Alternatively, the extension of the plateau on the mode number axis can be
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seen as an equivalent way of identification; broad almost-horizontal regions should be avoided since they correspond to a large number of local modes, which may be difficult to control (for example, through dampers). The height of the plateau with respect to the frequency axis can also be considered as a means of assessing the performance of the system, this being a metric of the separation between the fundamental modes and the local ones.

**Summary**

Limited study of in-plane vibrations of complex cable networks has usually been performed by means of finite element methods because of the number of cable elements that are involved and the variability of the global characteristics of the system, also connected to local variations in the crosstied configuration. An alternative methodology for the analytical derivation of the equation of motion (free-vibration problem) of a network, based on the taut-cable theory, has been extended and applied to the study of a real case. The central- and side-span configurations of the Fred Hartman Bridge were considered; a sensitivity analysis of the modal characteristics and frequencies, based on a set of modifications to the original design, contributed to the formulation of some preliminary general criteria to be considered for the optimization of this type of systems. Advantages and limitations with respect to finite element simulations are evident.

This approach showed some clear advantages with respect to the finite element simulations not only because a direct link with the mechanics of the phenomenon can be always referred to the characteristics of the basic solutions, but also because the behavior of a very complex system can be represented through a simple but efficient characterization, something very useful in design or subsequent mitigation analyses. The identification of global and local network modes was also possible, suggesting that the control of this category of structures can become very challenging at higher frequencies because of the nature of the modes that are involved. The general frequency increment in the fundamental modes that is usually attained by the introduction of transverse connectors must be balanced with the potential undesirable behavior of the local modes. This aspect might potentially reduce the overall benefit of enhancing the performance of individual stay behavior by a network.

**Design Considerations**

From the analysis of the previous cases a set of considerations for the improvement of the response of a cable network can be proposed.

The sequence of global modes and intermediate localized modes is responsible for typical behavior with consecutive steps or plateau. A first suggestion is to keep the location of the first plateau as high as possible, distant from the fundamental modes, so that the antisymmetric individual cable modes (2\textsuperscript{nd} mode) that mostly contribute to the network modal shape are those of the shorter cables. Symmetric configurations of the restrainers with respect to intermediate-length cables is preferred to increase the frequency interval (lower limit in particular) corresponding to local modes since they minimize the longest segment length.

Relative stiffness of the transverse restrainers does not seem to play a significant role in the definition of the modal characteristics; on the contrary, the frequency ratio among different stays
$f_i$, related to the reference cable frequency, seems to be responsible for the network mode sequence. A good compromise between small $f_i$ (shorter stays) and high values (longer stays) is preferred for the optimization of the system. Unfortunately, this aspect is not connected to the crosstied configuration but to the existing setup of the bridge.

A cable network cannot be designed to withstand all possible excitations; the best thing that can be done is to select some sources of vibrations and “tune” the network not to respond to them since the behavior becomes complex because of the presence of the plateau. Localized modes are practically unmanageable since they mainly concern internal elements of the system, although in these cases the vibration is confined to a selected portion of the structure and the potential implications for long-term structural sensitivity (fatigue damage) are less relevant.

A network combined with additional mechanical dampers connected to the deck at specific locations might be seen as an efficient way of vibration reduction. The presence of pseudosymmetric behavior should be carefully assessed: it generates nondampable modes (half of the structure is at rest). This behavior is enhanced by the presence of ground connectors. It might be possible to use a ground restrainer in the proximity of the shortest cable, close to the tower in combination with dampers on the segments on the right side of the longest cables closest to the deck. In fact, the first pseudosymmetric modes in this model are those related to the unconstrained regions of the network, and the presence of a damper in this position might become efficient. This opportunity must be balanced with the fact that, when the addition of these devices is necessary, the high inclination of the short cables might make them difficult to install.

Finally, the optimal design of a network should be based not only on structural performance considerations but also on cost previsions (installation, maintenance). In this way the preferred solution should be suggested perhaps through a more extended optimization technique.

**DAMPERS IN COMBINATION WITH CROSSTIES**

The method outlined above can also be extended to a cable network in which the secondary restrainers, originally simulated through linear spring elements, can be combined with discrete dampers placed in the system as an additional measure for the reduction of the oscillations. The procedure is also based on results on the problem of performance improvement for a vibrating cable by adding a transverse damper. The combination of crossties with dampers is not usually considered in the literature and only marginally addressed in the design for this type of structure, even though the opportunity of a larger overall benefit linked to a coupled behavior might be present with few modifications to the undamped configuration.

**Problem Formulation**

Elements of the more general problem formulation are depicted in figure 120. The example shows a cable network configuration (simulated by a set of parallel cables) interconnected by means of appropriate restrainers, on occasion extended to ground.
The constitutive law for these connectors can be simulated by linear springs or a viscous damping model. The generic $j$th cable ($j = 1, \ldots, n$) is divided into $m_j$ segments (with $j$ variable for each cable) due to the presence of the transverse crossties. The $j$th cable is restrained at both ends. The geometric and physical characteristics of the $j$th cable are indicated as: length $L_j$, tension $H_j$, and mass per unit length $\mu_j$. The free-vibration problem can be solved through a system of partial differential equations, corresponding to each cable segment, beginning with equation 84:

$$H_j \frac{\partial^2 y_{jp}}{\partial x_{jp}^2} = \mu_j \frac{\partial^2 y_{jp}}{\partial t^2}. \quad (84)$$

The $x_{jp}$ along-axis coordinate of the $p$th segment of the $j$th cable (with $j = 1, \ldots, n$; $p = 1, \ldots, m_j$) has been taken in accordance with figure 120; transverse displacements $y_{jp}(x_{jp}, t)$, where $t$ denotes the time variable, are considered positive downwards. The motion of each element can be postulated as $y_{jp}(x_{jp}, t) = Re[Y_{jp}(x_{jp})e^{i\omega t}]$, where $\omega$ is the complex frequency of vibration of the coupled network to allow for the presence of localized damping in the structure. Equation 84 therefore can be reduced to a system of ordinary differential equations (equation 85):

$$H_j \frac{d^2 Y_{jp}}{dx_{jp}^2} + \mu_j \omega^2 Y_{jp} = 0 \quad (85)$$

A solution of the form, as shown in equation 86:

$$Y_{j,p}(x_{j,p}) = A_{j,p} \sin \left( \frac{\gamma \pi}{L_j} f_j x_{j,p} \right) + B_{j,p} \cos \left( \frac{\gamma \pi}{L_j} f_j x_{j,p} \right) \quad (86)$$

can be proposed, which can be expressed for each segment, in terms of complex trigonometric functions and by selecting a reference cable (upper; $j = 1$) with natural frequency (real) $\omega_{01} = \frac{\pi}{L_1} \sqrt{H_1/\mu_1}$ and a normalized complex frequency $\gamma = \alpha + i\beta$, with $i = \sqrt{-1}$, such that $\omega = \gamma \omega_{01}$.

The term $f_j = \omega_{0j}/\omega_{0j}$ corresponds to the generic $j$th cable frequency ratio and $\nu_j = \sqrt{(\mu_j/\mu_1)(H_j/H_1)}$ to a mass-tension reduction factor. The length of the $p$th segment of the
The \( j \)th cable, the limits of which are defined by the nodes \( P_{j,p-1} \) and \( P_{j,p} \), is denoted as \( l_{j,p} \); accordingly, a dimensionless length with respect to the total extension of the \( j \)th stay can be taken as \( \xi_{j,p} = l_{j,p} / L_j \). Equation 86 can be solved in terms of the unknown amplitudes \( A_{jp}, B_{jp} \), by means of a set of compatibility, continuity and equilibrium equations that are related to the constitutive law that is assigned to the restrainer (linear spring or viscously damped element), leading to a homogeneous system of \( 2r = 2 \sum m_j \) equations. This set can be rewritten in a more compact matrix form as \( S \Phi = 0 \) (algebraic system of nonlinear equations), where the vector \( \Phi \) contains the unknown \( A_{jp}, B_{jp} \). The infinite set of nontrivial solutions \( (\Phi \neq 0) \), associated with the condition \( \det[S] = 0 \), can be solved for the normalized frequency \( \gamma \), as an equivalent eigenvalue/eigenvector problem (in general complex); a numerical technique is required for all but simple systems. Details are omitted for brevity in this study.

**Basic Solutions**

The investigation of basic solutions that are concerned with a reduced number of cables is very useful in the understanding of the mechanics of the problem. As part of this analysis a collection of relevant examples can be constructed, both to identify the physical behavior and to reveal any interesting characteristics that can be also identified not only in a simple structure but in a more generalized \( 2r \times 2r \) system. The study of these simple examples therefore represents a useful support to the analysis of multistayed networks.

In this section, this method is applied to the study of a symmetric twin cable arrangement, in which the natural frequencies of the two isolated cables are coincident \( (\omega_{01} = \omega_{02}) \), and \( H, L, \mu \) are constant. The additional independent variable is represented by the normalized connector location \( \xi = 1/L \), where \( 0 \leq \xi \leq 1 \) (figure 121).

In these cases the characteristic polynomial can be analytically derived and, subsequently, the mode. Two examples were analyzed: in the first, the restrainer was simulated by means of a linear spring of stiffness \( K \); in the second, this type of connection was replaced by a dashpot, characterized by a perfectly viscous behavior with damper coefficient \( c \). In both cases the solution can be expressed as a function of the nondimensional location \( \xi \) and the physical properties of the transverse element. The solution is associated in both cases with a transcendental equation that needs to be numerically solved.

![Figure 121. Chart. Twin cable with variable position connector.](image)

For the linear spring model, the frequency is real \( (\gamma = \alpha) \) and the modal eigenfunctions turn out to be strictly trigonometric. This quantity can be related to a normalized stiffness parameter, \( d_K = \)
The limit \( d_K \to \infty \) represents the case in which the stiffness of the connection is zero (i.e., a completely disconnected system), while \( d_K = 0 \) is related to the case of a perfectly rigid bar. In figure 122, the typical behavior of the real solution for the modal frequency is depicted for the first pseudosymmetric mode, as a function of \( \xi \) and \( d_K \). The connector is, in fact, responsible for the presence of such modes with localized form, along with the symmetric and antisymmetric solutions of the individual case, in which no frequency variation is recorded (\( \alpha = 1,2,\ldots,N \)). Similar contour plots can be also generated for the higher modes. Moreover, it can be seen that as \( d_K \) tends to 0 (approaching the rigid case), the frequency follows a typical hyperbolic law

\[
\gamma \equiv \alpha = 1/(1 - \xi),
\]

corresponding to a modal form in which nodes \( P_1, P_2 \), and elements 1,3 (figure 121) are at rest, while segments 2 and 4 are vibrating out of phase with wavelength \( L - l \) (figure 124).

For the dashpot model the polynomial, the roots of which are associated with the frequency \( \gamma = \alpha + i\beta \), is complex. The numerical technique develops this polynomial into a system of two equations corresponding to real and imaginary part, which need to simultaneously vanish. This example presents clear similarities with the concepts of single-degree-of-freedom damped oscillator, as equivalently derived by Main and Jones for the single cable with dashpot to ground.\(^{(41)}\) The generic \( \gamma_k \) can be expressed as a combination of an oscillatory decaying solution with frequency \( \omega_k = \omega_{0k} \sqrt{1 - \eta_k^2} = \gamma_k \omega_{01} \) and damping ratio \( \eta_k \). A critical value of damping can be defined in terms of the dimensionless damping parameter \( \rho = c/\sqrt{H \mu} \). In figure 123, the typical solution curves in \( \alpha, \beta \) (or \( \omega_k, \eta_k \)) for the analyzed example are depicted: a restricted set of values for the \( \alpha, \beta \) pair can be found, as \( \rho \) varies from 0 to infinity, corresponding to the two perfectly oscillatory cases with no damping in the absence of the connector (\( \rho \to 0 \), \( \gamma \equiv \alpha = 1,2,\ldots,N \)) and the perfectly rigid restrainer of figure 124 (\( \rho \to \infty \); diamond symbol in figure 123) with pseudosymmetric modes with \( \gamma \equiv \alpha = k/(1 - \xi), k/\xi \). The evolution of the solutions between these limits can also be seen for modes 1 to 5.

