

Karen Miller, MoDOT: Thank you very very much for the one page fact sheet!

Melanie Rigney: You're very welcome, Karen.

Emily: Melanie, can we interpret the requirements discussed in this presentation as pertinent to local governments?

guest 11: can we go into presentation mode so we don't have to read all the notes?

Guest: Little hard to hear speakers

Andrew Reovan: Hi guest 11: you should be able to adjust windows within your own view, or to make the window full screen using the option at the top.

Andrew Reovan: Unfortunately we cannot adjust them for all participants.

Dulce Rufino Feldman: Maybe they are using speaker phones, which do not have a good quality.

Margaret Pridmore, ITD: Having difficulty hearing speakers. Sounds tinny and faint.

Melanie Rigney: Hi Emily--the rule requires a plan from State DOTs, not local governments or MPOs. The rule and presentation are geared toward State and MPO requirements, and how they are engaged depends on the State/MPO.

Emily: Thank you

GDOT Planning 4: What about the MPO coordination and Planning Area Reform NPRM

Melanie Rigney: GDOT Planning 4--thanks for your question. The MPO coordination proposed rule is not a performance management rule. That said, comments were received by FHWA and are being reviewed.

Kenny: What are the requirements and deadline for states to have a FHWA approved bridge management system?

Melanie Rigney: Kenny--we'll be covering that a bit later presentation and also will address your Q in the Q&A section later on. Thanks!

John Baker: Section 515.17 requires that bridge and pavement management systems are to have a number of documented procedures. While bridge and pavement management systems are not subject to FHWA certification is there an expectation that the documented procedures be directly referenced in the asset management plan or included as an appendix?

Melanie Rigney: Thank you, John. We'll address your question in the Q&A as well.

Gary Simonson (PSRC): Can we access this slide show directly after this webinar?

Melanie Rigney: Gary--you can download the deck and fact sheet right now. We'll notify everyone when the recording is available.

peter Ho: I would also like to download the power point slide.

Melanie Rigney: Hi Peter. If you look right above this box, you can download the files.

Maaza HIDOT: The sound is not very clear.

Jesse Davis: Thank you, Maaza. We are looking into this sound quality issue.

John Smith: Can you please speak to more specificity of exactly HOW DOTs should be conducting the linkage between the TAMP and the LRSTP and STIP? What resources will FHWA provide to assist with this?

Melanie Rigney: Hi John, we'll be talking a bit about resources toward the end of the webinar, and will queue up your linkages question for the Q&A.

John Smith: Can you please describe in more details the financial plan requirements and how this relates to the STIP's existing fiscal constraint requirements?

Jeff Milton: Does the AASHTO BrM software have the capability to meet the requirements of the Asset Management Plan?

guest 12: For Bridges not owned by the states –the rule requires the states to work collaboratively and cooperatively with the other owners- Will these owners be eligible for federal matching funds, how do they apply?

John Smith: Can you please define "reasonably consistent"

John Smith: Can you speak to more information on how FHWA will determine if an asset management plan is consistent? And will that involve a review of consistency with other performance based plans/programs?

Paul Maloney MA/ME: Would you please clarify why there is an annual "consistency" determination, made by FHWA? Is this consistency relative to the STIP? If not, what should the TAMP be consistent with? What are we supposed to be checking? Thank you.

John Smith: If the preamble has a lot of key information in it, can FHWA put that into plan language and make a fact sheet available on the key points/elements

John Smith: plain not plan

Anita Bush: Is there a separate document the states need to submit to FHWA for the asset management process certification?

Hamid Sadraie: Two or more repairs over what time period?

John Smith: Is FHWA going to have a consistency review requirement looking across all the performance based plans? (SHSP, TAMP, Long range plans, state freight plan, TIPs/SITPs) etc

Melanie Rigney: Guest 12--can you provide us with a little more clarity on what specifically you're asked for a federal match on? Thanks.

Melanie Rigney: John--we'll be covering the consistency questions in the Q&A shortly.

Melanie Rigney: John Smith--regarding the preamble, we do encourage you to read it. In addition, there is a fact sheet you can download above, and we are preparing guidance.

Melanie Rigney: Anita Bush--we'll queue your question up for the Q&A. Thanks.

Simon Nwachukwu - NJDOT: Some State DOTs are currently developing their Asset Management Plan, when will the FHWA establish minimum standards for state to use in developing and operating bridge and pavement management systems?