Differences can be seen (figure 123) between mode 2, which reflects this aspect, and mode 1 as the solution approaches the critical condition of unit damping ratio (\( \eta \to 1 \)). The collection of behavioral observations from these (and other not reported here) simplified examples will be applied and compared in the next section to the study of a real example of cable network.
Figure 122. Graph. Twin cable system, with connector location $\zeta = 0.35$, example of frequency solution for linear spring model.

Figure 123. Graph. Typical solution curves of the complex frequency for the dashpot.

Figure 124. Chart. Intermediate segments of specific cables only.
Cable Network Analysis in the Presence of Discrete Dampers

In this section, a modification to the A-line original system described earlier considers the addition of dampers in specific locations of the structure, as depicted in figures 125 and 126. Dampers D1 and D2, directly connected to the deck, are introduced at the location of restrainers 3 and 2, respectively. The first results of this investigation are presented in figure 127, which shows the behavior of the fundamental global mode (1) in terms of the evolution of $(\omega_k, \eta_k)$ as a function of the dimensionless damping parameter $\rho$.

![Figure 125. Chart. Fred Hartman Bridge (A-line) 3D network.](image)

![Figure 126. Chart. Equivalent model.](image)

In the first example (thin line of figure 127), the influence of only damper $D1$ was investigated. A behavior very similar to the basic solutions can be observed. The solution emanates from the real frequency coincident with the solution with zero damping, denoted by $M1(\omega_1)$ in the figure, reaches a maximum value of optimal damping ($M1(\omega_o)$) and then decreases, tending to the purely oscillatory case of a fixed connection to ground ($M1(\omega_u)$).
In the second case, the addition of damper D2 was considered as a function of a the damping parameter $\rho_{D2}$; moreover, it was assumed that D1 was optimally designed for the first mode per the previous example, with $\rho_{D1}^{opt} = 6.25$, corresponding to a damper coefficient $c_{D1}$ approximately equal to 131 kN-s/m (about 9,000 lbf-s/ft).

The thick line of figure 127 shows the solution evolution for this new configuration. The $\omega, \eta$ pair originates from the point corresponding to the case with only damper D1 active (optimized system M1($u_0$)—circle symbol), and subsequently increases (as $\rho_{D2}$ progressively grows) towards a new maximum value related to the introduction of this second device. The solution partially deviates from the basic behavior, despite the presence of a similar trend, since it does not converge to a perfectly oscillatory solution as $\rho_{D2} \rightarrow \infty$ (M1(rr)), because of the presence of D1, although the difference is not evident in the figure. In addition, the location of D2 (midspan) is clearly more efficient, at least for the fundamental network mode; in fact, the recorded peak value of the damping ratio is considerably larger than the previous case, and the device that seems necessary requires a relatively low $c_{D2}$ (about 172 kN-s/m, or 11,800 lbf-s/ft).

Figure 128 shows, as an example, the complex eigenfunction associated with the first mode of the optimized system M1($u_0$) ($\rho_{D1}^{opt} = 6.25$); real and imaginary parts are separately plotted to highlight the presence of in-phase and out-of-phase components. It is worth observing that the effective mode shape of the system corresponds to a combination of these two functions.
Figure 127. Graph. Frequency solutions (1st mode) for the damped cable network (A-line).

Figure 128. Graph. Complex modal form (1st mode) for the optimized system M1(\(u_0\)).

Comments

The introduction of dampers at specific locations of the network was analyzed. Results suggest a potentially wide range of practical applications, related to design and posterection mitigation analyses. In particular, the combined role of dampers and crossties seems promising. Future enhancements will include the study of the role of dampers in cable networks for higher and localized modes and the effect of crosstie mass on the system.

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF DAMPER AND CROSSTIE PERFORMANCE

To suppress problematic vibrations in stay cables, dampers are often attached to stay cables near the anchorages to supplement the low levels of inherent damping. Dampers potentially can be attached unobtrusively near the stay anchorage at the deck or tower, and thus detract minimally from the aesthetics of the structure. While multiple types of excitation are likely to be encountered in the field, and although the mechanisms that induce the observed vibrations are
still not fully understood, attached dampers render stay cables less susceptible to many types of excitation by increasing the low levels of inherent mechanical damping. For these reasons, dampers have been used on a relatively widespread basis, and their effectiveness in mitigating stay cable vibrations is now fairly widely accepted. However, criteria for damper design are not well established. Current recommendations for required damping levels to suppress rain/wind-induced vibrations were developed using simplified wind tunnel models, and it is not clear whether these guidelines are adequate or appropriate for vibration suppression in the field.\(^\text{(14)}\) In addition, it is important to note that vibrations can occur in more than one mode of the cable, and little has been done to address the question of how much damping should be provided in each mode.

To evaluate damper effectiveness and facilitate the design of even more effective and economical systems, it is important to perform a quantitative assessment of damper performance under various types of excitation. Toward this end, this investigation seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of passive linear dampers installed on two stays on a cable-stayed bridge in the United States by comparing response statistics before and after the damper installation and by investigating in detail the damper performance in a few selected records corresponding to different types of excitation. To provide background for this evaluation, a summary is presented of measured vibration characteristics before the damper installation. Recent developments are discussed in the modeling of a taut cable with attached damper and the determination of modal damping ratios and damping-induced frequency shifts, and some limitations in the performance of linear dampers are discussed. Other types of damping devices, including semiactive dampers and friction dampers, have been previously investigated in attempts to achieve improved damping performance over the linear damper.\(^\text{(42,53)}\)

**Performance of Linear Dampers**

Viscous dampers have been installed for evaluation on two stays on the Fred Hartman Bridge, and at the time of writing, data have been collected for almost 3 years after the damper installation to evaluate the damper performance. Properties of the two stays on which evaluation dampers have been installed are given in detail in Main and Jones.\(^\text{(54)}\)

The dampers under investigation were designed for optimal performance in the fundamental mode of vibration which, according to the Scruton criterion mentioned above, should provide adequate damping in the first several modes to suppress wind/rain vibration (i.e., approximately more than 0.5 percent). Figure 129 shows the damping ratios for both stays in each of the first 10 modes of vibration, computed from numerical solution of the eigenvalue equation. Curves corresponding to the damping ratios predicted by the asymptotic expression for the universal curve are also plotted with these values. As discussed by Main and Jones, the error in the predictions of the universal curve are most significant near the optimal portion of the curve, and it is evident here that the asymptotic approximate value differs most significantly from the exact value in mode 1, for which the damper is nearly optimal.\(^\text{(61)}\) This error near the optimal portion of the curve becomes more significant in the higher modes, but because the damper is far from optimal in the higher modes in these cases, the universal curve gives quite good predictions of the damping ratios in these modes.
In the figures included here, wind speed reported is at deck level and is computed according to the following priority scheme. Data from the tower top are given priority because the tower top anemometer is not influenced by interference from the bridge deck. The deck-level anemometers were found to be well correlated with the tower top anemometer except for winds from the west, where interference from the bridge deck reduces the measured velocities at deck level.

Consequently, data from the deck-level anemometers are used only when data from the tower top are unavailable, and then only in the range of wind direction where the correlation with the tower top anemometer is good. In every case, deck-level wind speeds are presented, and in cases where data from the tower top anemometer are used, these values are scaled down to deck level using a scale factor generated from a linear regression analysis of the deck-level and tower-top data (approximately 0.66). Wind direction is measured in degrees clockwise from the bridge axis, with zero degrees corresponding to wind approximately from the north, directly along the bridge axis.

Acceleration data are reported from transducers installed on the stays usually about 6 m (20 ft) vertically above deck level. In some analyses, these data are reported in terms of peak modal amplitude, with adjustment for location included. For the purposes of this investigation and for the data reported here, the accelerations are reported at the transducer location.

**Global Damper Performance**

The global performance of the dampers installed on stays A16 and A23 is summarized in figures 130 and 131, respectively, which illustrate the following:

- Characterization of oscillations (in-plane) occurring before installation of the damper as a function of wind speed and direction.

- Characterization of oscillations (in-plane) occurring after the installation of the damper as a function of wind speed and direction.
• Measurement of damper force as a function of wind speed and direction.

Before the damper is installed, both stays show similar characteristics, and patterns that are consistent with other field and wind tunnel observations that are described earlier. A high density of points is seen near the abscissa, which generally corresponds to vortex-induced vibration in a variety of modes and low-level buffeting response of the stay to random excitations. Both figures clearly indicate the characteristic signature of wind/rain oscillation as the multiple points over a wide range of wind speeds that are of high amplitude (i.e., root-mean-square (RMS) accelerations greater than 0.5 g). One-minute mean wind speeds at deck level reached 15 m/s (49 ft/s) before the dampers were installed, and almost 18 m/s (59 ft/s) in the period after installation. This latter value corresponds to a 1-minute average wind speed of 27 m/s (60 mi/h) at the top of the tower, recorded during a thunderstorm. The dependence on wind direction is also clear, with A16 showing its peak responses between 90° and 160°, and A23 over a narrower range between 90° and 135°. The primary goal of a mitigation system is to reduce significantly or eliminate these large-amplitude events, while respecting the fact that the stays and bridge form dynamic systems and will always exhibit some level of dynamic response.

The corresponding figures after the installation of the dampers are also presented. These figures suggest the following:

• Amplitudes are significantly reduced across all recorded wind speeds (up to 18 m/s (59 ft/s) at deck level) with maximum RMS acceleration amplitudes of around 0.5 g.

• The dependence on wind direction has been altered significantly, with the previously preferred range now largely unapparent, and the largest of the responses now closer to a 90° angle of incidence. The characteristics of selected records corresponding to these locations will be discussed in more detail later.

• The third pair of figures shows the RMS damper force measured in kilonewtons for the two installed devices as a function again of wind speed and direction. Clearly, the dampers are functioning and providing dissipative force to the stays as intended.

For stay A16, the pattern of forces resembles closely the predamper acceleration figures (both in terms of wind speed and direction), suggesting that the dampers are being engaged by the stays to suppress proclivity towards wind/rain vibration. Indeed, relatively high magnitude forces are seen in the complementary range of 225° to 270°, which represents wind directions also corresponding to the declining direction of the stay (but now from the southwest rather than southeast).

For stay A23, the behavior is similar, though perhaps not as clear as in the previous case. Much of the high force data for this stay is now clustered around and incident angle of 90°, although some up to 110° are evident. An interesting and unusual cluster of points labeled as record C is discussed by Main and Jones.(54) In all the records, the highest amplitude RMS force recorded is approximately 5.6 kN (1,125 lb), a relatively modest level of load.
More detailed comparisons and discussion can be found in chapter 2 and in work by Main and Jones.\textsuperscript{(54)}

Recent and ongoing analyses suggest the evaluation of the damper performance is indeed more complex than the simple assessment outlined above. The presence of a significant static frictional component in the dampers, coupled with their design for mode 1, results in very stiff systems. While the dampers clearly are still effective, interpretation of why they are effective is more complicated than originally thought.
Figure 130. Graph. Stay vibration and damper force characteristics; stay A16.
Figure 131. Graph. Stay vibration and damper force characteristics; stay A23.
Performance of Crossties

The validation of the analysis approach for crosstied systems was performed by the comparison of a specific study example with a set of experimental data. A long-term ambient vibration survey is currently in progress on the Harman Bridge to monitor stay cable vibration and to better understand the overall performance of the structure and its modal characteristics. More than 10,000 trigger files have been recorded during a period of 3 years.

The methodology was applied to the study of the side-span unit of the south tower of the bridge. The cable network is configured by means of three transverse restrainers, similar to those presented earlier. The data set was extracted from the records of four in-plane and out-of-plane accelerometers, placed along stays AS1, AS3, AS5, and AS9 at a height of approximately 7 m (23 ft) from the deck level. The correspondence between the predicted modal characteristics and the real behavior was carried out by simultaneous spectral analysis of the in-plane acceleration record database of the four locations. The analysis was founded on the simultaneous identification of the same dominant in-plane frequency on all the four investigated cables. More than 2,000 trigger files from the years 2000 and 2001 have been considered (after the installation of the restrainers). An algorithm was designed for the automatic processing of the records.

The investigation considered the presence of cable network behavior along with individual cable and, eventually, global (deck) structure modes. The fundamental frequencies of each stay were identified in a previous experimental campaign and were adopted as input data in the numerical procedure to allow for a consistent comparison of the results with the real situation.

The numerical analysis was performed by using as reference the measured frequency values of table 16, after comparison with the current data set available on the four stays, such as the acceleration time histories associated with the out-of-plane unrestrained vibration of the cables. Other physical characteristics that are required by the procedure were indirectly deduced. The results of the simulation are summarized in table 17, in which the modal frequencies of the modes between 0 and 4 Hz are indicated. In addition, the subdivision into global and local network modes is indicated along with information about the general characteristics of the modal shape.

The fundamental frequency (NM1) is 0.93 Hz, slightly lower than that deduced for the central span arrangement; a different network configuration of the cables and connectors in the latter case is probably the cause of these differences. An interesting observation is that the computed frequency of NM1 is close to the 10th bending mode of the bridge (0.924 Hz), which suggests, in theory, a potential susceptibility to interaction of the deck-stay system in this range. Low-density values of frequency can be seen up to 2.4 Hz (NM5) and beyond 3 Hz (NM30). The plateau behavior was detected between 2.4 and 3.0 Hz, associated the local behavior and a high density of solutions (NM5–NM29).
Table 16. Individual cable frequencies (0–4 Hz) of the A-line side-span stays of the Fred Hartman Bridge (direct measurement).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stay</th>
<th>Mode n.</th>
<th>1 (*)</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td>1.938</td>
<td>2.584</td>
<td>3.230</td>
<td>3.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>1.374</td>
<td>2.061</td>
<td>2.748</td>
<td>3.435</td>
<td>4.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>1.965</td>
<td>2.620</td>
<td>3.275</td>
<td>3.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>1.440</td>
<td>2.160</td>
<td>2.880</td>
<td>3.600</td>
<td>4.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>1.620</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>3.240</td>
<td>4.050</td>
<td>4.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>1.728</td>
<td>2.592</td>
<td>3.456</td>
<td>4.320</td>
<td>5.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>2.064</td>
<td>3.096</td>
<td>4.128</td>
<td>5.160</td>
<td>6.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>2.208</td>
<td>3.312</td>
<td>4.416</td>
<td>5.520</td>
<td>6.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>2.510</td>
<td>3.765</td>
<td>5.020</td>
<td>6.275</td>
<td>7.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.355</td>
<td>2.710</td>
<td>4.065</td>
<td>5.420</td>
<td>6.775</td>
<td>8.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS11</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.794</td>
<td>3.588</td>
<td>5.382</td>
<td>7.176</td>
<td>8.970</td>
<td>10.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS12</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>3.400</td>
<td>5.100</td>
<td>6.800</td>
<td>8.500</td>
<td>10.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Derived from previous direct measurement

= Monitored stays in this study

Table 17. Cable network modes (0–4 Hz) predicted by the model (A-line system).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode N.</th>
<th>NM1</th>
<th>NM2</th>
<th>NM3</th>
<th>NM4</th>
<th>NM5</th>
<th>NM6</th>
<th>NM7</th>
<th>NM8</th>
<th>NM9</th>
<th>NM10</th>
<th>NM11</th>
<th>NM12</th>
<th>NM13</th>
<th>NM14</th>
<th>NM15</th>
<th>NM16</th>
<th>NM17</th>
<th>NM18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency [Hz]</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>1.458</td>
<td>2.043</td>
<td>2.332</td>
<td>2.368</td>
<td>2.406</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>2.448</td>
<td>2.467</td>
<td>2.472</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>2.582</td>
<td>2.600</td>
<td>2.631</td>
<td>2.664</td>
<td>2.677</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mode type G-S G-AS G-S L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode N.</th>
<th>NM19</th>
<th>NM20</th>
<th>NM21</th>
<th>NM22</th>
<th>NM23</th>
<th>NM24</th>
<th>NM25</th>
<th>NM26</th>
<th>NM27</th>
<th>NM28</th>
<th>NM29</th>
<th>NM30</th>
<th>NM31</th>
<th>NM32</th>
<th>NM33</th>
<th>NM34</th>
<th>NM35</th>
<th>NM36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Mode type L L L L L L L L L L G-S G-S G-S L L AS-G L L

G = Global Network mode; L = Local Network mode; S = symmetric; AS = antisymmetric

The experimental identification of network modes of table 17 in the records of AS1, AS3, AS5, and AS9 was restricted to a subset of visible components in accordance with the location of the accelerometers, since predicted local modes that are concentrated in the central and upper portion of the system could not be physically detected. The power spectral densities of the four in-plane accelerations of AS1, AS3, AS5, and AS9 have been compared with the predicted values of the network.

The results of the data analysis showed consistent similarities with the predictions, also indicating the potential presence of some of the new modal forms in frequency ranges in which modal characteristics from other sources (e.g., individual stays, global structure) were often excluded.

NM1, as network mode, was clearly identified in one occasion only for a continuous time interval of about 15 minutes, corresponding to an extremely rare occurrence in terms of ambient-induced vibration. This event was mainly driven by the high-amplitude motion of the deck caused by vortex shedding and related to a strong wind with direction almost perpendicular to the bridge axis.
Simultaneous peak amplitudes in the acceleration spectra from the stays were found in a significant number of realizations that corresponded to the simulated frequencies of the second global antisymmetric mode (NM2, 1.46 Hz) and higher global symmetric modes (NM30–31, 3.2 Hz). Clear indication of the potential development of local network modes rather than individual stay oscillation was also detected in frequency intervals predicted by the model.

More figures and details of the comparison are included in work by Caracoglia and Jones.\(^{(55)}\)

Recent investigation of recorded data suggests instances of relative large-amplitude oscillation for the stays when the wind is parallel to the deck. The crossties were ineffective at damping these oscillations, whereas the dampers seemed to be quite successful. It is anticipated that these large amplitude oscillations are caused by a form of wake galloping. The analysis of these records is in progress and will be reported as soon as it is complete. However, the implication of this discovery for crosstie systems is important.

**DETAILED STUDY OF DAMPER PERFORMANCE**

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness, the design of passive dampers still remains semiempirical. An efficient and reliable way to estimate the inherent damping in the cables is still not available. The traditional logarithmic decrement method has so far been the most widely adopted for this task. But the effectiveness of this method is limited because the highly vibration amplitude-dependent nature and some other existing but not clearly understood nonlinearities of the inherent damping in cables cannot be readily handled by this approach. In addition, vibrations can occur in more than one mode of the cables, and understanding of modal inherent damping is essential for damper design. But it is difficult to force the cables to vibrate at desired amplitude in the modes with relatively high (e.g., >2 Hz) or low (e.g., <0.5 Hz) frequencies. This fact also limits the number of modes the logarithmic decrement method can handle. Application of other traditional methods such as the half-power bandwidth method and the more recent random decrement method are also likely to have limited success because of their respective limitations.

Analytical work by Yamaguchi and Jayawardena suggested that modal damping ratios in stay cables are proportional to the dynamic strain energy in the cables.\(^{(48)}\) A theoretical model based on this relation was also established for model damping estimation, but the validity and robustness of this model still needs further investigation and verification. On the other hand, criteria that address how much supplemental damping is needed to suppress the vibrations or how much damping the dampers can provide are still not well established. Current recommendations for required damping levels were developed using simplified wind tunnel models discussed here, but despite the advances made in this project and elsewhere in recent years, it is not completely clear whether these guidelines are adequate or appropriate for field implementation.\(^{(14)}\) In addition, little has been done to address the problem of how much damping is needed in each mode to mitigate the vibration and for which mode the damper should be optimized.

Although substantial progress has been made in assessing the damping in cables of cable-stayed bridges, understanding from the aforementioned efforts have been focused on theoretical
formulation or simplified wind tunnel tests and are yet to be subjected to evaluation. Additional insights from actual cable vibrations in the field are still needed. The primary objective of this subeffort has been to extract damping information for stay cables, both with and without dampers, using the measured vibration data. Damping ratios identified are analyzed together with the characteristics of cable vibration to evaluate the dependence of inherent damping upon the vibration amplitudes. Results for the cables before and after damper installation have been compared to assess the performance of the dampers under various conditions. Particular attention also must be paid to the important role of rain on the damping of stay cables to provide insight into the problem of negative damping.

**Additional Characteristics of Vibration**

Discussions earlier have been focused on vibrations in the in-plane direction of the cables. But as noted, highly two-dimensional vibrations have actually been frequently observed. In some cases, vibrations in the lateral direction have been the more dominant. This fact is evident in figure 132, which plots the 1-minute RMS displacement amplitude in the in-plane direction against that in the lateral direction for stays AS16 and AS23. The records represented were chosen from those collected before the dampers were installed, with RMS displacement amplitude of the in-plane vibration or the lateral vibration greater than 0.5 cm (0.2 inch). Points in figure 132 for cable AS16 formed three distinct clusters, as illustrated, around three lines. Analysis of the modal RMS displacements of the records show that points in cluster A represent in-plane vibrations strongly dominated by the first mode, points in cluster B represent in-plane vibrations strongly dominated by the second mode, and points in cluster C represent in-plane vibrations dominated by more than one single mode. No clear clustering of points has been observed for stay AS23 in figure 132, although the vibrations still appear to be highly two-dimensional.

![Figure 132. Chart. In-plane versus lateral RMS displacement for (A) AS16 and (B) AS23.](image)

Figure 133 shows sample Lissajous plots (in-plane displacement against lateral displacement) for two different records (1 and 2) from stay AS16. Record 1 is chosen from cluster A in figure 132 and record 2 is from cluster C. Although the in-plane displacement amplitudes for both records are comparable, the lateral displacement amplitude for record 2 is significantly larger than record 1. Power spectral densities of these two records (figure 134) show that both in-plane and lateral
vibrations of record 1 are dominated by the first mode, but vibrations of record 2 in both directions have significant contribution from all the first three modes. Records in cluster B are found to have similar dimensional and modal contributory properties as records in cluster A.

Figure 133. Chart. Sample Lissajous plots of displacement for two records from AS16.
Figure 134. Chart. Power spectral density of displacement of two records from AS16.

Similar Lissajous (figure 135) and power spectral density (figure 136) plots have been made for two records (1 and 2) from stay AS23. Record 1 has vibration primarily in the in-plane direction, while vibration of record 2 is rather two-dimensional. Figure 136 shows that, unlike in the case of record 2 for AS16, even though the vibration of record 2 for stay AS23 is highly two-dimensional, vibrations in both directions are still both dominated by a single mode (mode 3). While most highly two-dimensional vibrations for stay AS16 have been found to be dominated by a single mode, most highly two-dimensional vibrations for stay AS23, however, are dominated by multiple modes. This difference in both dimensionality and modal participation of vibrations for the two cables suggests strong dependence of cable vibration on its physical characteristics.

In future analysis, more attention will be directed to detailed investigation of dimensionality, as well as its dependence on modal participation, of stay cable vibrations, in conjunction with collected wind and rain data. This may give further insight into the mechanism of stay cable vibrations and help identify different categories of vibration.
Figure 135. Graph. Sample Lissajous plots of displacement for two records from AS23.