Melanie Rigney: Hamid Saraie--is your question on repairs in regard to the emergency relief program? Thanks.

Hamid Sadraie: yes Melanie

Chris Harris: Can you provide clarification as to the definition of a "highway" requiring repair or reconstruction? Does that apply to the entire highway segment or would it only apply to certain lengths of a highway subject to repair? For instance, if a small segment of a highway suffers a rockslide which is repaired and then later a different segment of that same highway 5 miles away experiences a rockslide, is the DOT supposed to treat these two separate instances of a rockslide as a "recurring need for repair on the highway"?

Will Puffer: what constitutes an evaluation 667?

Melanie Rigney: Thanks much, I will group the 667 questions together for Greg Wolf to answer. Thanks!

NJTPA: In Section 515.7(d)(4) it calls for “an estimate of the value of the agency’s NHS pavement and bridge assets...” Does this refer to the inherent value of the assets themselves (as in, the value to replace them), or to the greater value of the accessibility that they provide to the regional economy?

Morgan Beryl: Can you clarify the required MPO role and responsibilities?

NJTPA: How will the FHWA define "reasonably consistent" as it relates to a comparison between the actual and planned levels of investment?

Melanie Rigney: NJTPA and Morgan Beryl... the team will be addressing your questions. Thanks!

John Smith: Please clarify what "integrate" means and how it would actually look

Melanie Rigney: NJTPA--Steve also will be addressing consistency.

John Smith: Since States also have to meet other targets/measures areas, there may not be sufficient funds (fiscally constrained) to support what is indicated in the TAMP....Just confirming?

NJTPA: how far off can the allocations be? 5%? 10%? Less? More?

Maaza HIDOT: The historical asset on pavements is not complete and what is the minimum number of years that should be used to perform the evaluation submission.

David Rose: In Section 515.7(d)(4) it calls for “an estimate of the value of the agency’s NHS pavement and bridge assets, is this value based on replacement cost or value derived from the use of the roadway

John Smith: ok thank you

peter Ho: Is climate change is including the Asset Management Plan?

Maaza HIDOT: What is the duration of the evaluation period? How many years?

Maaza HIDOT: Any Asset that we are required to provide evaluation.

NJTPA: How will the FHWA define "reasonably consistent" as it relates to a comparison between the actual and planned levels of investment? How far off can the allocations be? 5%? 10%? Less? More?

Will Puffer: tamp versus amp

John Smith: What about the Transit Asset Management plan? How should that be considered?

John Smith: If we're trying to consider the entire system

Will Puffer: great presentation and thanks to all.... good answer

John Smith: transits and highways system view

Dave Snelting: Will there be examples of TAMP's on the website?

peter Ho: In the slide, it mentioned that 10 years evaluation period for the financial plan . is there any requirement to extend any further than 10 years?

AHTD: What type of documentation is FHWA expecting to demonstrate implementation of the asset management plan in section 515.11 (a)(2)

susanna: You may also go to the TPM website for information on all the performance management rules; there are also links to other websites, including asset management's

susanna: <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/tpm/>

Maaza HIDOT: What is the difference between the management systems (pavement and bridge) previously implemented.

Guest 22: Is LCP going to be part of PMS/BMS? If not, how to reconcile recommendations from PMS/BMS and LCP?

Will Puffer: any training sessions soon

Maaza HIDOT: ISTEA began with management systems a long time ago.

Will Puffer: for rule implementation specifically

peter Ho: Is there any definition to define critical asset? Is it only based on risk?

susanna: there will be a suite of training available thru NHI related to each performance measure area covered in the rules, e.g., TPM for bridge and pavements that will be available after the related rule is published in final. We will send a govt delivery notice when available

Paul Maloney MA/ME: Is there any sense of when the regs will be available digitally - at the 23CFR?

Guest 22: Is LCP going to be part of PMS/BMS? If not, how to reconcile recommendations from PMS/BMS and LCP?

Paul Maloney MA/ME: Sorry if I missed it, but is there any sense of when the regs will be available digitally - at the 23CFR?

Francine Shaw Whitson: Paul, the digital versions of the CFR are usually not available until late Spring of the next year.

Paul Maloney MA/ME: Thanks.

Will Puffer: thank you

guest 18: Thank you

Jesse Davis: Thank you everyone!

Paul Maloney MA/ME: Thanks Francine!