Figure 136. Graph. Power spectral density of displacement of two records from AS23.
Damper Effects on Vibration

Discussion so far has been focused on vibrations of the two cables before dampers were installed. Collected records demonstrate that, except in a very few cases, the dampers on both cables have been effective in mitigating excessive vibrations, despite the difference in the properties and vibration characteristics of the two cables and the fact that the dampers were designed semi-empirically. Figure 137 shows again the 1-minute RMS displacement in the in-plane direction against that in the lateral direction for stays AS16 and AS23 collected after the dampers were installed. All records also have RMS displacement amplitude greater than 0.5 cm (0.2 inch) in either the in-plane direction or the lateral direction. By comparing figure 137 and figure 132, it is evident that very few records with RMS displacement amplitude greater than 0.5 cm (0.2 inch) have been recorded after damper installation and that the amplitude has been mostly kept below 2 cm (0.8 inch), except in very rare individual cases. This confirms that the damper has been generally effective in suppressing large-amplitude vibrations.

Figure 137. Graph. In-plane versus lateral RMS displacement for (A) AS16 and (B) AS23 after damper installation.

Special attention has been focused on record A and adjacent ones in figure 137 because, for these records, the RMS displacement amplitude in the lateral direction is quite large, but the RMS displacement amplitude in the in-plane direction is rather small. This may indicate that the damper on stay AS16, which is oriented in the in-plane direction, has not been able to suppress vibration in the lateral direction in these special cases. Figure 138 shows the Lissajous and power spectral density plots for record A. It is evident in the figures that, even though the vibration is basically one-dimensional, unlike in the case when the vibration is primarily in the in-plane direction, the lateral displacement of record A has significant contribution from all the first three modes, rather than being dominated by a single mode. This fact suggests that when large vibration is primarily in the lateral direction, its cause and mechanism may be different from the case when the cable vibrates primarily in the in-plane direction. Collected wind data revealed that when vibration in record A occurred, the wind speed is about 12 m/s (39 ft/s), which is in the
range of wind speed for large-amplitude vibration for stay AS16, but the wind direction is around 350°, which is too far away from either 120° or 260° as shown in figure 135 (for record 2) to be the preferred wind direction for large-amplitude in-plane vibration. In fact, the wind direction in this case is almost parallel to both the bridge axis and the axis of the stay cables. Further analysis revealed that the cables adjacent to AS16 also had the same pattern of vibration, except stay AS24, which is the first cable to meet the wind, and had large-amplitude vibration in both directions. Another observation was that the cables on the south side of the same tower, which have opposite inclination direction to AS16, did not have the same pattern of large-amplitude vibration as AS16. Heavy rainfall has been found to accompany the cable vibration. Whether the rainfall triggered the vibration of stay AS24, which consequently caused the lateral vibrations on the other cables, must still be determined. The vibration occurred on stay AS16 still cannot be concluded positively to be wake galloping. What can be stated here is that this type of vibration may reach quite large amplitude, and dampers may not be effective in suppressing it. The same type of vibration also has been found on cables on the south side of the same tower when wind is coming from about 180°, which is also parallel to the bridge axis and in the inclination direction of these cables. The important observation is that the crossties installed on these cables were also ineffective in mitigating this type of vibration.

Figure 138. Graph. Lissajous and power spectral density plots of displacement for record A.
Frequency Estimation

The modal frequencies of a cable are decided by its length, mass, stiffness, and damping ratio in each mode. Among these properties, the stiffness and the damping ratio may be dependent on the vibration amplitude, and thus renders the frequencies variable as well. Figure 139 shows the averages of estimated modal frequencies for the first several modes of stay AS16 and AS23. In these figures, frequencies of the cables with and without damper attached are presented separately to show the effects of the dampers. The estimated natural frequencies are found to be in very good agreement with the values obtained by searching for the peaks of power spectral densities of the response. Frequency shifts introduced by addition of dampers, which were suggested by Main and Jones analytical work, are also evident in the figures. 

Figure 139. Graph. Modal frequencies of stays (A) AS16 and (B) AS23.

In figure 140, the estimated second-mode frequency of stay AS16 without damper attached is plotted against the RMS displacement at the accelerometer location. Very small variance is found in the estimates from record to record, but a trend is evident that the modal frequency increases with displacement when the RMS displacement is greater than about 2 cm (0.8 inch). Similar trends have been observed for the other modes, but will not be presented here. At this point, it is suggested that this trend is caused by the change of the effective support condition of the cable with vibration amplitude. On the Fred Hartman Bridge, stay AS16 goes through a guide pipe before it reaches the anchorage and there is a neoprene ring between the cable and the pipe. When the amplitude of the vibration is large enough, the gap between the cable and the pipe will be gradually closed, which results (realistically) in a shorter cable with higher modal frequencies. Figure 140 shows the same relation for stay AS16 after the damper was installed. The variance of the estimates is again very small, but no increase of the frequency with displacement amplitude is evident. This may partly be due to the fact that, with the presence of the damper, the vibration did not reach sufficient amplitude as to close the gap between the cable and the pipe. It may also be partly due to the fact that the damper itself may have been acting as a “pseudoanchorage” of the cable when vibration amplitude is low.
Damping Estimation

Compared with frequency, damping is a much more complicated property, and its source and mechanism are still not well understood. In the case of wind or rain/wind-induced stay cable vibration, the problem is further complicated by the introduction of the so-called negative damping, which, unlike conventional damping, adds energy into the system instead of dissipating it. Preliminary estimation results have been analyzed with some attention directing to details in a case-by-case manner. Special attention has been paid to the effects of damper on the effective damping of the cable vibration system. The effect of rainfall has also been preliminarily analyzed because it has been proved to be an important contributory factor to stay cable vibration.

Figure 141 shows the effect of the attached damper on the damping ratios of stay AS16. Averages of estimated modal damping ratios are used in the figures and will continue to be used in the subsequent figures for discussion of damping estimation. As shown, the inherent damping (cable damping without contribution from rainfall and damper) in each mode of the cable, according to the estimation, is very low and decays very rapidly in the first several modes. It is unexpected, however, that the addition of the damper to the system did not appear to result in significant increase of the modal damping ratios. The largest increase by about 0.15 percent of critical damping comes in the first mode of vibration when the cable vibrates without rainfall. With rainfall, the largest increase comes in the second mode, which is frequently the most dominant mode for rain/wind-induced vibration. But this increase is very small as well, by just 0.06 percent of critical damping. This estimation result is not only against intuition that external dampers will bring large supplemental damping into the system, but also against the observed fact that the damper on stay AS16 has been successful in suppressing excessive vibrations. These observations are still under detailed investigation, along with analysis and comparison of records with and without rainfall. Similar patterns have been observed in the damping estimation results for stay AS23.
Figure 141. Graph. Estimated modal damping of stay AS16 showing effect of damper.

Figure 142 shows the histograms of the damping estimation results for mode two of stay AS16 and mode three of stay AS23 from records without rainfall, before dampers were installed. It is evident in these two figures that the distributions of these results are rather broad. A similar pattern of distribution has been found for estimation results for the other modes of the cables. This means that the average values used above for discussion may not be very appropriate for comparison purposes. Better categorization and interpretation of the estimation results is thus desired and will be a major focus of future research. Additional analysis investigating dependence of damping on wind speed and vibration amplitude have been conducted. Because results as of the time of this report are not fully conclusive, they are not reported here.

Figure 142. Graphic. Histogram of estimated damping for (A) mode 2 of AS16 and (B) mode 3 of AS23.
Figure 143 shows the relation between the estimated damping in the second mode of stay AS16 with a corresponding 5-minute RMS damper force. The points are scattered, but a noticeable though not clear trend is present that the modal damping ratio decreases when the damper is more engaged. More investigation into this trend is needed but, if it is true, it potentially suggests that, in some cases, although supplemental damping is supplied by the damper, the effective damping level in the system may still remain low.

![Figure 143. Graphic. Dependence of modal damping on damper force.](image)

It must be emphasized that the observations above were made through preliminary analysis of a limited number of records. Much more work still remains to be done before general conclusions can be reached. Nonetheless, at least one controversial problem is apparent in the current findings: according to the estimation results, the addition of dampers to the two cables did not result in a large enough increase of damping into the systems, especially with the occurrence of rain, while also according to the measurements, excessive rain/wind-induced vibrations have been effectively suppressed by the dampers. According to Irwin, rain/wind-induced vibration could be avoided if the Scruton number is greater than 10. The Scruton number is defined as:

\[
(S_n)_e = \frac{m|\zeta_n|}{\rho D^2}
\]  

(84)

where:
- \(m\) = cable mass per length,
- \(\zeta_n\) = the modal damping ratio,
- \(\rho\) = the air density, and
- \(D\) = the cable diameter.

Analysis of the modal damping ratio needed according to the Scruton number criterion to suppress rain/wind-induced vibration, together with the averages of estimated modal damping in stays AS16 and AS23 with damper attached, suggest that if the estimation and the Scruton number criterion were both valid, the damper could not have suppressed the rain/wind-induced
vibration in the second mode of stay AS16 and the third mode of stay AS23. This is obviously contradictory to the observations from measurement. In addition, the estimated damping results are also inconsistent with the results from damping prediction methods.

These inconsistencies in damping estimation are important. Detailed investigation of these discrepancies is needed and will be a focus of future research. A tentative explanation is proposed in the following section.

**Possible Explanation for Discrepancies in Damping Estimation**

It has been revealed that the dampers on stay AS16 and AS23 frequently may not have been engaged effectively; instead, they may have been working more like a supporting point for the cables when the vibration amplitude was low. Figure 144 shows the 1-minute RMS damper force against the 1-minute RMS in-plane displacement at the accelerometer location and the 1-minute RMS in-plane displacement at the damper-cable connection point, respectively, for stay AS16. All the records shown in the figures were chosen to have a 1-minute RMS damper force greater than 0.5 kN (112.5 lbf). Figure 144 for AS16 reveals a very clear relationship between the RMS damper force and the RMS displacement at the accelerometer location. The four clusters (A, B, C, and D) of points in the figure are found to represent in-plane vibrations in the second, fourth, fifth, and seventh modes of the cable, respectively. However, for cable AS23, no similar relationship is evident between the RMS damper force and the RMS displacement at the damper-cable connection. These and other observations suggest that the damper may have been frequently locked up and produced no or little energy dissipation to the system, which may partially explain why the average supplemental damping estimated was very low.

![Figure 144. Graph. RMS damper force versus RMS displacements for (A) AS16 and (B) AS23.](image)

Figure 145 plots the measured damper force against the displacements and velocities at two locations of stay AS16 for a segment of a sample record. The integrated displacement and integrated velocity in the figure were integrated from the acceleration time history measured by the accelerometer, but were scaled down by a constant factor to have approximately the same
amplitudes as those of the displacement and velocity at the damper-cable connection. The phase difference between these two locations has also been approximately corrected using the method proposed by Krenk and Main and Jones. The differentiated velocity was directly differentiated from the displacement measured at the damper-cable connection. The abrupt changes of the curvatures in the force-displacement plots and the slopes of the lines in the force-velocity plots suggest that the damper did not behave viscously, as it was assumed to be. Instead, it had been effectively locked up until the damper force passed a certain level. This record is not a special case. In fact, this pattern of damper behavior has been found to be very common in records in which the dampers are believed to be suppressing excessive cable vibration. It was later confirmed by the manufacture of the dampers that this force is the residual static friction in the dampers. Because of the friction, the dampers would remain locked up and act as new supporting points for the cables until the vibration amplitude becomes large enough and the friction threshold is overcome. It has also been noticed (but not presented in detail at this time) that the friction threshold for each damper varies from record to record in a certain range, according to characteristics in mode participation and dimensionality of the vibration. It is hypothesized here that the existence of the friction threshold reduces the supplemental damping the dampers can effectively add to the system, which partly explains the estimation result that the amount of damping added by the dampers is very small. The validity of this hypothesis is to be subjected to future research and the approach to verify it will be proposed in the next section. It has to be noted, however, that even when the dampers were locked up, they would still introduce frequency shifts into the cable systems because the cables in this case just vibrates in a shorter length. This is similar to but not the same as the case Main and Jones discovered that, if the damper is designed to be too stiff, it essentially results in the length of the cable being effectively shorter.

Figure 145. Chart. Damper force versus displacement and velocity for a segment of a sample record.
Although the discussion so far tentatively explains why the supplemental damping provided by the dampers appears low, it did not explain why the dampers have been successfully suppressing excessive vibrations. Figure 146 shows the time histories of displacement and damper force for a sample 5-minute record for stay AS16. It is clear in the figure how the vibration of the cable built up at the beginning and was subsequently suppressed by the damper. But the means by which the damper suppressed the vibration is of interest. The correlated periodic increasing and decreasing of amplitudes in both time histories suggests that the damper might not have been engaged all through the record. Rather, it appears that the damper had been working periodically, only when the displacement amplitude was large enough. It can also be noticed in the time histories that the amplitudes of the peaks are almost at the same level, suggesting that the damper seemed to be keeping the vibration below a certain level. This pattern of damper performance, again, is not just a special case. It has been observed often in the records when the damper is believed to be suppressing excessive cable vibration.

Why the damper behaves this way must be investigated in association with the unique nature of wind- or wind/rain-induced stay cable vibration. Unlike other forced vibration of other structures, wind- and rain/wind-induced cable vibration is self-excited, which means that the wind, or the combination of wind and rain, and the vibration itself both have to meet a certain criterion for the vibration amplitude to increase. The role of the damper in the stay cable vibration system, then, is to bring the vibration from unstable back to stable by dissipating energy. But it is hypothesized here that the amount of the energy the damper has to dissipate does not necessarily have to be large. If this amount of energy is indeed small, it will be another reason why the estimated amount of damping the dampers added to the cable vibration system is
small. To verify this hypothesis, at least two questions must be answered: how does the vibration build up, and how much energy is actually dissipated by the damper.
APPENDIX G. INTRODUCTION TO MECHANICS OF INCLINED CABLES

STAY CABLE STATIC PROPERTIES

This section provides mathematical descriptions of the various properties of a typical stay cable. Exact mathematical functions are given and then power series approximations (for relatively tight cables) are provided for ease of computation and to allow checking of exact equations.

A typical stay cable is shown in figure 147:

![Figure 147. Drawing. Incline stay cable properties.](image)

The following basic cable properties are required:

- $T_0, T_1$ = Lower and upper cable tensions, respectively (kN, kips)
- $H$ = Horizontal component of the cable tension (kN, kips)
- $m$ = Cable mass per unit length (kg/m, kips/ft)
- $A$ = Total cross-sectional area of cable strands (mm$^2$, inch$^2$)
- $E$ = Cable modulus of elasticity (MPa, ksi)
- $\sigma_{UTS}$ = Cable yield stress—ultimate tensile stress (MPa, ksi)
- $h$ = Cable rise (m, ft)
- $L$ = Cable run (m, ft)
- $s$ = Cable sag (m, ft)
The following properties can then be calculated, as shown in equations 88 through 90:

\[ w = m \times g \]  
(88)

Cable weight per unit length (kN/m, kips/ft) \((g = \text{acceleration due to gravity})\);

\[ L^* = \sqrt{h^2 + L^2} \]  
(89)

cable chord length (m, ft); and

\[ \theta = \tan \left( \frac{h}{L} \right) \]  
(90)

angle of chord to horizontal.

**Catenary Equations (Exact Solutions)**

Coordinates of cable nodes are defined using the parameters described above and the catenary equations for this cable.\(^{(57)}\)

From the free body diagram for any point on the cable, the slope is shown in equation 91:

\[ y' = \frac{dy}{dx} = \sinh \left( \frac{w}{H} x + K_1 \right) \]  
(91)

Integrating this expression yields the equation for the cable coordinates (equation 92):

\[ y = \frac{H}{w} \cosh \left( \frac{w}{H} x + K_1 \right) + K_2 \]  
(92)

The constants \(K_1\) and \(K_2\) can be obtained from evaluating the boundary conditions:

\(x = 0, y = 0\)
\(x = L, y = h\)

Thus as shown in equations 93 and 94:

\[ K_1 = \text{asinh} \left( \frac{wh}{2H \sinh \frac{wL}{2H}} \right) - \frac{wL}{2H} \]  
(93)
\[ K_2 = \frac{H}{w} \cosh(K_1) \]  
(94)

The length of the cable, \( C \) (m, ft), is then shown in equation 95:

\[ C = \frac{H}{w} \left( \sinh \left( \frac{wL}{H} + K_1 \right) - \sinh(K_1) \right) \]  
(95)

The slopes at the ends of the cables are then shown in equations 96 and 97:

Lower slope (rad):
\[ \theta_0 = \tan(\sinh(K_1)) \]  
(96)

Upper slope (rad):
\[ \theta_1 = \tan \left( \sinh \left( \frac{wL}{H} + K_1 \right) \right) \]  
(97)

Following from these angles, the cable end vertical reactions are shown in equations 98 and 99:

Lower end reaction (kN, kips):
\[ V_0 = H \tan(\theta_0) \]  
(98)

Upper end reaction (kN, kips):
\[ V_1 = H \tan(\theta_1) \]  
(99)

By way of verification, it may be checked that the difference in the cable end vertical reactions should equal the mass of the cable as shown in equation 100:

\[ V_1 - V_0 = w \times C \]  
(100)

The cable end tensions are shown in equations 101 and 102:

Lower tension (kN, kips):
\[ T_0 = H \sec(\theta_0) \]  
(101)

Upper tension (kN, kips):
\[ T_1 = H \sec(\theta_1) \]  
(102)
The maximum cable axial stress (MPa, ksi) is shown in equation 103:

\[ \sigma_{\text{Axial}} = \frac{T_1}{A} \]  \hspace{1cm} (103)

The maximum ratio of the ultimate tensile stress utilized is then shown in equation 104:

\[ \text{Ratio}_{\text{UTS}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{Axial}}}{\sigma_{\text{UTS}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (104)

The elastic elongation of the cable is (m, ft) (equation 105):

\[ \Delta = \frac{H}{AE} \left[ \frac{wh^2}{2HL} \coth \left( \frac{wL}{2H} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{H}{2wL} \sinh \left( \frac{wL}{H} \right) \right) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (105)

Finally, the unstressed length of the cable (m, ft) is defined as shown in equation 106:

\[ \text{USL}_0 = C - \Delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (106)

**Power Series Approximations to Exact Solutions**

It may be more convenient to express the exact hyperbolic relationships in terms of power series expansions of the hyperbolic functions. This is particularly effective for tight cables, defined as those for which have the relationship defined in equation 107:

\[ r = \frac{wL}{2H} \leq 0.5 \]  \hspace{1cm} (107)

The relationships in equations 108 through 110 are used and terms up to and including \( r^4 \) are retained:

\[ \sinh(x) = x + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^5}{120} + \ldots \]  \hspace{1cm} (108)

\[ \cosh(x) = 1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \frac{x^6}{720} + \ldots \]  \hspace{1cm} (109)

\[ \text{acoth}(x) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{x}{3} + \frac{x^3}{45} + \frac{2x^5}{945} - \ldots \]  \hspace{1cm} (110)
Then, the cable length $C$ is defined as shown in equation 111:

$$C = (L*) \left[ 1 + \frac{\cos(\theta)^2}{6} r^2 + \left( \frac{\cos(\theta)^4}{45} - \frac{\cos(\theta)^4}{72} \right) r^4 + \ldots \right]$$

(111)

The lower end slope is defined as shown in equation 112:

$$\theta_0 = \tan \left( \frac{h}{L} - r \frac{s}{L} + \frac{hr^2}{3L} - \frac{s \cos(\theta)^2}{6L} r^3 - \frac{hr^4}{45L} \ldots \right)$$

(112)

The upper end slope is defined as shown in equation 113:

$$\theta_1 = \tan \left( \frac{h}{L} + r \frac{s}{L} + \frac{hr^2}{3L} + \frac{s \cos(\theta)^2}{6L} r^3 - \frac{hr^4}{45L} \ldots \right)$$

(113)

The cable elongation is defined as shown in equation 114:

$$\Delta = \frac{HL \sec(\theta)^2}{AE} \left[ 1 + \frac{r^3}{3} - \frac{r^4}{45} \left( 1 - 4 \frac{L^2}{(L*)^2} \right) \ldots \right]$$

(114)

**STAY CABLE DYNAMIC PROPERTIES**

Dynamic properties of stay cables may be examined in two ways:

- The “taut string” simplified approximation (figure 148A).
- The theoretically correct dynamic properties for an inclined cable (figure 148B).
Taut String

For the taut string, it is assumed the sag of the cable is small compared to its length, the cable is perfectly elastic, and the cable is inextensible. The accuracy of the taut string approximation is usually adequate for practical purposes.

The natural frequencies, $\omega_i$, of stay cables can be approximated (often accurately enough) using the expressions for a taut string (figure 148A) as shown in equation 115: \(^{(58)}\)

$$\omega_i = \frac{i\pi}{L} \sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}$$

(115)

which yields the natural circular frequencies in radians/s.

The more practical form (equation 116):

$$f_i = \frac{i}{2L} \sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}$$

(116)

yields natural frequencies in Hz.
Inclined Cable

In the case of an inclined cable (figure 148B), it is convenient to deal with transformed coordinates $x^*$ measured along the cable chord from point A) and $z^*$ (perpendicular distance from the chord to the profile), rather than with coordinates $x$ and $z$. After performing the necessary transformations we obtain equation 117:\(^{(59)}\)

$$x^* = x \sec \theta + z \sin \theta, \quad z^* = z \cos \theta, \quad L^* = L \sec \theta, \quad \text{and} \quad H^* = H \sec \theta$$

so that (equation 118)

$$\bar{z} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{x}(1 - \bar{x}) \left[ 1 - \frac{\bar{z}}{3}(1 - 2\bar{x}) \right]$$

where (equations 119 through 121):

$$\bar{x} = \frac{z^*}{mg(L^*)^2 \cos \theta \over H^*} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\bar{z} = \frac{\chi^*}{L^*}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\bar{e} = \frac{mg(L^*) \sin \theta}{H^*}$$

Solving the equations of motion using the above transformed axes, the natural frequencies of the out-of-plane modes are given by equation 122:

$$\bar{\omega}_n = n \pi, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

and those of the antisymmetric in-plane modes are shown in equation 123:

$$\bar{\omega}_n = 2n \pi, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

 Frequencies of symmetric in-plane modes are given by the following transcendental equation (equation 124):

$$\tan \frac{\bar{\omega}_n}{2} = \frac{\bar{\omega}_n}{2} - \frac{4}{(\lambda^*)^2} \left( \frac{\bar{\omega}_n}{2} \right)^3$$

(124)
For all of the above equations:

\[ \frac{-\omega_n}{m} = \left( \frac{(\omega_n^*) (L^*)}{H^*} \right) \sqrt{\frac{H^*}{m}}, \quad (125) \]

\[ (\lambda^*)^2 = \left( \frac{mg(L^*) \cos \theta}{H^*} \right)^2 \left( \frac{L^*}{H(L_e^*)} \right) \frac{1}{EA} \quad (126) \]

in which (equation 127):

\[ L_e^* = (L^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{mg(L^*) \cos \theta}{H^*} \right)^2 \right] \quad (127) \]

is a quantity usually only slightly greater than the length of the cable chord itself. Substituting \( \omega_n \) into the transcendental equation yields the equation 128, the roots of which are the natural frequencies in question:

\[ f(\omega^*) = \left[ \frac{(\omega^* (L^*)}{H^*} \sqrt{\frac{H^*}{m}} \right]^3 - \tan \left[ \frac{(\omega^* (L^*)}{H^*} \sqrt{\frac{H^*}{m}} \right]^3 \quad (128) \]

Equations shown are of general form and applicability. As special cases, they contain results for a horizontal cable \((\theta = 0^\circ)\) and a vertical cable \((\theta = 90^\circ)\).

**Formulation Including Sag**

More complicated expressions exist for cables with larger sag ratios such as the main cables of suspension bridges. For such cables, natural frequencies can be obtained by solving the following frequency equations (equations 129 and 130).\(^{(59)}\)

For antisymmetric in-plane modes:

\[ \tan \left( \frac{\bar{\omega} A + B}{\bar{\omega}} \right) = - \frac{C}{\bar{\omega}} \quad (129) \]
For symmetric in-plane modes:

\[
\tan \left( \omega A + \frac{B}{\omega} \right) = \frac{\omega}{D}
\]

where:

\[
\omega^2 = \frac{\omega^2 \ell}{2g \tan \psi_0}, \quad (131)
\]

\[
\tan \psi_0 = \frac{4 \frac{s}{\ell}}{1 - \left( \frac{2 \frac{s}{\ell}}{\ell} \right)^2}, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
L = \frac{\ell \text{asinh}(\tan \psi_0)}{\tan \psi_0}
\]

(133)

is the span of the cable, while \( \ell \) is the length of the catenary hanging between the supports, and \( s \) is the cable sag. \( A, B, C, \) and \( D \) are parameters which depend on the value of \( \psi_0 \).

Natural frequencies of cable stays with larger sag can be estimated using equation 134:\(^{60}\)

\[
f_n = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{g}{8s}}
\]

(134)

where:

For asymmetric modes (equation \(135)\):

\[
\lambda = n\pi, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

(135)

For symmetric modes (equation \(136)\):

\[
\frac{\lambda - \tan \lambda}{\lambda^3} = \Gamma \quad \text{with} \quad \Gamma = \frac{mL}{128EA \left( \frac{s}{L} \right)^3 \cos^6 \theta}
\]

(136)
Full-Scale Cable Properties

For many stay systems the term $\sqrt{T/m}$ is more or less constant as the cables are usually designed to a maximum working stress of 0.45 X GUTS (guaranteed ultimate tensile stress). The term, $\sqrt{T/m}$, has been calculated for the stay cables of three bridges as listed in table 18 and shown in figure 149. The first two modes of vibration as a function of cable unstressed length (USL) are shown in figure 150.

Table 18. Stay cable property comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge</th>
<th>Cable Type</th>
<th>Average $\sqrt{T/m}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alex Fraser</td>
<td>Helical twist parallel wire</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maysville</td>
<td>Grouted 7 wire parallel strand</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owensboro</td>
<td>Grouted 7 wire parallel strand</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 149. Graph. Cable $\sqrt{T/m}$ versus cable unstressed length: Summary of Alex Fraser, Maysville, and Owensboro bridges.
Figure 150. Graph. Cable frequency versus cable unstressed length: Summary of Alex Fraser, Maysville, and Owensboro bridges.
APPENDIX H. LIVE-LOAD VIBRATION SUBSTUDY

INTRODUCTION

This live-load vibration substudy was carried out to assess the amount of vibration which is caused by live loading. To address this problem, a computer model of a cable-stayed bridge was used to model the dynamic effects of a moving train load. The vibrations of an individual set of cables were analyzed in detail. The cable tensions, displacements, and anchorage rotations obtained from the dynamic time history analysis were compared with the results obtained from influence line calculation which are normally carried out during design. The engineering analyses were performed using in-house programs for nonlinear analysis (CAMIL) and live-load analysis (MELL).

Example Bridge: Rama 8 Bridge in Bangkok, Thailand

The RAMA 8 Bridge, shown in figure 151, is a cable-stayed bridge over the Chao Phraya River in Bangkok, Thailand. The bridge has been designed to carry four lanes of vehicle traffic and two pedestrian sidewalks on the main span and the back spans, and six lanes of traffic on the anchor span.

Total length of the main bridge is 475 m (1,558 ft): the main span is 300 m (984 ft), the two backspans are $2 \times 50 = 100$ m (328 ft), and the anchor span is 75 m (246 ft). The 160-m (525-ft)-high tower is a reinforced concrete inverted Y-type structure. There are two inclined cable stay planes with 28 cables each for the main span and one cable stay plane with 28 cables for the anchor span. Cable stays are BBR Cona stays, consisting of seven-wire parallel strands, greased and sheathed. The main span superstructure has an effective depth of 2 m (6.6 ft) and consists of a 29.7-m (97.4-ft)-wide deck which is made composite with two steel-edge girders. The backspan girder is a continuous posttensioned 2.5-m (8.2-ft)-deep concrete box girder.

![Figure 151. Photo. RAMA 8 Bridge (artistic rendering).](image)
**Rama 8 Bridge Computer Model**

The RAMA 8 Bridge computer model, shown in figure 152, was created to represent the global behavior of the bridge under static and dynamic loads. The complete bridge, with two approach spans, was modeled in three dimensions. The model has 1,014 beam elements and 84 cable elements.

![Figure 152. Drawing. RAMA 8 Bridge computer model: XY, YZ, and ZX views.](image)

**FREE-VIBRATION ANALYSIS**

**Description of Example Cable**

The cable chosen to illustrate the effects of live loading on cable dynamics is the third longest cable of the main span of the RAMA 8 bridge—main span cable M26. The longest cable (M28) was not chosen as it is close to the support and would not be excited as much by moving loads.

The example cable M26 has the following properties:

- **Unstressed length:** $L = 299.140$ m
- **Mass (per unit length):** $m = 41.588$ kg/m
- **Steel area:** $A = 4350$ mm$^2$
- **Modulus of elasticity:** $E = 195000$ MPa
- **Outside cable diameter:** $D = 0.16$m
- **Dead load tension:**
  - $max\ T = 2801$ kN (at tower)
  - $min\ T = 2745$ kN (at deck)
  - $average\ T = 2773$ kN
- **Horizontal component:** $H = 2471$ kN
- **Ultimate capacity:** $T_{ult} = 7700$ kN (based on 1770 MPa)
- **Dead load D/C:**
  - $\frac{maxT}{T_{ult}} = 0.362$
Cable geometry is defined with the following end points:

- Cable anchor points at the tower: \( x = 1.247 \text{ m} \), \( y = 1.405 \text{ m} \), \( z = 184.326 \text{ m} \)
- Cable anchor points at the deck: \( x = 268.578 \text{ m} \), \( y = 11.447 \text{ m} \), \( z = 48.293 \text{ m} \)
- Cable angles in the cable plane (to the horizontal plane):
  - At deck: \( \alpha = 25.824^\circ \)
  - At tower: \( \alpha = 28.068^\circ \)
- Cable angle in horizontal plane: \( \beta = 2.151^\circ \) (to the \( x \)-axis)

where \( x \) is the longitudinal coordinate measured from the centerline of the tower towards pier P39, and \( y \) is the transverse coordinate measured from the bridge centerline-positive upstream (see figure 152).

**Single Cable Dynamics—Free Vibration**

Theoretical solutions are based on the assumption that the cable is inextensible. (See appendix G for a brief discussion of the cable vibration theory.) However, the numerical analyses, which were carried out using cable elements, modeled a real (extensible) cable. These results were then compared to the theoretical (inextensible) cable.

**Extensible Cable Model**

To capture the dynamic behavior of a single cable it is necessary to introduce additional degrees of freedom along the cable. (This is not typically required when the global dynamics of the bridge are evaluated.) Three computer models were built to simulate the behavior of the cable as an element independent of the structure (i.e., tower and the deck) and to investigate the convergence of the results. In these models, cable M26 was divided in 2, 10, and 20 segments of equal length. Figure 153 shows nodes and cable elements of the cable stay M26 in the 10-segment model. The cable was discretized in a similar manner in the 2- and 20-segment models.
Coordinates of cable nodes were defined using the cable parameters and the catenary equations for this cable (see also figure 154), as shown in equations 137 and 138:

\[ y = \frac{T_H}{q_0} \cosh \left[ \frac{q_0}{T_H} x + K_1 \right] + K_2 \]  

(137)

\[ y' = \sinh \left[ \frac{q_0}{T_H} x + K_1 \right] \]  

(138)

where:

- \( T_H \) = Horizontal component of the cable force
- \( q_0 \) = Cable weight
- \( K_1, K_2 \) = Constants obtained from boundary conditions at \( x = 0 \):

\[ y' = \tan \theta \Rightarrow K_1 = \sinh^{-1}(\tan \theta) \]  

(139)

and

\[ y = 0 \Rightarrow K_2 = -\frac{T_H}{q_0} \cosh(K_1) \]  

(140)
Only the cable end points are fixed, so the cable can vibrate spatially, not only in its plane. Free independent cable vibration periods obtained by analysis are shown in table 19. Some typical cable modes for the 20-segment model are shown in figure 155. All of these plots contain XY, YZ, and XZ views of the cable and its mode shape.

**Table 19. Free independent extensible cable vibration versus theoretical inextensible.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable Mode</th>
<th>Frequencies (Hz) (Periods are given in parentheses (s))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theoretical Inextensible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taut String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 OOP</td>
<td>0.432 (2.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 IP</td>
<td>0.432 (2.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 OOP</td>
<td>0.863 (1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 IP</td>
<td>0.863 (1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 OOP</td>
<td>1.295 (0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 IP</td>
<td>1.295 (0.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 OOP = out-of-plane: refers to cable motion and vibrations in the plane that is perpendicular to the cable plane.
2 IP = in-plane: refers to cable motion and vibrations in the cable plane.
It can be seen that the cable vibrates only in its plane or perpendicular to its plane (out-of-plane vibration) for all modes. The vibration periods and frequencies are in fairly good agreement with theoretical values, and the error is 3.9 percent for the first mode and 5.2 percent for the third mode, for the 20-segment model.
The differences in natural frequencies are caused by several factors:

- The formula used to obtain the theoretical value is derived only for out-of-plane vibrations of a horizontal cable with small sag, neglecting the influence of cable extensibility due to existing tension in the cable, which is considered to be constant.

- The cable chosen is not horizontal, with varying tensile force along the cable (2 percent difference in tension between top and bottom), and is subjected to extension due to the tensile force.

The software used for analysis also accounts for cable extensibility, which is the most important factor causing differences in results.

It can also be seen that for symmetric modes, especially mode 1 (which carries most of the energy), the frequency for the in-plane mode is lower than for the out-of-plane mode. This is due to the fact that additional tension in the cable is generated in symmetric modes, so the cable becomes stiffer and, hence, the frequency increases. The magnitude of the difference between the out-of-plane and in-plane frequencies depends on many parameters, but the most influential factors are the magnitude of the cable tensile force and cable stiffness parameters (area and unstressed length).

**Inextensible Cable**

An inextensible cable model was used to achieve results closer to the theoretical values. The same model was used as for previous analyses except that the cable area was increased to 100,000 mm² (160 inches²). This caused the cable to be very stiff axially. Since no other parameters were changed, a high tensile force ($T = 58,252$ kN ($13,106,700$ lbf) was induced in the cable. To lower the force to the previous level, the cable unstressed length was increased to $USL = 300.016$ m (984.05 ft). This resulted in an average tension of $T = 2,776$ kN (624,600 lbf), which was close to the value previously used ($T = 2,773$ kN (623,925 lbf)). With these parameters, free vibration periods obtained are given in table 20.

**Table 20. Free independent inextensible cable vibration periods: Theoretical values and values obtained by analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable Mode</th>
<th>Theoretical (s)</th>
<th>Analytical 20-Segment Model (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (OOP)</td>
<td>2.324</td>
<td>2.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (IP)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (OOP)</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>1.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (IP)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (OOP)</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (IP)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The agreement between analysis values and theoretical values for out-of-plane vibrations is now excellent, with errors well below 1 percent.

Mode shapes are very similar to those shown in the subsection “Extensible Cable Model” except for in-plane mode 1, which is shown in figure 156. The period for this mode is much smaller (27 percent) than for the out-of-plane mode because of a large increase in induced cable tension. Because of the great stiffness of the cable, any small differential movement induces large tensile forces in the cable, which has influence on the frequency increase. This effect is seen only in symmetric modes and diminishes as the mode number is increased.

The mode shape, as seen in figure 156, does not have a sine shape. It can be seen that inflection points exist in the vicinity of cable endpoints.\(^{(59)}\)
Cables Modeled as Part of the Structure

Modeling

Three computer models were again used to check the convergence of dynamic behavior of cable elements connected to the bridge deck and the tower. In these models, cable M26 was, as with the independent cable, divided in 2, 10, and 20 segments of equal length. Both the upstream and downstream cables in the main span were modeled. Figures 157 and 158 show nodes and cable elements of cable stay M26 for the 10-segment model. The cable was discretized in a similar manner in the two-segment and the 20-segment model. Coordinates of cable nodes were defined using the catenary equation for this cable.

Figure 157. Drawing. Cable M26 discretization: 10-segment model, isometric view. Only cables M26 are shown. Other cables not shown for clarity.

Figure 158. Drawing. Cable M26 discretization: 10-segment model, XZ view. Other cables not shown for clarity.
**Global Bridge Modes**

The global modes of the bridge are shown in figures 159 and 160. The fundamental vertical, longitudinal, and transverse modes are shown in figure 159. The second vertical and transverse and functional deck torsional modes are shown in figure 160. It can be seen from these modes that the cables participate in these global modes. (Note: Since only the M26 cables were discretized in detail, the vibration of the other cables is not visible). Therefore, the global modes will be reflected in the cable’s response to the moving load.

**Cable Modes**

The natural vibration periods for the cables obtained from the analysis are given in table 21 and the mode shapes are shown in figures 161 to 163.

Because the cables now form part of the overall structure, the modes of the two identical M26 cables become weakly coupled. This means the cables either move in-phase or out-of-phase with respect to each other and thus the number of modes is doubled. It can be seen that pure in-plane and pure out-of-plane vibration of the cable is present only for the first fundamental cable mode. Higher modes are spatial (i.e., they involve complicated 3D motions), which is a further consequence of the interaction with the deck and tower. However, the vibration periods and frequencies are in good agreement with theoretical values, especially for the 10-segment and 20-segment cable models, for which the error in period for the first mode is less than 1 percent.

**Table 21. Cable vibration periods and frequencies: Theoretical values and values obtained by analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable Mode</th>
<th>Theoretical</th>
<th>Two-Segment Model</th>
<th>10-Segment Model</th>
<th>20-Segment Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s</td>
<td>Hz</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (OOP)</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (IP)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 159. Chart. Fundamental bridge modes.

A. First vertical mode \( (T = 3.397 \text{ s}, f = 0.294 \text{ Hz}) \)

B. First longitudinal mode \( (T = 2.774 \text{ s}, f = 0.360 \text{ Hz}) \)

C. First transverse mode (note tower top movement, \( T = 2.562 \text{ s}, f = 0.390 \text{ Hz} \))
Figure 160. Chart. Additional bridge modes.

A. Second vertical mode (T = 2.205 s, f = 0.454 Hz)

B. Second transverse mode (T = 2.062 s, f = 0.485 Hz)

C. First deck torsional mode (T = 1.863 s, f = 0.537 Hz)
Figure 161. Chart. Four first modes of the cables; XY, YZ, and XZ views.

Figure 162. Chart. Four second modes of the cables; XY, YZ, and XZ views.
STATIC LIVE-LOAD ANALYSIS

Static live-load analyses were performed using the influence line based program MELL and the train passage option of the bridge analysis program CAMIL, neglecting any dynamic properties of the train. For the latter program, the train live load was considered as a series of concentrated loads moving along the bridge over selected nodes. The train was treated as a static load and bridge dynamics were ignored so the bridge only responded statically to the train loading.

The live load used was a five-car transit train. (A transit train was used because it represents a large moving load. Furthermore, the dynamic model for a transit train was used because it is more readily defined than a highway truck model, for which there are a large number of dynamic configurations). Each car weighed 369.5 kN (83,138 lbf), thus the total weight of the train was 1,847.5 kN (4,156,875 lbf). The train load was applied along the main span girder line. The dynamic allowance was taken as zero. Influence line analyses were performed using 200 (design accuracy) and 5,000 (academic accuracy) steps (iterations) in the longitudinal positioning of the live load along the influence line to find the minimum and maximum effects due to live load. Computed results were tabulated for nodal deformations, girder member effects, and main span cable forces and rotations at several locations, as identified in figure 164.

Figure 163. Chart. Four third modes of the cables; XY, YZ, and XZ views.
Figure 164. Chart. Nodes, members, and cables for comparison of results.

Displacements

Vertical displacement results are summarized in table 22. Differences in results for displacements from analyses using 200 and 5,000 calculation steps are practically nil. The differences between MELL’s results and CAMIL’s static train results are a maximum of 2.5 percent.

Table 22. Vertical displacements due to live load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Influence Line Analysis/Steps</th>
<th>Train Static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>–183</td>
<td>–183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>–303</td>
<td>–303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>–332</td>
<td>–332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>–261</td>
<td>–261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 mm = 0.039 inch
Bending Moments

Bending moment results are summarized in table 23. Differences in results for bending moments from analyses using 200 and 5,000 calculation steps are a maximum of 0.45 percent. The differences between MELL’s results and CAMIL’s static train results are a maximum of 3.4 percent.

Table 23. Bending moments due to live load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Influence Line Analysis/Steps</th>
<th>Train Static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>2,845</td>
<td>2,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>2,610</td>
<td>2,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,141</td>
<td>3,984</td>
<td>3,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>3,866</td>
<td>3,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,211</td>
<td>4,564</td>
<td>4,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,215</td>
<td>4,491</td>
<td>4,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>–2,452</td>
<td>–2,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>–2,549</td>
<td>–2,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,141</td>
<td>–3,261</td>
<td>–3,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>–3,375</td>
<td>–3,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,211</td>
<td>–1,625</td>
<td>–1,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,215</td>
<td>–1,295</td>
<td>–1,298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1kNm=738 lbf-ft

Cable Tensions

Cable force results summarized are in table 24. Differences in results for cable forces from analyses using 200 and 5,000 calculation steps are very small. The differences between MELL’s results and CAMIL’s static train results are a maximum of 0.55 percent.
Table 24. Cable forces due to live load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Influence Line Analysis/Steps</th>
<th>Train Static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M14</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M21</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M26</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>–24</td>
<td>–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M14</td>
<td>–14</td>
<td>–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M21</td>
<td>–8</td>
<td>–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M26</td>
<td>–20</td>
<td>–20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 kN = 225 lbf

Cable End Rotations

Cable end rotations, deck rotations, and the relative differences between the two are summarized in table 24. Differences in results from analyses using 200 and 5,000 calculation steps are again practically zero. The differences in cable deck and relative rotations between MELL and CAMIL results are also very small.

Some differences exist between MELL’s results and CAMIL’s static train results for cable end rotations. The cable end rotations are not directly generated by the programs. Therefore they have to be computed according to the formula:

\[
\Delta \theta_{LL} = \theta_{DL+LL} - \theta_{DL} = \arctan\left(\frac{V_{DL} + V_{LL}}{H_{DL} + H_{LL}}\right) - \arctan\left(\frac{V_{DL}}{H_{DL}}\right)
\]

(138)

where:
- \(\Delta \theta_{LL}\) = cable end rotation due to live load,
- \(\theta\) = cable angle to the horizontal plane,
- \(V, H\) = vertical and horizontal component of the cable force,
- \(DL\) = dead load, and
- \(LL\) = live load.

Since the cable end rotations are very small numbers, any small difference in calculating the \(V_{LL}\) and \(H_{LL}\) using MELL and CAMIL leads to small numbers that are close to each other in actual value but very different if expressed in percentages.
Table 25. Cable end rotations and deck rotations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Cable</th>
<th>Influence Line Analysis/Steps</th>
<th>Train Static</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Cable End Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.1319</td>
<td>0.1318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.1894</td>
<td>0.1895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.2458</td>
<td>0.2458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.1572</td>
<td>0.1571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Cable End Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-0.0048</td>
<td>-0.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Deck Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.1292</td>
<td>0.1293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.1914</td>
<td>0.1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.2478</td>
<td>0.2478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Deck Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-0.0503</td>
<td>-0.0504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-0.0971</td>
<td>-0.0971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-0.1496</td>
<td>-0.1498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-0.3446</td>
<td>-0.3447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>(Cable-Deck) Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.1822</td>
<td>0.1822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.2865</td>
<td>0.2866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.3954</td>
<td>0.3957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.5018</td>
<td>0.5018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>(Cable-Deck) Rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-0.1227</td>
<td>-0.1227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-0.1897</td>
<td>-0.1899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-0.2457</td>
<td>-0.2458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-0.0074</td>
<td>-0.0074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DYNAMIC LIVE-LOAD ANALYSIS

Dynamic live-load analyses were performed using the train option in the bridge analysis program. In this option, the train is considered as a dynamic moving load with its appropriate stiffness and damping parameters. Each train car is modeled as a mass (consisting of the car mass and the passengers mass) supported by springs and dampers that are fixed to bogies. Each bogie has its own unsprung mass and is supported by two axles. The axles transfer train load to nodes specified in the input file (usually main girder nodes). The dynamic response of the bridge due to the dynamic train loading was calculated using the Newmark’s direct integration procedure.

Damping

For the structure’s damping matrix calculation, the Rayleigh damping coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ were determined using frequencies of the $1^{\text{st}}$ and the $15^{\text{th}}$ bridge natural mode. The damping ratio at those two frequencies was set at 0.75 percent of critical. The variation of damping with frequency corresponding to the above criteria is shown with a heavily solid line in figure 165. This figure also shows the damping distributions which would have resulted from picking $1^{\text{st}}$ and $2^{\text{nd}}$ or the $1^{\text{st}}$ and $22^{\text{nd}}$ modes to define the damping. The figure clearly indicates that for the frequency range of interest an acceptable damping distribution is achieved (see equations 142 through 146).

\[ \rho = 0.75\% \]  
\[ \omega_1 = 1.850 \text{ rad/s} \]  
\[ \omega_{15} = 5.389 \text{ rad/s} \]  
\[ \alpha = 2 \rho \frac{\omega_1 \omega_{15}}{\omega_1 + \omega_{15}} = 0.02066 \]  
\[ \beta = \frac{2 \rho}{\omega_1 + \omega_{15}} = 0.00207 \]
Results for Train Speed of 80 km/h (50 mi/h)

The results obtained for a train speed of 80 km/h (50 mi/h) are discussed in this section. The results for other train speeds are outside the scope of this study.

Deck Displacement Time Histories

The displacement time histories for both the static and dynamic load cases for node 427 (near the 3/4 span location of the main span) are shown in figure 166. (This load case ignores dynamic effects and only captures the effect of the moving load position.) This figure shows that the dynamic displacement was only slightly larger than the static displacement. Furthermore, the bridge deck oscillations, which occurred after the train had left the bridge (the train has completely left the bridge at 20 s into the time history), were small in comparison to the static response. This figure also displays the velocity and acceleration records corresponding to the displacement time history.

The velocity record shows some higher frequency oscillations in the 15-s range which correspond to the individual cars leaving the bridge. As the first set of bogies left the bridge by moving across the pin joint at the end of the main span, the slope discontinuity setup pitching motions that were fed back to the structure via the second set of bogies. This phenomena can also be observed in the acceleration time history, which shows a baseline acceleration corresponding to the static displacement and the accelerations due to the train superimposed until the train left the bridge.
Figure 166. Graph. Vertical displacements, velocities, and accelerations of node 427 versus time (train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).
**Deck Girder Bending Moment Time History**

The static and dynamic bending moment time histories for a deck girder member are shown in figure 167. This figure shows that there was very little amplification due to dynamic effects. Both the static and dynamic time histories show oscillations in the maximum bending moment because of the passing of individual train cars. The dynamic moments after the train had left the bridge are negligible when compared to the static moments.

![Figure 167](image)

**Figure 167. Graph. Member 1211: Bending moment versus time (train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).**

**Cable Tension Time Histories**

The total cable force versus time diagram for a train speed of 80 km/h (50 mi/h) is shown in figure 168. The dynamic time history has the same shape as the static time history, but there are slightly larger maxima and minima due to dynamic effects. This figure also shows the maximum and minimum obtained from the influence line analysis.

![Figure 168](image)

**Figure 168. Graph. Cable M26: Tension versus time (train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).**
The difference in cable tension for cable M26 between the dynamic train load case and static train load case, for train speed 80 km/h (50 mi/h), is shown in figure 169.

The tension spectrum for cable M26 is shown in figure 170. This spectrum was generated from the part of the cable tension time history which corresponds to the time after the train has crossed the bridge (i.e., to the cable tension time history due to free bridge vibration, 20 s of elapsed time).

The two dominant peaks in the cable tension spectrum are at 0.3 and 0.44 Hz. The first peak corresponds to the first vertical deck mode (0.294 Hz) which is the dominant mode in the structure’s response. The second peak corresponds to a mixture of the second deck mode (0.454 Hz) and the first cable modes (in-plane and out-of-plane) at 0.44 Hz. Since these two modes are closely spaced, it is not possible to clearly separate them with the relatively short displacement time history obtained from the dynamic analysis.

![Figure 169. Graph. Difference in cable tension for cable M26 between the dynamic train load case and static train load case versus time (train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).](image)
Figure 170. Graph. Cable M26 tension spectra (train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).

Cable Displacement Time Histories

Displacements in Global Coordinates

The displacements of the cable were obtained at the following locations:

- Tower end.
- 1/4 span, close to the tower end of the cable.
- 1/2 span, midspan of the cable.
- 3/4 span, close to the deck end of the cable.
- Deck end.

The $x, y, z$ ($x =$ along the bridge axis; $y =$ transverse; $z =$ vertical) displacement time histories are shown in figure 171. The $x$ and $z$ displacements clearly show one dominant displacement cycle corresponding to the “static” deformation of the deck followed by a series of vibration cycles, which are an order of magnitude smaller. Only the transverse displacement, which is much smaller to start with, shows free vibration oscillations that are comparable to the static deformations. However, the free vibration oscillations in this direction are not significantly larger than the free vibration oscillations in the other two directions. To study the cable vibrations in more detail, the records were transformed into the cable's local coordinate system as outlined in the next section.

Displacements in Local Coordinates

The cable displacements computed from the dynamic analysis were transformed from global $x, y, z$ coordinates to local cable coordinates $u, v, w$, ($u =$ along the cable; $v =$ normal to the cable plane; $w =$ orthogonal to both $u$ and $v$). The transformation of coordinates systems (as depicted in figure 172) was carried out using the following formulation:
Given that $\alpha = 2.15124$ deg is the cable angle in horizontal plane and $\beta = 26.95322$ deg is the cable chord angle to horizontal plane, the transformation of displacements into cable plane is shown in equations 147 and 148:

$$\zeta = x \cos \alpha - y \sin \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (147)

$$\eta = x \sin \alpha - y \cos \alpha$$ \hspace{1cm} (148)

and finally the transformation to displacements along and perpendicular to the cable is shown in equations 149 and 150:

$$u = \zeta \cos \beta - z \sin \beta, \quad v = \eta$$ \hspace{1cm} (149)

$$w = \zeta \sin \beta - z \cos \beta$$ \hspace{1cm} (150)
Figure 171. Graph. Global coordinate displacements (A, B, C) of cable M26 nodes (mm) versus time (train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).
The displacement records of the cable corresponding to the following locations were analyzed:

- 1/4 span, close to the tower end of the cable.
- 1/2 span, midspan of the cable.
- 3/4 span, close to the deck end of the cable.

These converted displacement records were plotted in both the cable plane (in-plane) and in a plane normal to the cable chord line (out-of-plane). These displacement traces are shown for the three cable locations in figure 173. The out-of-plane deformation shows that the top of the cable actually moves up as the sag is reduced, while the bottom of the cable moves down with the deck and the center of the cable shows little movement as the deck deformation and sag reduction cancel each other. The transverse motion, which can be clearly observed in all three out-of-plane traces, results from the transverse movement of the bridge tower and deck. The in-plane movements also reflect this interaction of sag reduction and tower and deck deflection.

Figure 172. Chart. Transformation from global coordinates to coordinates along the cable.
Figure 173. Chart. Local coordinate displacements of nodes of cable M26 (mm). Displacements are shown for three nodes of the cable: At 1/4 span (closer to the tower), 1/2 span, and 3/4 span (closer to the deck; train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h).
Frequency Content of the Cable Displacement

The portion of the displacement time history which corresponds to free vibration (after the train has left the structure) was used to generate Fourier spectra to assess the frequency content of the displacement. Since the time histories were not periodic within the record, they were windowed using a combination of an extended cosine and exponential window to minimize spectral leakage. This means that the resulting spectra can not readily be used to estimate the damping in the individual modes. (This is of little concern because the damping value corresponding to 0.75 percent of critical damping was derived and used in the analysis.) The resulting spectra for the cable displacement in the $u$, $v$, and $w$ coordinates are shown in figure 174.

Figure 174. Graph. Spectra for movements of cable M26 nodes: At 1/4 span (closer to the tower), 1/2 span, and 3/4 span (closer to the deck; frequency range = 0–2 Hz; train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)).
The modes of vibration which are reflected by the spectral peaks shown in figure 174 are:

- 0.29 Hz, first vertical mode.
- 0.39 Hz, first transverse mode (with tower dominant motion).
- 0.43 Hz, out-of-plane modes of cables M26.
- 0.44 Hz, in-plane modes of cables M26.
- 0.45 Hz, second vertical mode of the deck.
- 0.86 Hz, second mode of vibration of M26 cables.
- 1.26 Hz, sixth vertical mode of the deck.
- 1.28 Hz, third mode of vibration of M26 cables.

The spectra for the $u$-displacements (along the cable) at all three cable locations are dominated by the first vertical mode of the deck. The spectra for the $v$-displacements (out of the cable plane) at all three cable locations are dominated by the first transverse mode of the structure. The spectra for the $w$-displacements (perpendicular to the cable in the cable plane) at all three cable locations show a significant peak at 0.43 Hz. The midspan and 3/4 span (near the deck) location also exhibit a strong peak at 0.29 Hz corresponding to the first vertical mode of the bridge deck. Furthermore, all three locations show a spectral peak corresponding the sixth vertical mode of the deck at 1.26 Hz or the third mode of vibration of the cable at 1.28 Hz.

**Cable End Rotations**

Cable end rotations are often of interest in fatigue studies as they influence the bending stress ranges in the anchorage zones. These rotations are comprised of two components: the rotation of the cable end due to changes in sag as a result of increased or decreased load, and the cable anchorage rotations which are a caused by deck deflections. Both of these rotation components do not typically achieve their maximum amplitude at the same time. The individual rotation components as well as the total relative rotation (heavy solid line) between the cable and the anchorage are shown for cable M26 in figure 175. This figure also shows the maximum rotations computed using the influence line approach, which corresponds relatively well to the results obtained from the dynamic analysis. In contrast, the cable end rotations and deck rotation obtained for cable M21, shown in figure 176, display quite a different pattern and clearly show that the maximum relative rotation (heavy solid line) can not be approximated reliably by simply adding the maxima of the individual components.
Figure 175. Graph. Deck rotations and cable end rotations for cable M26: Dynamic (train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)) and static.

Figure 176. Graph. Deck rotations and cable end rotations for cable M21: dynamic (train speed = 80 km/h (50 mi/h)) and static.
CONCLUSIONS

Using the model of an actual cable-stayed bridge, the cable vibration and moving live-load analysis carried out as part of this study indicate that:

- A stay cable which is discretized with 20 elements accurately predicts the free vibration characteristics of a stay cable.

- Once the cable is modeled as part of the complete structure with the tower and the deck providing realistic end conditions, the cable frequencies only change slightly but the mode shapes become spatial rather than being purely in-plane or out-of-plane.

- The cable tensions, displacements and end rotations are dominated by the static deformation response associated with the moving load's passing and subsequent dynamic oscillations are typically an order of magnitude smaller than the static maximum.

- The increase in maximum cable tension due to dynamic effects is less than 10 percent for the 80 km/h (50 mi/h) passing speed.

- The dynamic response of the cable, during the train passage and in the subsequent free-vibration phase, is driven by the vibration of the bridge deck.
APPENDIX I. STUDY OF USER COMFORT

OVERVIEW

In addition to the concerns regarding fatigue and other stress related issues due to cable vibration, it may also be important that the users of the bridge feel comfortable with any visible cable movements. A design criterion set forth by these factors would be independent of those required by the structural effects involved, similar in nature to existing codes limiting deflection in bridges or drift in tall buildings.

A survey was performed to determine at what limits users will no longer feel comfortable crossing a bridge either as a pedestrian or as a driver. Video models were created using 3D Studio® to simulate various cable vibration scenarios. These videos were shown to 50 users who were asked to indicate their comfort level with crossing the bridge under these circumstances. The parameters varied in the models were mode of vibration, amplitude, and velocity of oscillations.

The following charts will demonstrate the results of the survey. User comfort was rated from 1 to 5, with 1 being the most comfortable and 5 being the least comfortable. The breakdown of the ratings was described to the users as follows:

1. Vibrations are not noticeable or barely noticeable; I have no concerns with the safety of the bridge.
2. Vibrations are noticeable, but I have little or no concern about the safety of the bridge.
3. Not sure.
4. Vibrations are very noticeable; I have some concern about the safety of the bridge, but I would probably still cross it.
5. Vibrations are excessive; I have major concerns about safety and will not cross the bridge.

Mode Shape

Figure 177 shows the effect of mode shape on user comfort. Users were shown videos with constant amplitude and frequency, with the mode shape varying from first to third. It was largely determined that mode shape does not play a significant role in affecting the user’s comfort level.
The next parameter tested was velocity (figure 178). The amplitude and mode shape of the vibrations were held constant while the frequency was varied from 0.5 to 2.0 Hz. The results indicate that users had strong reservations when frequencies were higher than 1 Hz, but they quickly became more comfortable when the frequency dropped below 1 Hz. It should be noted that the high level of concern demonstrated across all the simulations for this parameter is most likely due to the fact that too high of an amplitude was chosen as the constant. Nevertheless, this should have little effect on the shape of the distribution, just where it is located on the Y-axis.

Figure 178. Graph. Effect of velocity (constant amplitude).
**Amplitude**

The final parameter tested was amplitude (figure 179). Videos were shown that simulated vibrations with a constant velocity and constant mode shape, while amplitude was varied from 0.5 diameters to 2 diameters. The results indicate that at a point near 1 diameter vibration amplitude, the user begins to feel uncomfortable crossing the bridge. Below 1 diameter, this concern trails off quickly. The graph below does indicate that users were more comfortable with oscillations of 2 diameters than those of 1 diameter. This was dismissed as an anomaly caused by the number of videos that had been shown at this point in the survey, and the order in which the videos were shown.

![Figure 179. Graph. Effect of amplitude (constant velocity).](image)

**Conclusion**

The two most important factors affecting user comfort are the amplitude of the vibration and the velocity. As the frequency range is somewhat limited, it would stand to reason that the comfort criteria could be based on the amplitude. According to this study, a reasonable recommendation of a limit on vibration amplitude would be 1 cable diameter. Ideally, further reducing this to 0.5 diameters or below has the effect of making the vibrations virtually unnoticeable. This study is preliminary and based on a relatively small sample size, therefore further investigation should be performed to refine any design criteria based on user comfort. Also, while the above charts indicate that there is no significant difference in the perception of safety for a pedestrian and for a driver, this is probably inaccurate and the difference could be established by producing a driver video that includes a moving viewpoint and other roadway distractions. This difference is important in that it would establish tighter criteria for bridges in urban areas or with pedestrian walkways compared with a more liberal criteria for rural locations with no pedestrians.
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